



Clay County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	3
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b	5
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	7
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)	8
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportatio year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	n (5- 10
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) h	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) ⁱ	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) j	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores p	17
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	18
References and Resources	20
Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year	22





Youth Level

- Hispanic youth are over-represented in chronic absenteeism compared to Clay County demographics and school enrollment.
 - While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because the frequency was too low to report, Native American, and Black youth are over-represented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- More youth have IDEA plans than the state comparison across all years.
- In 2018/2019, the percent of youth who qualify for free/reduced lunch increased by 5% and is now higher than the state average.
- More youth in Clay County report losing sleep from worry across all grades, and 8th and 10th graders report being depressed, and 8th and 12th graders report higher considered/attempted suicide, compared to the state.
- More 8th and 10th graders report current alcohol, marijuana, and vaping, more 8th and 12th graders report binge drinking, and all grades report higher tobacco use compared to the state.
- 10th and 12th graders report higher gang involvement compared to the state.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture
 of RED. Compared to the overall population of Clay County, Hispanic youth are
 overrepresented in all system points (except multiple charges, probation intake and probation
 revocation). American Indian youth are also overrepresented with RAI overrides and probation
 intakes.

Table 1. Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area Name	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Clay	306	92.2%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%

Females



EVIDENCE-BASEDNEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Geographic Area Name	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%
Clay	351	81.8%	15.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Clay	720	22.78%	0.00%	1.81%	1.81%	0.00%	72.64%	0.97%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Clay	697	23.53%	0.00%	1.72%	1.43%	0.00%	72.60%	0.72%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Clay	719	22.67%	0.14%	1.39%	1.39%	0.14%	73.57%	0.70%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Clay	686	23.18%	0.15%	0.73%	1.31%	0.29%	73.91%	0.44%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Clay	703	24.18%	0.00%	0.71%	0.85%	0.57%	73.26%	0.43%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3. Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Clay	39	<mark>41.03%</mark>	*	*	*	*	58.97%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	<mark>24.54%</mark>	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Clay	58	<mark>36.21%</mark>	*	*	*	*	63.79%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	<mark>25.73%</mark>	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Clay	54	<mark>33.33%</mark>	*	*	*	*	66.67%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	<mark>26.90%</mark>	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Clay	61	<mark>39.34%</mark>	*	*	*	*	60.66%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	<mark>26.81%</mark>	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Clay	139	30.22%	*	*	*	*	69.78%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	<mark>27.64%</mark>	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%



Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Clay	720	720	720	720	720
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Clay	697	15.64%	*	7.17%	41.18%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	Clay	719	12.80%	*	7.79%	44.78%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	Clay	686	<mark>17.64%</mark>	*	5.69%	44.02%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	<mark>15.87%</mark>	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Clay	703	<mark>18.49%</mark>	*	8.82%	<mark>49.50%</mark>
2019	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	<mark>45.42%</mark>

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °

County	Total in Last 5 Years		Yearly Av	Graduation		
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Graduates Students		Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	n/a
Clay	269	281	26.9	28.1	95.7%	33

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) d

	Youth Report of MH Symptoms	8 th	10 th	12 th
Clay	Loss of sleep from worry	<mark>30.0%</mark>	<mark>22.7%</mark>	<mark>25.0%</mark>





Nebraska		<mark>18.0%</mark>	<mark>20.6%</mark>	<mark>21.6%</mark>
Clay	Depressed	<mark>40.0%</mark>	<mark>36.4%</mark>	29.5%
Nebraska		<mark>31.1%</mark>	<mark>34.8%</mark>	35.3%
Clay	Considered/Attempted suicide	<mark>35.0%</mark>	9.1%	<mark>22.7%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>22.9%</mark>	18.2%	<mark>16.2%</mark>
Clay	Current alcohol	<mark>22.7%</mark>	<mark>26.1%</mark>	31.1%
Nebraska		<mark>9.8%</mark>	<mark>20.1%</mark>	34.2%
Clay	Current binge drinking	<mark>9.1%</mark>	4.2%	<mark>17.8%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>1.3%</mark>	6.2%	<mark>15.0%</mark>
Clay	Current marijuana	<mark>18.2%</mark>	<mark>8.7%</mark>	13.3%
Nebraska		<mark>3.0%</mark>	<mark>7.3%</mark>	13.9%
Clay	Current tobacco	<mark>9.1%</mark>	<mark>20.8%</mark>	<mark>22.2%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>3.7%</mark>	<mark>8.0%</mark>	<mark>15.3%</mark>
Clay	Current vaping	<mark>22.7%</mark>	<mark>33.3%</mark>	37.8%
Nebraska		<mark>10.4%</mark>	<mark>24.7%</mark>	37.3%
Clay	Hopeful for future (past week)	81.0%	87.5%	77.8%
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized e

Table 11.

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Clay	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	0.0%	<mark>12.5%</mark>	<mark>8.9%</mark>
Nebraska		3.8%	<mark>4.4%</mark>	<mark>3.8%</mark>





Table 12.
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages		Und	der 18	
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	
Jurisdiction by Geography		CLAY COUNTY					
Arrest Offense							
Total	25	13	-48.00	0	1	-	
Larceny-Theft Total	-	2	-		0	-	
Other Assaults	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-	
Drug Violations - Possession	6	-	-100.00	0	-	-	
Offenses Against Family and Children	4	-	-100.00	0	-	-	
Driving Under the Influence	5	2	-60.00	0	0	-	
Liquor Laws	6	9	50.00	0	1	-	
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	3	-	-100.00	0	-	-	

Table 13. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

		Clay		All NYS Counties			
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2	
Family Circumstance/Parenting	1	ŀ		60.1%	26.7%	13.1%	
Education/Employment	1	ŀ		43.0%	44.0%	13.1%	
Peer Relationships	1	ŀ		44.7%	46.6%	8.6%	
Substance Use	-			61.4%	30.3%	8.3%	
Leisure/Recreation	1	ŀ		50.6%	33.0%	16.5%	
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%	
Attitudes/Orientation	1	-		61.3%	33.7%	5.0%	
Mean Score	M =, SD =, $M = 5.64, SD = 3.65, 0-1$				65, 0-17		

Could not compute because Adams county only had 1 NYS assessment completed





Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ¹

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	2*							-
Youth referred to diversion	6	0%	0%	0%	33.30%	0%	0%	66.70%
Youth enrolled in diversion	6	0%	0%	0%	33.30%	0%	0%	66.70%
Successful completion diversion	5	0%	0%	0%	40%	0%	0%	60%
Youth with multiple charges	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	4	0%	0%	0%	<mark>25%</mark>	0%	0%	75%
RAI Override: More Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	11	0%	0%	0%	<mark>27.30%</mark>	0%	0%	72.70%
Probation intake	25	0%	0%	4%	12%	0%	0%	<mark>84%</mark>
Successful probation	12	0%	0%	0%	33.30%	0%	0%	66.70%
Revocation of probation	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								





Youth booked into	 	 	 	
detention more than				
once				

^{*}Clay County sheriff did not report to NCC in 2015 or 2018; Sutton PD did not report to NCC 2015 - 2018



Family Level

- Clay County has a higher rate of poverty compared to the state
- Number of people with bachelor's degrees is lower compared to the state; this could be a result of residents going to college in a different community and not returning to Clay County.
- 8th graders report feeling less supported by adults at home and school compared to the state; 12th graders report feeling less supported by adults at school, but more supported by adults at home.
- 84% of abuse/neglect calls are unfounded.

Table 15.
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Clay	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	<mark>17.5%</mark>	14.8%
	Number of children 12-	176	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	<mark>36.9%</mark>	28.9%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	18.4%	31.3%
	County rank	71	-
	Age 25+ with some	23.0%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County rank	62	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	90.7%	91.1%
	County Rank	64	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	97.9%	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County rank	55	-
	% under 18 with an	88.9%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County rank	61	-
	% under 18 with	88.0%	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	62	-
Housing	Owner-occupied households	2,024	498,567





	Total households	2,574	754,063
	Owner %	78.6%	66.1%
	Renters	550	255,496
	Renter %	21.4%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	49	40,465
	Total households	2,574	754,063
	No vehicle %	1.9%	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Clay	Adult at home who listens	<mark>86.4%</mark>	87.5%	91.1%
Nebraska		<mark>87.3%</mark>	85.0%	85.6%
Clay	Adult at school who listens	<mark>72.7%</mark>	91.7%	<mark>82.2%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>85.2%</mark>	85.0%	87.4%

Table 17. Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) $^{\rm h}$

	Aggravated	Aggravated	Simple Domestic	Simple Domestics
	Domestic Assaults	Domestic Assaults	Assaults Reported	Assaults Cleared
	Reported	Cleared by Arrest		by Arrest or
		or Exceptional		Exceptional Means
		Means		
Clay	0	0	0	0
Nebraska	562	402	3512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) i

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Clay	96	40%	5%	<mark>84%</mark>
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



Community Level

- Youth perceptions of community attitudes on alcohol and cigarettes is lower that the state for all grades, and the lower for 8th and 12th graders for marijuana use as compared to the state.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment).
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Table 19. Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Clay	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter		34
Rape		264
Robbery		367
Aggravated Assault		1,639
Other Assaults		8,782

No data presented, or frequencies are 0

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Clay	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	<mark>81.8%</mark>	91.7%	<mark>81.8%</mark>
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	<mark>85.2%</mark>
Clay	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	<mark>77.3%</mark>	<mark>70.8%</mark>	<mark>65.9%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>89.1%</mark>	<mark>80.4%</mark>	<mark>68.7%</mark>
Clay	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	<mark>81.8%</mark>	<mark>83.3%</mark>	<mark>72.7%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>92.9%</mark>	<mark>89.0%</mark>	<mark>78.7%</mark>





Table 21. Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) $^{\rm m}$

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	34	44	<mark>77.3%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	139	293	47.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	3	4	75.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	176	341	51.6%

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; however, access to counsel is lower in this community than in the state.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - o Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable. With a higher proportion of children <18 in poverty, perhaps offering scholarships.
 - Having a process for sealing records for youth on diversion with law enforcement and JCMS, as required by statute.
 - It is not clear why all first time offenders are not referred to diversion but perhaps this is due to the ineligible offenses.

Table 22. Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Clay	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	40.0 59.9%	73.5%

Neb. Rev. 43-272. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section $\frac{43-247}{4}$, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Clay	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352





Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 - 2019) m

		Clay				
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	2	4	1	7
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	0	2	0	2
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	1	0	0	0	1

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °

	Clay	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	Not Sure	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	Not Sure	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Always	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	No	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Yes: Sexual Assault, Possession	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion	of drugs other than	No: 9.1%
	marijuana/tobacco	Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	No	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	Yes	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%





		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$25 per month (for 4 months,	Yes: 86.4%
	unless extended)	No: 13.6%
		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	No	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge		No: 25.0%
		Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	No	Yes: 59.1%
		No: 22.7%
		Not sure: 18.2%

^{*}responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)

Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 57.1%.
 - o This is an increase from 2019's response rate.
- Mean score on Collective Impact is lower than in 2019 and lower than the state average in all areas
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It maybe that the low response rate affected the results of the community team diversity, but if not, it is beneficial to add diverse members to your team.
 - Good diversity on system points
- Almost half of the responders indicated they did not feel heard on the team.

Table 26. Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	South Central Team		Nebr	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	56	28	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	10	16	221	345
Response rate	17.9%	<mark>57.1%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p

	South Centra	ıl Team	Nebra	aska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020		
	Mean Score		Mean Score		Mean	Score
Common agenda	5.64	<mark>5.63</mark>	5.29	5.69		
Mutually reinforcing	5.61	<mark>5.13</mark>	5.37	5.50		
Shared measurement	5.53	<mark>5.31</mark>	5.21	5.45		
Continuous communication	5.89	<mark>5.25</mark>	5.49	5.55		
Backbone agency	6.00	<mark>5.88</mark>	5.52	5.78		





The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- Mutually reinforcing activities: Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support**: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity P

	South Cen	tral Team	Neb	raska
	N = 16	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				, ,
Male	2	12.5%	101	29.3%
Female	14	87.5%	229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30	4	25.0%	19	5.6%
30-39	1	6.3%	68	19.6%
40-49	5	31.3%	88	25.4%
50-59	3	18.8%	90	25.8%
60 and over	2	12.5%	44	13%
Missing	1	6.3%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	13	81.3%	230	66.7%
Black	1	6.3%	10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name	2	12.5%	63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%





Previous System Involvement				
Yes	3	18.8%	98	28.4%
No	12	75.0%	242	70.1%
Missing	1	6.3%	5	1.4%
System Point *				
Law enforcement	1	5.0%	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	2	10.0%	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	2	10.0%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	1	5.0%	10	2.3%
Diversion	2	10.0%	55	12.6%
Probation	1	5.0%	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare	1	5.0%	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	4	20.0%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program	5	25.0%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices	1	5.0%	6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	9	56.3%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	7	43.8%	75	21.7%

Adams n = 13, Clay n = 4, Fillmore n = 3, Franklin n = 2, Harlan n = 2, Kearney n = 1, Nuckolls n = 3, Phelps n = 2, Webster n = 3 (five people named more than one county they represent).

^{*}note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

- ^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 g Diversion programs
- h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County_0.pdf

¹Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps

¹Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:





Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System
Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- ^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: <u>www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount</u>. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- ^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^q Collective Impact Elements: Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	2	2	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	28	55	50.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	30	57	52.6%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	7	10	70.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	30	44	8.2%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	37	54	68.5%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	6	6	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	29	67	43.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	35	73	47.9%





2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	6	10	60.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	32	69	46.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	3	66.7%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	40	82	48.8%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	13	16	81.3%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	20	58	34.5%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	34	75	45.3%