



Banner County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018)	a3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b	5
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^{\circ}$	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	7
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ¹	8
Family Level	9
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportat year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	•
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) d	10
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means h	10
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	10
Community Level	11
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	11
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	11
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	12
Policy, Legal and System Level	13
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) n	13
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °	14
Community Team Level	16
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	16
Collective Impact Survey Scores p	16
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	17
References and Resources	19



Annendix: Sealed Records by	/ear	2
Appendix. Sealed Records by	: Eai	_

Youth Level

- Table 1: Despite being a smaller community, Banner County has a higher Hispanic community. Interesting that there are more Hispanic females (but this is only 8 or so women.)
- Table 2-3: Chronic absenteeism Banner County's number were too small to report. However, across the state we are finding that minority youth often have high rates of chronic absenteeism.
- Table 4: Free and reduced lunch is consistently higher than the rest of the state.
- Table 5: HS graduation rates are almost identical to the state average 88.5% of your students graduate!
- Table 6: Banner County may want to participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey. This tool provides good information on binge drinking, drug use, depression, suicide ideation, etc. and often provides guidance for juvenile justice interventions.
- Table 11: Data was not available about gang involvement
- Table 12: Overall crime decreased by 47.22% from 2018 to 2019, and almost no juvenile crimes reported (only 1?).
- Table 13. A statewide tool is on the horizon. A validated tool can help design interventions (using the 8 domains).
- Table 14: Only 4 youth had a law violation reported to NCC, but some police departments did not report.

Table 1. Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Banner	23	95.7%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Banner	44	81.8%	<mark>18.2%</mark>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%





Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Banner	162	11.73%	0.00%	0.62%	2.47%	0.00%	83.95%	1.23%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Banner	164	12.20%	0.00%	0.00%	2.44%	0.00%	84.15%	1.22%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Banner	160	15.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.63%	0.00%	83.75%	0.63%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Banner	150	12.67%	0.00%	0.67%	1.33%	0.00%	85.33%	0.00%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Banner	129	13.95%	0.00%	0.78%	1.55%	0.00%	83.72%	0.00%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3. Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Banner	<mark>12</mark>	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2015	Nebraska	<mark>35,638</mark>	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Banner	<mark>20</mark>	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2016	Nebraska	<mark>38,812</mark>	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Banner	<mark>19</mark>	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2017	Nebraska	<mark>42,290</mark>	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Banner	<mark>24</mark>	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2018	Nebraska	<mark>46,365</mark>	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Banner	<mark>12</mark>	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2019	Nebraska	<mark>46,356</mark>	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Banner	162	7.41%	*	*	<mark>56.17%</mark>
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	<mark>44.53%</mark>
2015-	Banner	164	11.59%	*	*	<mark>51.83%</mark>
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	<mark>44.23%</mark>
2016-	Banner	160	8.13%	*	*	<mark>57.50%</mark>
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	<mark>44.76%</mark>
2017-	Banner	150	*	*	*	<mark>60.67%</mark>
2018	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	<mark>46.24%</mark>
2018-	Banner	129	*	*	*	<mark>58.14%</mark>
2019	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	<mark>45.42%</mark>

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c

County	Total in Las	Total in Last 5 Years		Yearly Averages		
	Graduates	Students	Graduates Students		Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	
Banner	46	52	9.2	10.4	88.5%	83

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Banner	Loss of sleep from worry			
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Banner	Depressed			
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Banner	Considered/Attempted suicide			
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Banner	Current alcohol			
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%

Banner	Current binge drinking		-	
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Banner	Current marijuana	-	-	
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Banner	Current tobacco		-	
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Banner	Current vaping		-	-
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Banner	Hopeful for future (past week)	-	1	1
Nebraska		72.1%	74.7%	78.4%

^{*}Banner County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Banner	Youth Reported Gang Involvement		-	
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

^{*}Banner County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey





Table 12. Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages		Und	der 18	
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	
Jurisdiction by Geography			BANNER	COUNT	Υ		
Arrest Offense							
Total	36	19	-47.22	1	0	-100.00	
Other Assaults	1	1	0.00	0	0	-	
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-	
Vandalism	-	1	-	-	0	-	
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	1	1	0.00	0	0	-	
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	1	3	200.00	0	0	-	
Drug Violations - Possession	21	8	-61.90	1	0	-100.00	
Driving Under the Influence	1	2	100.00	0	0	-	
Liquor Laws	6	3	-50.00	0	0	-	
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	4	-	-100.00	0	-	-	

Table 13. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	Banner			All	NYS Cour	nties
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting	ŀ			60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment	ŀ			43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships	ŀ			44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M	=, SD =	,	M = 5.6	4, SD = 3.	65, 0-17

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed





Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ¹

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
		Native						
Law enforcement								
contact								
Youth taken to								
temporary custody								
Youth issued								
citation/referral	4*							
Youth referred to								
diversion								
Youth enrolled in								
diversion								
Successful								
completion diversion								
Youth with multiple								
charges								
Filed on in adult	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
court								
RAI Override: More								
Severe								
RAI Override: Less								
Severe								
Probation intake								
Successful probation	3	0%	0%	0%	66.70%	0%	0%	33.30%
Revocation of	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
probation								
Youth in OJS								
custody								
OJS custody: placed								
in detention								
Youth booked into								
detention								
Youth booked into								
detention more than								
once								

^{*}Banner County sheriff did not report data to NCC 2015 - 2018



Family Level

- Table 15: Banner County's poverty rate is lower than the rest of the state. But, extreme poverty is very, very high.
 - o 97.1% of young adults (25 older) with a HS degree.
 - High computer ownership (97.4%+) but lower broadband internet access (only 89.0%).
 This may be helpful for purposes of remote learning/ COVID.)
 - Higher rate of homeownership (73% vs 66%), and 97.5% of people report owning a vehicle.
- Table 16: Data not available
- Table 17: Very low rates of violence, domestic assault.
- Table 18: Only 5 cases reported to CPS, and none were substantiated.

Table 15.
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Banner	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	<mark>11.8%</mark>	14.8%
,	Number of children 12-	27	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	<mark>43.5%</mark>	28.9%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	20.7%	31.3%
	County rank	51	-
	Age 25+ with some	32.9%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County rank	1	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	<mark>97.1%</mark>	91.1%
	County Rank	2	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	<mark>97.4%</mark>	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County rank	62	-
	% under 18 with an	96.1%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County rank	15	-



	% under 18 with broadband internet access at home	<mark>89.0%</mark>	90.8%
	County Rank	60	-
Housing	Owner-occupied households	204	498,567
	Total households	279	754,063
	Owner %	<mark>73.1%</mark>	<mark>66.1%</mark>
	Renters	75	255,496
	Renter %	26.9%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	7	40,465
	Total households	279	754,063
	No vehicle %	<mark>2.5%</mark>	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Banner	Adult at home who listens	1	•	1
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Banner	Adult at school who listens			
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

^{*}Banner County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Banner	0	0	1	1
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect C	Calls Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Banner	<mark>5</mark>	<mark>40%</mark>	<mark>0%</mark>	100%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%





Community Level

Table 21: Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. Banner County is on of the few counties were records are getting sealed as they should be.

Table 19.
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Banner	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	0	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	0	1,639
Other Assaults	1	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Banner	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana			
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Banner	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol			
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Banner	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes			
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%

^{*}Banner County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey



EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

Table 21. Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	3	<mark>100%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			<u></u>
Filed in Juv. Court	9	9	<mark>100%</mark>
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	6	10	<mark>60.0%</mark>
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			<u></u>
Juv. Court			
Total	18	22	<mark>81.8%</mark>

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



Policy, Legal and System Level

Table 22: "No juvenile court cases" as reported by Kids Count. However, if there are juvenile cases, team members may want to look into whether youth received court appointed counsel.

Table 24: Absenteeism and truancy are lower level cases that could be diverted from court system, (52 filing overall, declining since 2017).

Table 25: With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:

- Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
- Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable. With a higher proportion of children <18 in poverty, perhaps offering scholarships.
- Establish a sealing process

Table 22. Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Banner	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	No Juvenile Court Cases	73.5%

Neb. Rev. 43-272. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Banner	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352





Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 - 2019) m

	Banner					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	0	0	0	0
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0

		Nebraska				
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25.
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °

	Banner	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	Yes	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Sometimes	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Yes	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Yes; case-by-case basis but	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion	normally do not take felonies	No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	No	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%





Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$75\$100	Yes: 86.4% No: 13.6% Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior to discharge	Not sure; we will add services if not successful on current plan but not additional restrictions or punishment	Yes: 47.7% No: 25.0% Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Yes; All juveniles released satisfactorily from diversion have their records "sealed". We do not pre-file, so the only thing we need to seal is the citation/police report. We notify the department that wrote the citation or report by email to tell them to seal the record as soon as the juvenile completes diversionusually within days.	Yes: 59.1% No: 22.7% Not sure: 18.2%

^{*}responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)

Community Team Level

- Table 26-27: A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was quite low, at 11.7%. However, this is likely because it went to a very large team of 137. The sixteen people that responded gave the team good scores on collective impact.
- Table 28: It might be beneficial to add diversity to your team.
 - o Very few younger people on the team (perhaps did not reply to the survey).
 - Need diverse representation (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.) You may have Black and Hispanic community members on your team, perhaps they didn't take the survey.
 - o Are probation, law enforcement, county attorney and defense counsel on your teams?
 - o Good representation of persons formerly involved in the system.
 - Roughly 25% of your team reported not feeling heard, but that is likely not representative – due to low response rate.

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates p

	Panhandle		Nebr	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	24	<mark>137</mark>	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	12	<mark>16</mark>	221	345
Response rate	50.0%	11.7%	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p

	Panhand	dle	Nebra	aska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020		
	Mean Score		Mean Score		Mean	Score
Common agenda	5.33	<mark>5.27</mark>	5.29	5.69		
Mutually reinforcing	5.52	<mark>5.13</mark>	5.37	5.50		
Shared measurement	5.57	<mark>5.60</mark>	5.21	5.45		
Continuous communication	5.98	<mark>5.15</mark>	5.49	5.55		
Backbone agency	6.16	<mark>5.64</mark>	5.52	5.78		

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- Continuous communication: Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support**: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity P

	Panhandle		Neb	raska
	N = 16	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	2	12.5%	101	29.3%
Female	13	81.3%	229	66.4%
Missing	1	6.3%	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30		<u></u>	19	5.6%
30-39		<u></u>	68	19.6%
40-49	3	18.9%	88	25.4%
50-59	8	50.2%	90	25.8%
60 and over	3	18.9%	44	13%
Missing	2	12.5%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	9	56.3%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic His			13	3.8%
Native American	1	6.3%	6	1.7%
<mark>Asian</mark>	<u></u>		1	0.3%
Other	<u></u>		2	0.6%
Provided town name	4	25.0%	63	18.3%
Missing	2	12.5%	19	5.5%





Previous System Involvement				
Yes	5	31.3%	98	28.4%
No	11	68.8%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point *				
Law enforcement			34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court			32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	5	29.4%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	1	5.9%	10	2.3%
Diversion	3	17.6%	55	12.6%
Probation Probat		<u></u>	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/		<u></u>	8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare	1	5.9%	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	1	5.9%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program	5	29.4%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	1	5.9%	3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	12	75.0%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	<mark>4</mark>	<mark>25.0%</mark>	75	21.7%

Banner n = 3, Box Butte n = 3, Cheyenne n = 5, Dawes n = 5, Deuel n = 3, Garden n = 3, Kimball n = 3, Morrill n = 2, Scotts Bluff n = 7, Sioux n = 2, Sheridan n = 2 (three people named more than one county they represent)

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

- ^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 g Diversion programs
- h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County 0.pdf
- ¹Child abuse and neglect
- ^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
- ^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps
- ¹Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:





Nebraska Crime Commission. Crime Statistics:

https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- ^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- ^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- P Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^q Collective Impact Elements: Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. Stanford Social Innovation Review.





Appendix: Sealed Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	1	1	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	2	2	100%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	5	5	100%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	4	4	100%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	5	5	100%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	2	2	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		-	
Filed in Juv. Court	3	3	100%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	5	20.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	6	10	60.0%





2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	2	2	100%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total			