



# **Cheyenne County**

# **Table of Contents**

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018)	³ 3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) b	4
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change <sup>f</sup>	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) <sup>g</sup>	7
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) <sup>1</sup>	8
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportat year estimates, 2014-2018) <sup>a</sup>	•
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) d	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) h	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) i	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) j	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) <sup>n</sup>	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>	14
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates <sup>p</sup>	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores <sup>p</sup>	17
Community Planning Team Diversity <sup>p</sup>	18
References and Resources	20
Appendix: RED Descriptives	22



### **Youth Level**

- Table 1: Cheyenne County has some diversity, but is not as diverse as some regions of the state.
- Table 2-3: Chronic absenteeism although Cheyenne County is lower than the rest of the state, Hispanic youth are still over represented in absenteeism (13.57% of the youth chronically absent –compared to 8.41% of the 1,665 student enrolled in 2018-2019).
- Table 4: Free and reduced lunch lower than the rest of the state. IDEA plans have risen slightly, still lower than the rest of the state.
- Table 5: HS graduation rates higher than the rest of the state -94% of your students graduate!
- Table 6: 10<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> graders in Sidney Public Schools report loss of sleep from worry and considering/attempting suicide at higher rates than the state. 8<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, and 12<sup>th</sup> graders report feeling depressed at higher rates than the state. 8<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, and 12<sup>th</sup> graders report higher rates of tobacco use, vaping, and binge drinking; 8<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> graders report higher alcohol use, and 10<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> graders report higher marijuana use compared to the state. All three grades surveyed indicated feeling less hopeful for the future than state.
- Table 11: 8<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> graders in Sidney Public Schools report gang involvement at a higher rate than the state.
- Table 12: Overall crime has remained the same, but juvenile crime has gone down slightly 44%, but few cases.) Larceny and drug violations went down.
- Table 13. Youth in Cheyenne County scored very similarly to the rest of the state. A statewide tool is on the horizon. A validated tool can help design interventions (using the 8 domains).
- Table 14: Table DMC/RED: law enforcement data by race would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture of RED. Hispanic youth are referred to diversion but less likely to enroll, and less likely to be successful on diversion. Asian youth are referred, but do not enroll?
  - Hispanic youth have a very high override rate (but there were only 7 cases). Hispanic youth seem to have a high revocation rate, but it is actually a lower percent that youth placed on probation (42%).

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) <sup>a</sup>

#### **Males**

Geographic Area Name	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Cheyenne	567	89.2%	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.7%

#### **Females**

Geographic	Total Count	Non- Hispanic	Hispanic or		American	Asian or Pacific	2+
Area Name		White	Latino	Black	Indian	Islander	Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%
Cheyenne	491	78.2%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	3.5%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) <sup>b</sup>

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Cheyenne	1746	<mark>8.25%</mark>	1.60%	0.34%	0.29%	0.17%	87.86%	1.49%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	<mark>17.74%</mark>	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Cheyenne	1783	<mark>8.81%</mark>	1.79%	0.17%	0.34%	0.17%	87.04%	1.68%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	<mark>18.08%</mark>	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Cheyenne	1751	<mark>9.08%</mark>	1.77%	0.29%	0.63%	0.11%	86.64%	1.48%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Cheyenne	1699	<mark>8.18%</mark>	1.82%	0.18%	0.82%	0.12%	87.05%	1.82%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	<mark>18.80%</mark>	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Cheyenne	1665	<mark>8.41%</mark>	1.68%	0.24%	1.14%	0.00%	86.79%	1.74%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	<mark>19.13%</mark>	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)<sup>b</sup>

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Cheyenne	172	<mark>15.12%</mark>	*	*	*	*	84.88%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	<mark>24.54%</mark>	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Cheyenne	201	<mark>13.43%</mark>	*	*	*	*	86.57%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	<mark>25.73%</mark>	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Cheyenne	197	<mark>14.72%</mark>	*	*	*	*	85.28%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	<mark>26.90%</mark>	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
	Cheyenne	237	<mark>12.66%</mark>	*	*	*	*	87.34%	*





2017-	Nebraska	46,365							
2018			<mark>26.81%</mark>	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Cheyenne	361	<mark>13.57%</mark>	*	*	*	*	86.43%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	<mark>27.64%</mark>	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the \* represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4.

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Cheyenne	1746	11.51%	*	1.49%	32.88%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Cheyenne	1783	10.54%	*	1.85%	33.43%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	Cheyenne	1751	10.39%	*	1.66%	37.29%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	Cheyenne	1699	12.95%	*	1.71%	36.26%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Cheyenne	1665	<mark>13.21%</mark>	*	1.32%	<mark>40.48%</mark>
2019	Nebraska	325,984	<mark>16.13%</mark>	0.85%	6.78%	<mark>45.42%</mark>

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the \* represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019)  $^\circ$ 

County	Total in Last 5 Years		Yearly Av	Graduation		
	Graduates	Students	Graduates Students		Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	n/a
Cheyenne	564	600	37.6	40.0	<mark>94.0%</mark>	50

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>

		8 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	12 <sup>th</sup>
Cheyenne	Loss of sleep from worry	14.1%	<mark>36.5%</mark>	<mark>33.3%</mark>
Nebraska		18.0%	<mark>20.6%</mark>	<mark>21.6%</mark>
Cheyenne	Depressed	<mark>34.3%</mark>	<mark>51.9%</mark>	<mark>60.8%</mark>
Nebraska		31.1%	<mark>34.8%</mark>	35.3%

Cheyenne	Considered/Attempted suicide	16.9%	<mark>30.8%</mark>	<mark>27.5%</mark>
Nebraska		22.9%	<mark>18.2%</mark>	<mark>16.2%</mark>
Cheyenne	Current alcohol	<mark>12.7%</mark>	<mark>23.5%</mark>	33.3%
Nebraska		<mark>9.8%</mark>	<mark>20.1%</mark>	34.2%
Cheyenne	Current binge drinking	<mark>4.2%</mark>	<mark>7.8%</mark>	<mark>17.6%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>1.3%</mark>	<mark>6.2%</mark>	<mark>15.0%</mark>
Cheyenne	Current marijuana	2.8%	<mark>15.7%</mark>	<mark>29.4%</mark>
Nebraska		3.0%	<mark>7.3%</mark>	<mark>13.9%</mark>
Cheyenne	Current tobacco	<mark>7.0%</mark>	<mark>11.5%</mark>	<mark>31.4%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>3.7%</mark>	<mark>8.0%</mark>	<mark>15.3%</mark>
Cheyenne	Current vaping	<mark>14.1%</mark>	<mark>40.4%</mark>	<mark>68.3%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>10.4%</mark>	<mark>24.7%</mark>	<mark>37.3%</mark>
Cheyenne	Hopeful for future (past week)	<mark>77.5%</mark>	<mark>61.5%</mark>	<mark>72.5%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>78.0%</mark>	<mark>76.1%</mark>	<mark>77.6%</mark>

<sup>\*</sup>Data for Sidney Public School provided by Sidney Public Schools

### \*\*JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>

		8 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	12 <sup>th</sup>
Cheyenne	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	<mark>7.0%</mark>	1.9%	<mark>15.7%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>3.8%</mark>	4.4%	<mark>3.8%</mark>

<sup>\*</sup>Data for Sidney Public School provided by Sidney Public Schools





Table 12.
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change <sup>f</sup>

Arrestee Age		All Arrestee Ages			Under 18		
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019	2018	2019	2018 - 2019	
						Growth %	
Jurisdiction by Geography	CHEYENNE COUNTY						
Arrest Offense							
Total	339	343	1.18	<mark>25</mark>	<mark>14</mark>	<mark>-44.00</mark>	
Rape Total	-	1	-	-	-	-	
Aggravated Assault Total	4	10	150.00	-	-	-	
Burglary Total	6	1	-83.33	-	-	-	
Larceny-Theft Total	46	34	-26.09	8	1	<mark>-87.50</mark>	
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	1	1	0.00	-	-	-	
Other Assaults	38	41	7.89	2	3	50.00	
Forgery and Counterfeiting	2		-100.00	-	-	-	
Fraud	4	1	-75.00	-	-	-	
Embezzlement		1	-	-	-	-	
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	1	1	0.00	-	-	-	
Vandalism	4	19	375.00	-	2	-	
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	12	1	-91.67	0	-	-	
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	-	1	-	-	-	-	
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	17	13	-23.53	0	0	-	
Drug Violations - Possession	125	102	-18.40	<mark>11</mark>	2	<mark>-81.82</mark>	
NIBRS Unable to Classify	9	4	-55.56	1	2	100.00	
Offenses Against Family and Children	2	ı	-100.00	-	-	-	
Driving Under the Influence	42	34	-19.05	1	1	0.00	
Liquor Laws	1	18	1700.00	0	0	-	
Disorderly Conduct	5	11	120.00	-	-	-	
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	20	49	145.00	2	3	50.00	

Table 13. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) <sup>g</sup>

		Cheyenn	е	All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting	55.2%	<mark>41.4%</mark>	3.4%	60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment	41.4%	<mark>48.3%</mark>	10.3%	43.0%	44.0%	13.1%





Peer Relationships	34.5%	<mark>65.5%</mark>		44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use	51.7%	<mark>44.8%</mark>	3.4%	61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation	34.5%	<mark>55.2%</mark>	10.3%	50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior	55.2%	<mark>31.0%</mark>	13.8%	50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation	58.6%	<mark>37.9%</mark>	3.4%	61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M = 5.7	72, SD = 3	D = 3.80, 0-13 $M = 5.64, SD = 3.65, 0-10$			65, 0-17

Cheyenne County n = 29; Statewide n = 1512

Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)

### Click here to see Census and School Population Data

See Appendix for yearly data

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	121							
Youth referred to diversion	86	0%	1.20%	0%	<mark>8.10%</mark>	3.50%	3.50%	83.70%
Youth enrolled in diversion	68	0%	0%	0%	<mark>5.90%</mark>	2.90%	4.40%	86.80%
Successful completion diversion	56	0%	0%	0%	<mark>3.60%</mark>	<mark>3.60%</mark>	3.60%	89.30%
Youth with multiple charges	17	0%	0%	0%	5.90%	0%	47.10%	47.10%
Filed on in adult court								
RAI Override: More Severe	7	0%	0%	0%	<mark>71.40%</mark>	0%	0%	28.60%
RAI Underride: Less Severe	3	33.30%	0%	0%	<mark>33.30%</mark>	0%	0%	33.30%
Probation intake	21	<mark>4.80%</mark>	0%	0%	<mark>42.90%</mark>	0%	0%	52.40%
Successful probation	62	3.20%	1.60%	1.60%	<mark>17.70%</mark>	0%	0%	75.80%
Revocation of probation	25	<mark>4%</mark>	0%	0%	<mark>36%</mark>	0%	0%	60%





Youth in OJS	 	 	 	 
custody				
OJS custody:	 	 	 	 
placed in detention				
Youth booked into	 	 	 	 
detention				
Youth booked into	 	 	 	 
detention more than				
once				

LW





# **Family Level**

- Table 15: Cheyenne County's rate are comparable to the rest of the state. Lower rates of poverty, higher rates of home ownership.
  - o Relative high rates of high school graduation,
  - We looked at broadband internet access for purposes of COVID.
- Table 16: 8th and 12th graders in Sidney Public Schools report a supportive adult at home at a lower rate than the state; 10<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> graders report a supportive adult in school at a lower rate than the state.
- Table 17: Relatively low rates of violence, domestic assault.
- Table 18: 175 cases came into the hotline, and roughly 78% were unfounded (higher number of unfounded reports compared to the rest of the state.)

Table 15. Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5year estimates, 2014-2018) a

Measurement		Cheyenne	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	<mark>12.0%</mark>	14.8%
-	Number of children 12-	155	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	<mark>21.2%</mark>	28.9%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	26.2%	31.3%
	County rank	15	-
	Age 25+ with some	27.0%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County rank	23	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	<mark>93.3%</mark>	91.1%
	County Rank	30	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	<mark>99.9%</mark>	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County rank	20	-
	% under 18 with an	94.7%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County rank	24	-
	% under 18 with	<mark>94.1%</mark>	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	25	-





Housing	Owner-occupied households	3,049	498,567
	Total households	4,434	754,063
	Owner %	<mark>68.8%</mark>	66.1%
	Renters	1,385	255,496
	Renter %	31.2%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	180	40,465
	Total households	4,434	754,063
	No vehicle %	4.1%	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>

		8 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	12 <sup>th</sup>
Cheyenne	Adult at home who listens	<mark>76.1%</mark>	85.7%	<mark>84.0%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>87.3%</mark>	85.0%	<mark>85.6%</mark>
Cheyenne	Adult at school who listens	94.4%	<mark>82.7%</mark>	<mark>72.5%</mark>
Nebraska		85.2%	<mark>85.0%</mark>	<mark>87.4%</mark>

<sup>\*</sup>Data for Sidney Public School provided by Sidney Public Schools

Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) h

	Aggravated	Aggravated	Simple Domestic	Simple Domestics
	Domestic Assaults	Domestic Assaults	Assaults Reported	Assaults Cleared
	Reported	Cleared by Arrest		by Arrest or
		or Exceptional		Exceptional Means
		Means		
Cheyenne	11	6	24	15
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) i

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Cheyenne	175	47%	12%	78%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



# **Community Level**

- Table 19: relatively few assaults in 2019 (only 41).
- Table 20: With regard to how the youth perceive the community attitudes on substance use, 8th, 10th, and 12th graders in Sidney Public Schools report lower rates regarding marijuana, alcohol, and cigarettes than the state.
- Table 21: Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment). Diversion appears to have an in-depth policy for sealing records.

Table 19. Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) j

Type of Violence	Cheyenne	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	1	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	10	1,639
Other Assaults	41	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) d

		8 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	12 <sup>th</sup>
Cheyenne	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	<mark>90.0%</mark>	<mark>81.6%</mark>	<mark>72.0%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>94.4%</mark>	<mark>89.8%</mark>	<mark>85.2%</mark>
Cheyenne	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	<mark>85.7%</mark>	<mark>75.5%</mark>	<mark>56.0%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>89.1%</mark>	<mark>80.4%</mark>	<mark>68.7%</mark>
Cheyenne	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	<mark>90.0%</mark>	<mark>81.6%</mark>	<mark>72.0%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>92.9%</mark>	<mark>89.0%</mark>	<mark>78.7%</mark>

<sup>\*</sup>Data for Sidney Public School provided by Sidney Public Schools





Table 21. Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>

#### see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	169	189	<mark>89.4%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	168	261	<mark>64.4%</mark>
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	13	13	<mark>100.0%</mark>
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	350	463	<mark>75.6%</mark>

<sup>\*</sup>Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



# Policy, Legal and System Level

Table 22: JUSTICE data indicates that your county has a higher rate of legal representation compared to the rest of the states.

Table 23-24: No curfew filings- excellent. Why? These types of low level cases that could be diverted from court system, and this seems to be the case in Cheyenne County.

Table 25: With respect to diversion practices, the community seems to be using best practices:

- o Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
- Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
- Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable. With a higher proportion of children <18 in poverty, perhaps offering scholarships.</li>

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) <sup>n</sup>

	Cheyenne	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	80.0% 100.0%	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>

	Cheyenne	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352



Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019)  $^{\rm m}$ 

		Cheyenne							
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total			
3A - Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0			
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	1	0	0	1	1	3			
3B - Uncontrollable	1	0	0	0	0	1			
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0			

	Nebraska							
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total		
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7		
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997		
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491		
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306		

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020)  $^\circ$ 

	Cheyenne	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are	Yes	Yes: 27.3%
first time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they	Sometimes	Always: 47.7%
are unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Yes	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Yes; Felony charges	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion		No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	Yes	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	No	Yes: 86.4%
		No: 13.6%





		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses	Yes; send letters and other options	Yes: 47.7%
prior to discharge	to address barriers that may prevent	No: 25.0%
	successful completion	Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	No	Yes: 59.1%
		No: 22.7%
		Not sure: 18.2%

<sup>\*</sup>responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)

# **Community Team Level**

- Table 26-27: A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was quite low, at 11.7%. However, this is likely because it went to a very large team of 137. The sixteen people that responded gave the team good scores on collective impact.
- Table 28: It might be beneficial to add diversity to your team.
  - Very few younger people on the team (perhaps did not reply to the survey).
  - Need diverse representation (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.) You may have Black and Hispanic community members on your team, perhaps they didn't take the survey.
  - o Are probation, law enforcement, county attorney and defense counsel on your teams?
  - o Good representation of persons formerly involved in the system.
  - Roughly 25% of your team reported not feeling heard, but that is likely not representative – due to low response rate.

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates <sup>p</sup>

	Panh	andle	Nebraska		
Year of survey	2019	<mark>2020</mark>	2019	2020	
Number of surveys sent	24	<mark>137</mark>	1407	780	
Number of completed surveys	12	<mark>16</mark>	221	345	
Response rate	50.0%	<mark>11.7%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%	

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores <sup>p</sup>

	Panhand	lle	Nebraska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020	
	Mean Sc	Mean Score Mean Score		Score	
Common agenda	5.33	<mark>5.27</mark>	5.29	5.69	
Mutually reinforcing	5.52	<mark>5.13</mark>	5.37	5.50	
Shared measurement	5.57	<mark>5.60</mark>	5.21	5.45	
Continuous communication	5.98	<mark>5.15</mark>	5.49	5.55	
Backbone agency	6.16	<mark>5.64</mark>	5.52	5.78	

The five elements of Collective Impact are:





- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support**: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations <sup>q</sup>

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity P

	Panha	andle	Nebi	raska
	N = 16	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender		, ,		,
Male	2	12.5%	101	29.3%
Female	13	81.3%	229	66.4%
Missing	1	6.3%	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39			68	19.6%
40-49	3	18.9%	88	25.4%
50-59	8	50.2%	90	25.8%
60 and over	3	18.9%	44	13%
Missing	2	12.5%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	9	56.3%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American	1	6.3%	6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name	4	25.0%	63	18.3%
Missing	2	12.5%	19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes	5	31.3%	98	28.4%
No	11	68.8%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point *				





Law enforcement			34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court			32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	5	29.4%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	1	5.9%	10	2.3%
Diversion	3	17.6%	55	12.6%
Probation			31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare	1	5.9%	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	1	5.9%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program	5	29.4%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	1	5.9%	3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	12	75.0%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	4	25.0%	75	21.7%

Banner n = 3, Box Butte n = 3, Cheyenne n = 5, Dawes n = 5, Deuel n = 3, Garden n = 3, Kimball n = 3, Morrill n = 2, Scotts Bluff n = 7, Sioux n = 2, Sheridan n = 2 (three people named more than one county they represent

<sup>\*</sup>note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

### References and Resources

- <sup>a</sup> **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- <sup>a</sup> **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- <sup>a</sup> **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- <sup>a</sup> **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- <sup>a</sup> **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- <sup>a</sup> **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- <sup>b</sup> School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- <sup>c</sup> **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- <sup>d</sup> Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <a href="https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx">https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</a>
  g Diversion programs
- Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:
   <a href="https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2">https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2</a>
   <a href="https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2">https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2</a>
   <a href="https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2">https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2</a>
   <a href="https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2">https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2</a>
   <a href="https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebrask
- <sup>j</sup> **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
- <sup>k</sup> Distance to detention facility: Google Maps
- <sup>1</sup>Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:





Nebraska Crime Commission. Crime Statistics:

https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- <sup>m</sup> Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- <sup>n</sup> **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- <sup>o</sup> Diversion procedures and protocols: Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- P Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- <sup>q</sup> Collective Impact Elements: Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. Stanford Social Innovation Review.





# **Appendix: RED Descriptives**

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	38							
Youth referred to diversion	11	0%	0%	0%	9.10%	0%	0%	90.90%
Youth enrolled in diversion	7	0%	0%	0%	14.30%	0%	0%	85.70%
Successful completion diversion	7	0%	0%	0%	14.30%	0%	0%	85.70%
Youth with multiple charges	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court								
RAI Override: More Severe	2	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	7	0%	0%	0%	57.10%	0%	0%	42.90%
Successful probation	18	0%	0%	5.60%	16.70%	0%	0%	77.80%
Revocation of probation	8	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	75%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								





System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact		-1						
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	16	1			1			
Youth referred to diversion	25	0%	4%	0%	4%	0%	0%	92%
Youth enrolled in diversion	16	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful completion diversion	11	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court					-			
RAI Override: More Severe	2	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	5	20%	0%	0%	60%	0%	0%	20%
Successful probation	17	0%	0%	0%	17.60%	0%	0%	82.40%
Revocation of probation	3	0%	0%	0%	66.70%	0%	0%	33.30%
Youth in OJS custody					-			
OJS custody: placed in detention					-			
Youth booked into detention		1						
Youth booked into detention more than once								





System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody					1			
Youth issued citation/referral	28				1			
Youth referred to diversion	17	0%	0%	0%	17.60%	5.90%	5.90%	70.60%
Youth enrolled in diversion	14	0%	0%	0%	14.30%	0%	7.10%	78.60%
Successful completion diversion	12	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8.30%	91.70%
Youth with multiple charges	4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%	25%
Filed on in adult court					1			
RAI Override: More Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Successful probation	10	10%	10%	0%	20%	0%	0%	60%
Revocation of probation	8	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	75%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								





System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	25				1			
Youth referred to diversion	19	0%	0%	0%	10.50%	10.50%	10.50%	68.40%
Youth enrolled in diversion	17	0%	0%	0%	5.90%	11.80%	11.80%	70.60%
Successful completion diversion	15	0%	0%	0%	6.70%	13.30%	6.70%	73.30%
Youth with multiple charges	3	0%	0%	0%	33.30%	0%	66.70%	0%
Filed on in adult court		-			1			
RAI Override: More Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	5	0%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	80%
Successful probation	0	11.10%	0%	0%	33.30%	0%	0%	55.60%
Revocation of probation	5	20%	0%	0%	40%	0%	0%	40%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention		1			1			
Youth booked into detention more than once								





System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	14							
Youth referred to diversion	14	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth enrolled in diversion	14	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful completion diversion	11	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%	25%
Filed on in adult court								
RAI Override: More Severe	2	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	4	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	75%
Successful probation	8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Revocation of probation	1	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention					-			
Youth booked into detention					-1			
Youth booked into detention more than once					1			

# **Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year**

\*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	68	72	94.4%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	62	83	74.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	132	157	84.1%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	26	29	89.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	28	39	71.8%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	3	3	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	57	71	80.3%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	33	37	89.2%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		-	
Filed in Juv. Court	30	49	61.2%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	8	8	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	71	94	75.5%





2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	23	25	92.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	24	29	82.8%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	47	54	87.0%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	19	26	73.1%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	24	61	39.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	43	87	49.4%