IL

EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

### Burt County

Table of Contents	
Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) *	3
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) <sup>b</sup>	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) $^{ m b}$	5
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^\circ$	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) $^{ m d}$	6
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>	7
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change <sup>f</sup>	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) <sup>g</sup>	8
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)	8
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation year estimates, 2014-2018) <sup>a</sup>	on (5- 10
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means <sup>h</sup>	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports <sup>i</sup>	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) <sup>j</sup>	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) <sup>n</sup>	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>	14
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates <sup>p</sup>	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores <sup>p</sup>	17
Community Planning Team Diversity <sup>p</sup>	18
References and Resources	20
Appendix: Sealed Records by Year	22







COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

### Youth Level

- While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because the frequency was too low to report, Hispanic, Native American, and Black youth are over-represented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- Burt County has higher percentages of IDEA compared to the state rates.
- Burt County has a graduation rate of over 94%.
- Burt County has not participated in the NRPFSS since 2014 and should consider participating in the next survey year (2021) to get youth-level data on mental health, gangs, supportive adults and community perceptions of substance use.
- The county arrest rates for all ages has decreased from 2018 to 2019.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture of RED. However, compared to census and school data, Native American youth are overrepresented at diversion referrals and enrollment, Hispanic youth are overrepresented in filing in adult court and probation intake, and Black youth are overrepresented in being successful at probation.
  - Race/ethnicity is missing at the court system points at a rate of 12.5% and 23.1%
- Native American youth are not successfully completing diversion at the same rate they are being referred and enrolled, Hispanic youth are not enrolling or successfully completing diversion at the same rate they are being referred.

#### Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) <sup>a</sup>

#### Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Burt	358	85.5%	6.4%	4.5%	2.5%	0.0%	1.1%

#### Females





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Burt	308	85.7%	8.4%	0.0%	5.2%	0.6%	0.0%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

#### Table 2.

School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)  $^{\rm b}$ 

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Burt	1,265	3.00%	0.47%	1.34%	1.42%	0.16%	91.78%	1.82%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Burt	1,252	2.80%	0.48%	1.52%	1.44%	0.32%	91.77%	1.68%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Burt	1,252	3.27%	0.56%	2.08%	1.04%	0.32%	90.89%	1.84%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Burt	1,246	2.65%	0.80%	2.33%	0.88%	0.24%	92.70%	0.40%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Burt	1,267	3.31%	0.87%	1.97%	0.87%	0.79%	91.24%	0.95%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)<sup>b</sup>

Year	Geographic	Total Youth	Hispanic	Asian	American	Black or	Native	White	Two
	Area	with Chronic			Indian or	African	Hawaiian		or
		Absenteeism			Alaska	American	or Other		More
					Native		Pacific		Races
							islander		
2014-	Burt	95	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Burt	83	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Burt	76	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
	Burt	99	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*





2017-	Nebraska	46,365							
2018			26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Burt	63	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the \* represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

#### Table 4.

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) <sup>b</sup>

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Burt	1,265	<mark>17.47%</mark>	*	*	35.97%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	<mark>13.66%</mark>	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Burt	1,252	<mark>16.61%</mark>	*	*	34.58%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	<mark>13.64%</mark>	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	Burt	1,252	<mark>16.85%</mark>	*	*	36.50%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	<mark>13.80%</mark>	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	Burt	1,246	<mark>18.78%</mark>	*	*	39.25%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	<mark>15.87%</mark>	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Burt	1,267	<mark>17.60%</mark>	*	*	41.12%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	<mark>16.13%</mark>	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the \* represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

#### Table 5.

Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °

County	Total in Last 5 Years		Yearly Av	verages	Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students	Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Burt	404	428	26.9	28.5	<mark>94.4%</mark>	42

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6.





Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>

		8 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	12 <sup>th</sup>
Burt	Loss of sleep from worry			
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Burt	Depressed			
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Burt	Considered/Attempted suicide			
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Burt	Current alcohol			
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Burt	Current binge drinking			
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Burt	Current marijuana			
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Burt	Current tobacco			
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Burt	Current vaping			
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Burt	Hopeful for future (past week)			
Nebraska		72.1%	74.7%	78.4%

\*Burt County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2014)

#### \*\*JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services <sup>e</sup>

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis<sup>e</sup>

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services <sup>e</sup>

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized <sup>e</sup>

Table 11.





Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>

		8 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	12 <sup>th</sup>
Burt	Youth Reported Gang Involvement			
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

\*Burt County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2014)

Table 12.

Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change <sup>f</sup>

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages		Und	der 18
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography			BURT (	COUNTY	/	
Arrest Offense						
Total	<mark>141</mark>	<mark>78</mark>	-44.68	15	14	-6.67
Murder and Nonnegligent Manslaughter	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Aggravated Assault Total	1	1	0.00	0	0	-
Larceny-Theft Total	4	1	-75.00	0	-	-
Other Assaults	24	6	-75.00	2	-	-100.00
Fraud	2	2	0.00	0	-	-
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	3	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	2	3	50.00	1	0	-100.00
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Drug Violations - Possession	21	7	-66.67	5	1	-80.00
Offenses Against Family and Children	4	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Driving Under the Influence	33	11	-66.67	0	0	-
Liquor Laws	18	14	-22.22	5	7	40.00
Vagrancy	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	24	33	37.50	0	6	-
Curfew and Loitering Law Violations	2	-	-100.00	2	-	-100.00



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 13.

Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017)<sup>g</sup>

		Burt		All NYS Counties			
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2	
Family Circumstance/Parenting				60.1%	26.7%	13.1%	
Education/Employment				43.0%	44.0%	13.1%	
Peer Relationships				44.7%	46.6%	8.6%	
Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%	
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%	
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%	
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%	
Mean Score	M	=, SD =	,	<i>M</i> = 5.64, <i>SD</i> = 3.65, 0-17			

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed

#### Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)<sup>+</sup>

#### Click here to see Census and School Population Data

\*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	62*							
Youth referred to diversion	63	<mark>7.90%</mark>	0%	3.20%	3.20%	4.80%	0%	81%
Youth enrolled in diversion	57	<mark>7%</mark>	0%	3.50%	<mark>1.80%</mark>	5.30%	0%	82.50%
Successful completion diversion	54	<mark>5.60%</mark>	0%	3.70%	<mark>1.90%</mark>	5.60%	0%	83.30%
Youth with multiple charges	8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	<mark>12.50%</mark>	87.50%
Filed on in adult court	13	0%	0%	0%	<mark>15.40%</mark>	0%	<mark>23.10%</mark>	61.50%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

RAI Override: More Severe	4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	12	<mark>16.70%</mark>	0%	0%	<mark>16.70%</mark>	0%	0%	66.70%
Successful probation	19	0%	0%	<mark>5.30%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	94.70%
Revocation of probation	3	<mark>66.70%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.30%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

\*Lyons PD did not report to NCC 2015 - 2018; Tekamah PD only partially reported to NCC in 2015

EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

### Family Level

- Compared to state data, Burt County has slightly higher rates of children in poverty.
- Number of adults with bachelor's degrees is lower than the state average; it may be possible that residents who go to college outside of Burt County find employment where they go to school and do not return to Burt County.
- Compared to state data, Burt County has lower rates of computers and access to internet at

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) <sup>a</sup>

Measurement		Burt	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	<mark>15.3%</mark>	14.8%
-	Number of children 12-	137	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	<mark>29.9%</mark>	28.9%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>20.6%</mark>	31.3%
	County rank	52	-
	Age 25+ with some	24.6%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County rank	40	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	94.2%	91.1%
	County Rank	21	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	<mark>94.3%</mark>	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County rank	83	-
	% under 18 with an	<mark>82.6%</mark>	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County rank	78	-
	% under 18 with	<mark>82.6%</mark>	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	78	-
Housing	Owner-occupied	2,197	498,567
	households		
	Total households	2,919	754,063
	Owner %	75.3%	66.1%
	Renters	722	255,496
	10		-





#### COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

	Renter %	24.7%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no	136	40,465
	vehicle available		
	Total households	2,919	754,063
	No vehicle %	4.7%	5.4%

Table 16.

Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>

		8 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	12 <sup>th</sup>
Burt	Adult at home who listens			
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Burt	Adult at school who listens			
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

\*Burt County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2014)

#### Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Burt	0	0	7	6
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports<sup>i</sup>

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Burt	122	45%	16%	69%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

### **Community Level**

- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record
  requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be
  sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully
  complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or
  other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved
  because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older
  cases.
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Table 19.

Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) <sup>j</sup>

Type of Violence	Burt	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	0	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	1	1,639
Other Assaults	6	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>

		8 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	12 <sup>th</sup>
Burt	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana			
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Burt	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol			
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Burt	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes			
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%

\*Burt County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2014)



#### COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 21.

Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019)<sup>m</sup>

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	48	66	<mark>72.7%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	86	177	48.6%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	27	36	75.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	161	291	55.3%

\*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



**COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021** 

### Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; notably, access to counsel is low in this community.
- There are few curfew and 3A, 3B, and 3C filings in court so the community is diverting appropriately.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
  - o Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
  - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
  - Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable. With a higher proportion of children <18 in poverty, perhaps offering scholarships</li>
  - If drug testing is needed, it should only be used on cases where the youth demonstrates a substance use issue.
  - Having a process for sealing records for youth on diversion with law enforcement and JCMS, as required by statute.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) <sup>n</sup>

	Burt	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	<mark>40.0% 59.9%</mark>	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019)<sup>m</sup>





#### COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

	Burt	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	1	352

Table 24.

Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases  $(2015 - 2019)^{m}$ 

	Burt					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	1	3	0	4	8
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	0	1	1	2
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	1	1

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

#### Table 25.

County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °

	Burt	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	No	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Always	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Yes	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Yes; felony charges	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion		No: 9.1%
-		Not sure: 4.5%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Warning letters instead of	No	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	Yes	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; depends on the charge	Yes: 86.4%
		No: 13.6%
		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	Not sure	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge		No: 25.0%
-		Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	No; the county attorney sends	Yes: 59.1%
	information about sealing records	No: 22.7%
	and juveniles/family must arrange for sealing	Not sure: 18.2%

\*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



**COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021** 

### **Community Team Level**

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 37.0%.
- The rates of collective impact went up for three elements, but down for two. The community team should look at shared measurement and continuous communication amongst the team.
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.)
- The Northeast Team has good representation of previous system involvement.
- There were no probation, defense counsel, DHHS, ministry/faith based, or detention system point mentioned on your team; it could be that your team does include members at these system points but did not respond to the survey.
- <sup>3</sup>⁄<sub>4</sub> of the team felt heard by the group, but that may not be representative due to low response rate.

Table 26. Collective Impact Survey Response Rates <sup>p</sup>

	Northeast Team		Nebr	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	29	46	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	5	17	221	345
Response rate	17.2%	<mark>37.0%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27. Collective Impact Survey Scores <sup>p</sup>

	Northeast	Геат	Nebra	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean	Score
Common agenda	5.14	5.65	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	5.25	5.29	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	<mark>5.18</mark>	<mark>4.94</mark>	5.21	5.45
Continuous communication	<mark>5.50</mark>	<mark>5.41</mark>	5.49	5.55



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Backbone agency	5.25	5.35	5.52	5.78
-----------------	------	------	------	------

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support**: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations <sup>q</sup>

Table 28. Community Planning Team Diversity <sup>p</sup>

	Northeas	st Team	Neb	raska
	N = 17	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	8	47.1%	101	29.3%
Female	8	47.1%	229	66.4%
Missing	1	5.9%	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39	6	35.3%	68	19.6%
40-49	6	35.3%	88	25.4%
50-59	2	11.8%	90	25.8%
60 and over	2	11.8%	44	13%
Missing	1	5.9%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	15	88.2%	230	66.7%
Black	<b></b>		10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian	1	5.9%	1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name	1	5.9%	63	18.3%

## $\bigcirc$

## UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Missing			19	5.5%
Provious System Involvement				
Previous System Involvement Yes	7	41.2%	98	28.4%
No	10	58.8%	242	70.1%
	-		5	
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point *				
Law enforcement	4	19.0%	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	5	23.8%	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	7	33.3%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based			10	2.3%
Diversion	2	9.5%	55	12.6%
Probation			31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare			13	3.0%
Treatment provider	1	4.8%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program	1	4.8%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Other	1	4.8%	16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	13	76.5%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	4	23.5%	75	21.7%

Boone n = 1, Burt n = 1, Cuming n = 1, Madison n = 10, Stanton n = 1, Wayne n = 1 (one person named more than one county they represent)

\*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

### References and Resources

<sup>a</sup> Population data: Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

<sup>a</sup> Youth employment: Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

<sup>a</sup> Poverty/SES: Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

<sup>a</sup> Technology in household: Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

<sup>a</sup> Home owner/transportation: Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

<sup>a</sup> Education attainment: Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

<sup>b</sup> School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education

<sup>°</sup> Graduation rates: Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020

<sup>d</sup> Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data

<sup>e</sup> Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services

<sup>f</sup> Adult and iuvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission. Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx <sup>g</sup> Diversion programs

<sup>h</sup> Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2 0by%20County 0.pdf <sup>1</sup>Child abuse and neglect

<sup>j</sup>Community violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx <sup>k</sup> Distance to detention facility: Google Maps

Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:

#### UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

<sup>m</sup> Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

<sup>n</sup> Access to Counsel: Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: <u>www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount</u>. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

<sup>o</sup> **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

<sup>p</sup> Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

<sup>q</sup> **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.



**COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021** 

### Appendix: Sealed Records by Year

\*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	3	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	20	20	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	4	4	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	27	27	100.0%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	7	7	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	13	19	68.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	22	28	78.6%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	11	17	64.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	15	21	71.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	7	8	87.5%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	33	57	57.9%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	12	22	54.5%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	28	55	50.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	13	17	76.5%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	53	94	56.4%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	15	17	88.2%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	10	62	16.1%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	5	20.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	26	85	30.6%