



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Boone County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	3
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b	5
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	7
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	7
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ⁱ	8
Family Level	9
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	9
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	10
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h	10
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	10
Community Level	11
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	11
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	11
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	12
Policy, Legal and System Level	13
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	13
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) ^o	14
Community Team Level	16
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	16
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	16
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	17
References and Resources	19
Appendix: Sealed Records by Year	21



UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA

JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE

EVIDENCE-BASED
NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021



Youth Level

- While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because the frequency was too low to report, Hispanic, Native American, and Black youth are over-represented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- Youth in Boone County have higher rates of 504 Plans compared to the state.
- The graduation rate for the last 5 years is 97.2%.
- 10th and 12th graders report higher levels of loss of sleep from worry compared to the state rates; 10th graders report higher rates of depression and having considered/attempted suicide.
 - Half of the 10th graders in Boone County reported being depressed.
- 8th graders report higher levels of alcohol use, while 10th graders report higher rates of binge drinking compared to the state.
- 12th graders do not report feeling hopeful for the future at a lower rate than the state.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture of RED. However, compared to census and school enrollment data, Hispanic youth are overrepresented at probation intake, successful probation completion, and revocation of probation; youth reported as multiple/other are overrepresented at probation intake and probation revocation.
 - Race/ethnicity data is missing at the court data for youth with multiple charges.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Boone	256	98.4%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Boone	256	98.4%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Boone	248	90.3%	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

[Click here to go back to RED analysis](#)

Table 2.

School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	Boone	998	3.51%	0.80%	0.50%	0.20%	0.00%	94.69%	0.30%
	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-2016	Boone	987	3.95%	0.61%	0.61%	1.01%	0.00%	93.41%	0.41%
	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-2017	Boone	1,007	4.67%	0.20%	0.60%	0.99%	0.60%	92.35%	0.60%
	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-2018	Boone	1,010	4.85%	0.89%	0.69%	0.59%	0.00%	92.08%	0.89%
	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-2019	Boone	1,049	5.05%	0.95%	0.67%	0.48%	0.10%	92.47%	0.29%
	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	Boone	46	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-2016	Boone	48	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-2017	Boone	57	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-2018	Boone	78	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
	Boone	47	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018-2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%
-----------	----------	--------	--------	-------	-------	--------	-------	--------	-------

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4.

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-2015	Boone	998	11.62%	1.30%	*	36.67%
	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-2016	Boone	987	11.96%	2.53%	*	40.63%
	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-2017	Boone	1,007	11.52%	2.48%	1.19%	39.72%
	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-2018	Boone	1,010	14.95%	2.48%	1.68%	40.69%
	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-2019	Boone	1,049	14.78%	1.62%	1.24%	41.18%
	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5.

Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c

County	Total in Last 5 Years		Yearly Averages		Graduation Rate	Rank
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students		
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Boone	381	392	25.4	26.1	97.2%	12

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6.

Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8th	10th	12th
--	--	-----------------------	------------------------	------------------------



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Boone	Loss of sleep from worry	15.1%	21.4%	25.0%
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Boone	Depressed	29.2%	50.0%	25.0%
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Boone	Considered/Attempted suicide	21.6%	35.7%	15.0%
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Boone	Current alcohol	13.5%	13.3%	20.0%
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Boone	Current binge drinking	1.4%	13.3%	5.0%
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Boone	Current marijuana	1.4%	0.0%	5.0%
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Boone	Current tobacco	1.4%	0.0%	5.0%
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Boone	Current vaping	8.0%	20.0%	30.0%
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Boone	Hopeful for future (past week)	74.7%	80.0%	65.0%
Nebraska		72.1%	74.7%	78.4%

****JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data**

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis ^e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11.

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Boone	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

Table 12.

Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age	All Arrestee Ages			Under 18		
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography	BOONE COUNTY					
Arrest Offense						
Total	6	6	0.00	0	0	-
Aggravated Assault Total	-	2	-	-	-	-
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Drug Violations - Possession	1	2	100.00	0	0	-
Driving Under the Influence	1	1	0.00	0	-	-
Liquor Laws	2	-	-100.00	0	-	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	1	1	0.00	0	-	-

Table 13.

Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	Boone			All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting	--	--	--	60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment	--	--	--	43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships	--	--	--	44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use	--	--	--	61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation	--	--	--	50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior	--	--	--	50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation	--	--	--	61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M = --, SD = --, --			M = 5.64, SD = 3.65, 0-17		

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed



Table 14.

Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ¹[Click here to see Census and School Population Data](#)

*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	2*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	26	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth enrolled in diversion	26	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful completion diversion	25	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.30%	66.70%
Filed on in adult court	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
RAI Override: More Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	10	0%	0%	0%	10%	20%	0%	70%
Successful probation	36	0%	0%	0%	8.30%	0%	0%	91.70%
Revocation of probation	7	0%	0%	0%	14.30%	14.30%	0%	71.40%
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

*Boone County sheriff did not report to NC 2016 or 2018, and only reported part of the year 2015 and 2017;
 Albion PD did not report to NCC 2015 - 2018

Family Level

- Compared to state data, Boone County has slightly higher rates of children in extreme poverty.
- Number of adults with bachelor's degrees is lower than the state average; it may be possible that residents who go to college outside of Boone County find employment where they go to school and do not return to Boone County.
- A large percentage of students have access to internet at home.
- 8th graders report feeling supported by adults at home at slightly lower rates than the state
- 100% of 12th graders report feeling supported by adults at school.
- A little over 80% of child abuse/neglect cases are unfounded.

Table 15.
 Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Boone	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	6.3%	14.8%
	Number of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	110	43,814
	Percent of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	31.2%	28.9%
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	20.6%	31.3%
	County rank	52	-
	Age 25+ with some college, no degree	24.6%	23.0%
	County rank	40	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	94.2%	91.1%
	County Rank	21	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a computer at home	98.7%	96.9%
	County rank	41	-
	% under 18 with an internet subscription at home	96.1%	91.0%
	County rank	16	-
	% under 18 with broadband internet access at home	96.1%	90.8%
	County Rank	13	-



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Housing	Owner-occupied households	1,777	498,567
	Total households	2,280	754,063
	Owner %	77.9%	66.1%
	Renters	503	255,496
	Renter %	22.1%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	91	40,465
	Total households	2,280	754,063
	No vehicle %	4.0%	5.4%

Table 16.
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Boone	Adult at home who listens	84.7%	86.7%	85.0%
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Boone	Adult at school who listens	93.3%	93.3%	100.0%
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

Table 17.
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Boone	1	1	1	1
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18.
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Boone	73	36%	12%	81%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%

Community Level

- With regard to how youth perceive how their community feels about substance use, 10th graders are reporting lower than state averages for marijuana, alcohol, and cigarettes and 8th graders report lower than the state average for alcohol.
- Juvenile record sealing is not “automatic” even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older cases.
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Table 19.
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Boone	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	0	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	2	1,639
Other Assaults	0	8,782

Table 20.
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Boone	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	97.2%	86.7%	94.7%
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Boone	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	87.5%	60.0%	75.0%
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Boone	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	95.8%	73.3%	90.0%
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%



Table 21.
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see [Appendix for yearly data](#)

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	23	44	52.3%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	59	125	47.2%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	--	--	--
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	82	169	48.5%

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



Policy, Legal and System Level

- Despite not being in a county required to provide counsel under statute, access to counsel is higher than the state average.
- There are few curfew and 3A, 3B, and 3C filings in court so the community is diverting appropriately.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable. With a higher proportion of children <18 in poverty, perhaps offering scholarships.
 - Having a process for sealing records for youth on diversion with law enforcement and JCMS, as required by statute.
 - If drug testing is needed, it should only be used on cases where the youth demonstrates a substance use issue.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Boone	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	80.0% -- 100.0%	73.5%

Neb. Rev. 43-272. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23.

Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Boone	Nebraska
--	-------	----------



Curfew Court Filing	1	352
---------------------	---	-----

Table 24.

Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Boone					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	3	0	1	4
3B - Uncontrollable	0	2	0	0	0	2
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25.

County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) ^o

	Boone	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first time offenders to diversion	No	Yes: 27.3% No: 63.6% Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the time of the referral to diversion	No	Yes: 18.2% No: 70.5% Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are unsuccessful on diversion	Sometimes	Always: 47.7% Sometimes: 47.7% Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete diversion more than once	No	Yes: 61.4% No: 34.1% Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a juvenile ineligible for diversion	Yes; generally if there are multiple charges	Yes: 86.4% No: 9.1% Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of intervention	No	Yes: 27.3% No: 61.4% Not sure: 11.4%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Currently drug test	Yes	Yes: 31.8% No: 65.9% Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; depends on the charge (because of classes associated)	Yes: 86.4% No: 13.6% Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior to discharge	Not sure	Yes: 47.7% No: 25.0% Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Not sure	Yes: 59.1% No: 22.7% Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 37.0%.
- The rates of collective impact went up for three elements, but down for two. The community team should look at shared measurement and continuous communication amongst the team.
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.)
- The Northeast Team has good representation of previous system involvement.
- There were no probation, defense counsel, DHHS, ministry/faith based, or detention system point mentioned on your team; it could be that your team does include members at these system points but did not respond to the survey.
- $\frac{3}{4}$ of the team felt heard by the group, but that may not be representative due to low response rate.

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^P

	Northeast Team		Nebraska	
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	29	46	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	5	17	221	345
Response rate	17.2%	37.0%	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^P

	Northeast Team		Nebraska	
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean Score	
Common agenda	5.14	5.65	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	5.25	5.29	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	5.18	4.94	5.21	5.45
Continuous communication	5.50	5.41	5.49	5.55



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Backbone agency	5.25	5.35	5.52	5.78
-----------------	------	------	------	------

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support:** Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.

Community Planning Team Diversity ^p

	Northeast Team		Nebraska	
	N = 17	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	8	47.1%	101	29.3%
Female	8	47.1%	229	66.4%
Missing	1	5.9%	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30	--	--	19	5.6%
30-39	6	35.3%	68	19.6%
40-49	6	35.3%	88	25.4%
50-59	2	11.8%	90	25.8%
60 and over	2	11.8%	44	13%
Missing	1	5.9%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	15	88.2%	230	66.7%
Black	--	--	10	2.9%
Hispanic	--	--	13	3.8%
Native American	--	--	6	1.7%
Asian	1	5.9%	1	0.3%
Other	--	--	2	0.6%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Provided town name	1	5.9%	63	18.3%
Missing	--	--	19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes	7	41.2%	98	28.4%
No	10	58.8%	242	70.1%
Missing	--	--	5	1.4%
System Point*				
Law enforcement	4	19.0%	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	5	23.8%	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	7	33.3%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	--	--	10	2.3%
Diversion	2	9.5%	55	12.6%
Probation	--	--	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/ guardian ad litem	--	--	8	1.8%
DHHS or Child Welfare	--	--	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	1	4.8%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention	--	--	8	1.8%
Community based program	1	4.8%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government	--	--	6	1.4%
Restorative practices	--	--	6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	--	--	3	0.7%
Other	1	4.8%	16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	13	76.5%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	4	23.5%	75	21.7%

Boone $n = 1$, Burt $n = 1$, Cuming $n = 1$, Madison $n = 10$, Stanton $n = 1$, Wayne $n = 1$ (one person named more than one county they represent)

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^b **School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch:** Prepared by
Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education

^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020

^d **Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use:** Bureau of
Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey:
<https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data>

^e **Referral to and utilization of services:** Department of Health and Human Services

^f **Adult and juvenile arrests:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

^g Diversion programs

^h **Domestic violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:
https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County_0.pdf

ⁱ Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

^k **Distance to detention facility:** Google Maps

^l **Racial and ethnic disparities:** Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided
by:



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:

<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System

Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^m **Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing:** Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.

**Appendix: Sealed Records by Year**

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	10	10	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	19	25	76.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	--	--	--
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	29	35	82.9%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	6	6	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	24	33	72.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	--	--	--
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	30	39	76.9%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	5	14	35.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	16	32	50.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	--	--	--
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	21	46	45.7%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	2	9	22.2%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	0	11	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	--	--	--
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	2	20	10.0%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	0	5	0.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	0	24	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	--	--	--
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	0	29	0.0%