



Butler County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) a	3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) b	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b	
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2016) ^d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2016) d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	7
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)	8
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	•
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2016) ^d	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means h	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2016) d	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) n	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	17
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	18
Pafarances and Pasaureas	20



Αı	pendix: Sealed Court Record	ls by Year	2	22



Youth Level

- While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because the frequency was too low to report, Hispanic, Native American, and Black youth are over-represented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
 - Rate of Hispanic youth with chronic absenteeism is disproportionate to the rate of Hispanic youth in Seward County schools.
- Reported tobacco use for all grades is nearly double the state average; 12th graders report higher on alcohol and binge drinking than the state.
- Arrests for juveniles went down from 2018 to 2019.
- YLS domains that are the highest in Butler County are Family Circumstance/Parenting, Peer Relationships, and Personality/Behavior. There should be programs in the community to address these.
- Law enforcement data by race/ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture of RED; however the percentage of black and Hispanic youth in diversion and probation is higher than reported in demographics
- Although the Census and school data indicate few Black youth, they are over-represented in probation intakes. Black youth are also disproportionately less successful on probation

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Butler	486	95.5%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%
Butler	430	93.5%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	1.2%





Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Butler	994	6.04%	1.11%	0.30%	0.40%	0.00%	91.45%	0.70%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Butler	971	5.66%	1.03%	0.31%	0.21%	0.00%	91.97%	0.82%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Butler	936	5.45%	0.75%	0.21%	0.21%	0.00%	92.31%	1.07%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Butler	955	7.02%	0.42%	0.31%	0.21%	0.00%	90.99%	1.05%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Butler	938	8.32%	0.21%	0.11%	0.21%	0.00%	89.98%	1.17%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Butler	78	*	*	*	*	islander *	100.00%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Butler	56	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Butler	66	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Butler	70	<mark>18.57%</mark>	*	*	*	*	81.43%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Butler	80	<mark>27.50%</mark>	*	*	*	*	72.50%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students



Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Butler	994	15.09%	4.23%	*	41.75%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Butler	971	14.11%	5.77%	*	38.11%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	Butler	936	15.28%	4.27%	*	40.17%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	Butler	955	18.74%	3.77%	1.57%	40.42%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Butler	938	17.06%	*	2.03%	37.42%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c

County	Total in Las	st 5 Years	Yearly Av	Graduation		
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Graduates Students		Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	
Butler	364	386	36.4	38.6	94.3%	44

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2016) d

	Youth Report of MH Symptoms	8 th	10 th	12 th
Butler	Loss of sleep from worry	14.8%	10.7%	14.8%
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Butler	Depressed	32.8%	19.6%	16.7%
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Butler	Considered/Attempted suicide	8.2%	17.9%	16.7%
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Butler	Current alcohol	6.8%	21.4%	<mark>38.2</mark> %
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%





Butler	Current binge drinking	1.7%	12.5%	<mark>23.6%</mark>
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	<mark>15.0%</mark>
Butler	Current marijuana	0.0%	5.4%	18.2%
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Butler	Current tobacco	<mark>6.8%</mark>	<mark>16.1%</mark>	<mark>27.3%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>3.7%</mark>	<mark>8.0%</mark>	<mark>15.3%</mark>
Butler	Current vaping	3.4%	12.5%	29.1%
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Butler	Hopeful for future (past week)	86.7%	82.1%	88.9%
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2016) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Butler	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	3.3%	1.8%	5.6%
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%





Table 12.
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages		Und	der 18
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography			BUTLER	COUNT	Υ	
Arrest Offense						
Total	229	193	-15.72	28	13	-53.57
Rape Total	-	1	-	-	-	-
Aggravated Assault Total	3	2	-33.33	1	-	-100.00
Burglary Total	-	3	-	-	-	-
Larceny-Theft Total	6	2	-66.67	-	-	-
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	2	2	0.00	1	2	100.00
Other Assaults	23	22	-4.35	1	-	-100.00
Fraud	1	-	-100.00	-	-	-
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	2	4	100.00	-	0	-
Vandalism	5	3	-40.00	1		-100.00
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	4	6	50.00	1	0	-100.00
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	2	2	0.00	-	-	-
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	10	5	-50.00	2	-	-100.00
Drug Violations - Possession	51	28	-45.10	10	2	-80.00
Offenses Against Family and Children	2	4	100.00	-	-	-
Driving Under the Influence	29	28	-3.45	1	2	100.00
Liquor Laws	11	16	45.45	3	5	66.67
Disorderly Conduct	5	4	-20.00	-	-	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	73	61	-16.44	7	2	-71.43

Table 13. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	В	utler	All YLS Counties	
Score	М	SD	М	SD
Family Circumstance/Parenting	<mark>1.55</mark>	1.13	1.20	1.32
Education/Employment	0.73	0.91	1.27	1.35
Peer Relationships	<mark>1.73</mark>	1.35	1.78	1.08
Substance Use	0.18	0.41	1.29	1.40
Leisure/Recreation	0.09	0.31	0.88	0.95





Personality/Behavior	1.45	0.93	1.34	1.52
Attitudes/Orientation	1.00	0.78	0.45	0.79
Mean Score	<i>M</i> = 6.73, <i>SD</i> = 2.57, 3-11		M = 6.73, $SD = 2.57$, 3-11 $M = 8.23$, $SD = 5.22$, 0-	

Butler County n = 119; Statewide n = 2124

Table 14.
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	107							
Youth referred to diversion	55	0%	0%	0%	<mark>7.30%</mark>	1.80%	0%	90.90%
Youth enrolled in diversion	47	0%	0%	0%	<mark>8.50%</mark>	2.10%	0%	89.40%
Successful completion diversion	40	0%	0%	0%	<mark>10.0%</mark>	2.50%	0%	87.50%
Youth with multiple charges	6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%
Filed on in adult court	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
RAI Override: More Severe	3	0%	0%	33.30%	<mark>33.30%</mark>	0%	0%	33.30%
RAI Override: Less Severe	2	0%	0%	0%	<mark>50.0%</mark>	0%	0%	50%
Probation intake	12	0%	0%	<mark>8.30%</mark>	<mark>33.30%</mark>	0%	0%	58.30%
Successful probation	81	0%	0%	1.20%	<mark>16.0%</mark>	0%	0%	82.70%
Revocation of probation	9	0%	0%	<mark>11.10%</mark>	<mark>22.20%</mark>	0%	0%	66.70%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								





Youth booked into detention	 	 	 	
Youth booked into	 	 	 	
detention more				
than once				



Family Level

- Number of adults with bachelor's degrees is lower than the state average; it may be possible
 that residents who go to college outside of Butler County find employment where they go to
 school and do not return to Butler County.
- 8th and 10th graders report lower than state on adult at home who listens, 12th graders report lower than state average of adults at school who listen.

Table 15.
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Butler	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	9.1%	14.8%
-	Number of children 12-	152	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	21.3%	28.9%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>19.9%</mark>	31.3%
	County rank	58	-
	Age 25+ with some	22.2%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County rank	71	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	92.1%	91.1%
	County Rank	52	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	95.6%	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County rank	77	-
	% under 18 with an	86.7%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County rank	71	-
	% under 18 with	86.2%	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	72	-
Housing	Owner-occupied	2,643	498,567
	households		
	Total households	3,377	754,063





	Owner %	78.3%	66.1%
	Renters	734	255,496
	Renter %	21.7%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	86	40,465
	Total households	3,377	754,063
	No vehicle %	2.5%	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2016) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Butler	Adult at home who listens	<mark>84.5%</mark>	<mark>80.4%</mark>	85.5%
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Butler	Adult at school who listens	87.1%	89.5%	<mark>77.8%</mark>
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

Table 17. Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults	Aggravated Domestic Assaults	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared
	Reported	Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	by Arrest or Exceptional Means
		Means		·
Butler	0	0	14	12
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Butler	86	38%	21%	70%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%

Community Level

- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record
 requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be
 sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully
 complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or
 other treatment).
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis.

Table 19.
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Butler	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	1	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	2	1,639
Other Assaults	22	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2016) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Butler	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	93.0%	91.1%	88.9%
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Butler	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	<mark>86.0%</mark>	<mark>75.0%</mark>	<mark>58.2%</mark>
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Butler	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	93.0%	<mark>83.9%</mark>	<mark>76.4%</mark>
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%





Table 21. Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	21	32	<mark>65.6%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	281	368	76.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	10	10	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	312	410	76.1%

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; notably, access to counsel is very low in this community.
- There are few curfew and 3A, 3B, and 3C filings in court so the community is diverting appropriately.
- It is not clear why all first-time offenders are not referred to diversion but perhaps this is due to the ineligible offenses.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
- If drug testing is needed, it should only be used on cases where the youth demonstrates a substance use issue.

Table 22. Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Butler	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	<mark>20.0% 39.9%</mark>	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Butler	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352





Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 - 2019) m

	Butler					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	1	2	1	4
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0

		Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total	
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7	
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997	
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491	
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306	

Table 25.
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °

	Butler	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	No	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	Not sure	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Sometimes	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Yes	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Yes; murder, manslaughter	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion		No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	No	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	Yes	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%





Fees beyond restitution	beyond restitution Yes; \$25 enrollment fee and \$15 a month	
		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	Yes; when a violation occurs, a	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge	response is given to address the	No: 25.0%
	specific violation	Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Yes; Upon enrollment a motion to dismiss will be provided to the court unless charges are never filed. Once the order of dismissal is processed the court seals the record. Upon completion a case completion form is completed and sent	Yes: 59.1% No: 22.7% Not sure: 18.2%

^{*}responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 12.2%.
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.)
- On the survey –no probation, law enforcement, prosecution, or defense counsel in your team but perhaps they did not respond to the survey.
- Only two people did not feel heard, but that is likely not representative due to low response rate.

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	Seward/Butler		Nebr	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	29	41	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	9	5	221	345
Response rate	31.0%	12.2%	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p

	Seward/B	utler	Nebraska			
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020		
Mean S		Mean Score		Mean Score		Score
Common agenda	5.00	5.80	5.29	5.69		
Mutually reinforcing	4.36	5.80	5.37	5.50		
Shared measurement	3.78	5.80	5.21	5.45		
Continuous communication	4.32	6.00	5.49	5.55		
Backbone agency	5.10	5.80	5.52	5.78		

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

• **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.





- Mutually reinforcing activities: Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- Shared measurement: Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- Continuous communication: Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support**: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations q

Table 28. Community Planning Team Diversity P

	Seward/Butler		Neb	raska
	N = 5	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male			101	29.3%
Female	4	80.0%	229	66.4%
Missing	1	20.0%	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39	1	20.0%	68	19.6%
40-49	2	40.0%	88	25.4%
50-59	1	20.0%	90	25.8%
60 and over			44	13%
Missing	1	20.0%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	3	60.0%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name	1	20.0%	63	18.3%
Missing	1	20.0%	19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes	1	20.0%	98	28.4%
No Missing	4	80.0%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%





System Point*				
Law enforcement			34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court			32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	1	20.0%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based			10	2.3%
Diversion	1	20.0%	55	12.6%
Probation			31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare			13	3.0%
Treatment provider	1	20.0%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program	2	40.0%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	3	60.0%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	2	40.0%	75	21.7%

Seward n = 4 (one person named more than one county they represent, but they were outside this multi-county group).

^{*}note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

- ^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 g Diversion programs
- h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County 0.pdf
- ¹Child abuse and neglect
- ^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
- ^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps
- ¹Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:





Nebraska Crime Commission. Crime Statistics:

https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- ^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- ^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- P Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^q Collective Impact Elements: Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. Stanford Social Innovation Review.



Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	7	7	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	95	100	95.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	104	109	95.4%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	8	37.5%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	34	37	91.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	38	46	82.6%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	6	9	66.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		-	
Filed in Juv. Court	82	100	82.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	5	5	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	93	114	81.6%





2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	3	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	38	62	61.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	43	67	64.2%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	2	5	40.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	32	69	46.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	34	74	45.9%