



Custer County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) a	3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2016) ^d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2016) ^d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	8
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)	9
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	n (5- 10
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2016) ^d	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) h	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) ⁱ	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) j	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2016) ^d	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	17
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	18
References and Resources	20
Appendix: RED Descriptives	22



Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year



Youth Level

- Hispanic youth are over-represented in chronic absenteeism as compared to their representation in the population and student population.
- A slightly higher number of youth have IDEA plans, as compared to the state.
- This community has not participated in the NRPFSS since 2016 and should consider participating in the next survey year (2020) to get updated youth-level data on mental health, gangs, supportive adults and community perceptions of substance use.
- Using data from 2016, 10th and 12th graders reported more tobacco use than the state average.
- Juvenile crime went down slightly, even as crime for all ages remained the same. There are not large frequencies for each offense type so conclusions cannot be drawn.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment
 evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin
 doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have
 assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table
- Approximately 60% of citations are being referred to diversion. Once referred to diversion, youth are enrolling and successfully completing the program at an appropriate rate.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture
 of RED. Although the Census and school data indicate few Black youth, they are overrepresented in probation intakes and revocation of probation. Black and Hispanic youth are
 over-represented in probation intakes.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area Name	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Custer	675	98.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%

Females

Geographic Area Name	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%
Custer	625	88.0%	4.6%	0.8%	0.6%	1.9%	4.0%





Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Custer	1764	4.42%	0.11%	0.51%	1.30%	0.06%	92.23%	1.36%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Custer	1808	4.65%	0.11%	0.39%	1.05%	0.06%	92.42%	1.33%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Custer	1889	5.08%	0.26%	0.48%	1.96%	0.16%	90.63%	1.43%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Custer	1908	5.40%	0.16%	0.31%	1.83%	0.16%	90.67%	1.47%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Custer	1897	5.69%	0.11%	0.16%	1.74%	0.32%	90.46%	1.53%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Custer	150	<mark>8.00%</mark>	*	*	*	*	92.00%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Custer	120	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Custer	162	<mark>10.49%</mark>	*	*	*	*	89.51%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Custer	167	<mark>7.78%</mark>	*	*	*	*	92.22%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Custer	202	<mark>8.42%</mark>	*	*	*	*	91.58%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students





Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic	Total	IDEA	504	Limited English	Free/Reduced
	Area	Count		Plan	Proficiency	Lunch
2014-	Custer	1764	<mark>15.70%</mark>	*	1.08%	39.12%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Custer	1808	<mark>15.43%</mark>	*	1.22%	37.83%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	Custer	1889	<mark>13.66%</mark>	0.64%	1.59%	41.50%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	Custer	1908	<mark>16.77%</mark>	0.63%	1.42%	40.57%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Custer	1897	<mark>17.19%</mark>	*	1.63%	39.06%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^{\circ}$

County	Total in Last 5 Years		Yearly Av	verages	Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Graduates Students		Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	n/a
Custer	604	626	20.1	20.9	96.5%	23

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2016) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Custer	Loss of sleep from worry	9.8%	20.6%	21.4%
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Custer	Depressed	22.6%	23.9%	30.0%
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Custer	Considered/Attempted suicide	5.4%	13.2%	14.3%
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Custer	Current alcohol	2.1%	10.3%	31.4%
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%





Custer	Current binge drinking	0.0%	5.9%	9.9%
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Custer	Current marijuana	0.0%	3.0%	4.3%
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Custer	Current tobacco	0.0%	<mark>11.8%</mark>	<mark>18.6%</mark>
Nebraska		3.7%	<mark>8.0%</mark>	<mark>15.3%</mark>
Custer	Current vaping	1.1%	5.9%	11.3%
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Custer	Hopeful for future (past week)	89.2%	80.9%	80.0%
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7

Juveniles Referred to Services e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2016) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Custer	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	4.3%	3.0%	2.9%
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%





Table 12.
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages		Un	der 18	
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	
Jurisdiction by Geography			CUSTER	SUSTER COUNTY			
Arrest Offense							
Total	258	261	1.16	10	8	-20.00	
Rape Total	•	2	-	-	-	-	
Aggravated Assault Total	9	5	-44.44	-	-	-	
Burglary Total	-	1	-	-	-	-	
Larceny-Theft Total	5	4	-20.00	2	1	-50.00	
Other Assaults	13	26	100.00	3	-	-100.00	
Arson	1	-	-100.00	-	-	-	
Forgery and Counterfeiting	1	1	0.00	-	-	-	
Fraud	10	3	-70.00	0	1	-	
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-	
Vandalism	4	6	50.00	-	1	-	
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	1	7	600.00	-	-	-	
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	3	1	-66.67	-	0	-	
Drug Violations - Possession	33	37	12.12	-	0	-	
NIBRS Unable to Classify	1	-	-100.00	-		-	
Offenses Against Family and Children	3	1	-66.67	-	-	-	
Driving Under the Influence	34	53	55.88	0	1	-	
Liquor Laws	23	12	-47.83	1	0	-100.00	
Disorderly Conduct	4	4	0.00	-	-	-	
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	112	98	-12.50	4	4	0.00	





Table 13. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

		Custer		All NYS Counties			
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2	
Family Circumstance/Parenting	1			60.1%	26.7%	13.1%	
Education/Employment	1			43.0%	44.0%	13.1%	
Peer Relationships	1			44.7%	46.6%	8.6%	
Substance Use	-			61.4%	30.3%	8.3%	
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%	
Personality/Behavior	-			50.1%	39.4%	10.4%	
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%	
Mean Score	M =, SD =, $M = 5.64, SD = 3.65, 0-$				65, 0-17		

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed





Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) |

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

See Appendix for yearly data

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	91							
Youth referred to diversion	55	<mark>5.50%</mark>	0%	1.80%	1.80%	3.60%	3.60%	83.60%
Youth enrolled in diversion	51	3.90%	0%	2%	2%	3.90%	2%	86.30%
Successful completion diversion	49	<mark>4.10%</mark>	0%	2%	2%	4.10%	2%	85.70%
Youth with multiple charges	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.30%	66.70%
Filed on in adult court	14	<mark>7.10%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	0%	14.30%	78.60%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	6	0%	0%	<mark>16.70%</mark>	<mark>33.30%</mark>	0%	0%	50%
Successful probation	65	4.60%	0%	1.50%	9.20%	0%	0%	84.60%
Revocation of probation	12	0%	0%	<mark>25%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	75%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								





Family Level

- Rates of poverty are slightly higher than the state average
- Number of adults with bachelor's degrees is lower than the state average; it may be possible that
 residents who go to college outside of the County find employment where they go to school and
 do not return to the County.
- Fewer 12th graders report having a supportive adult at home as compared to the state average.

Table 15.
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Custer	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	<mark>15.7%</mark>	<mark>14.8%</mark>
-	Number of children 12-	272	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	<mark>30.8%</mark>	<mark>28.9%</mark>
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>20.9%</mark>	<mark>31.3%</mark>
	County rank	48	-
	Age 25+ with some	29.3%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County rank	11	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	92.9%	91.1%
	County Rank	38	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	96.3%	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County rank	74	-
	% under 18 with an	84.5%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County rank	75	-
	% under 18 with	84.2%	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	74	-
Housing	Owner-occupied	3,370	498,567
	households		
	Total households	4,846	754,063





	Owner %	69.5%	66.1%
	Renters	1,476	255,496
	Renter %	30.5%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no	235	40,465
	vehicle available		
	Total households	4,846	754,063
	No vehicle %	4.8%	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2016) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Custer	Adult at home who listens	93.5%	88.6%	<mark>81.7%</mark>
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	<mark>85.6%</mark>
Custer	Adult at school who listens	89.2%	89.6%	<mark>82.9%</mark>
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	<mark>87.4%</mark>

Table 17. Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) $^{\rm h}$

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Custer	5	5	9	9
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) i

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Custer	223	40%	14%	76%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



Community Level

- 12th graders indicate their community does not find alcohol or cigarettes wrong or very wrong, as compared to the state average.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record
 requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be
 sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully
 complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or
 other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved
 because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older
 cases.
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity.

Table 19. Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Custer	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	2	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	5	1,639
Other Assaults	26	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2016) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Custer	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	95.7%	95.6%	88.6%
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Custer	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	89.1%	82.4%	<mark>64.3%</mark>
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	<mark>68.7%</mark>
Custer	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	92.3%	88.2%	<mark>67.1%</mark>
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	<mark>78.7%</mark>





Table 21.

Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	81	127	<mark>63.8%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	0	2	0.0%
Filed in Juv. Court	49	170	28.8%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	6	25	24.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	0	1	0.0%
Total	136	335	40.6%

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not required to provide counsel at the time of filing and the rates for access to counsel is much lower than the state average.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - Having a process for sealing records for youth on diversion with law enforcement and JCMS, as required by statute.
 - o ensure fees are similar to court costs (even with the sliding scale)
 - o use graduated sanctions, where youth are given incremental consequences or rewards, as opposed to discharging them unsuccessfully as a first response.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Custer	Nebraska		
Access to Counsel	<mark>40.0% 59.9%</mark>	73.5%		

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Custer	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352

Table 24.





Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 - 2019) m

		Custer				
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	1	0	2	1	4
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	1	0	1	2
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0

		Nebraska							
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total			
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7			
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997			
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491			
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306			

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^\circ$

	Custer	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	yes	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Sometimes	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Yes	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	No	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion		No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	No	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$150 with a sliding scale	Yes: 86.4%





		No: 13.6%
		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	No	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge		No: 25.0%
		Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Not sure	Yes: 59.1%
		No: 22.7%
		Not sure: 18.2%

^{*}responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues.
- The response rate for the collective impact survey decreased from 2019 to 2020. With the low response rate, the collective impact domains and diversity of the team are not reliable.
- The community team should be representative of the community; however, there should be representation from groups that are over-represented in the juvenile justice system (i.e., Hispanic/Latino and Black).

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates P

	Custe	r Team	Nebraska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020	
Number of surveys sent	30	34	1407	780	
Number of completed surveys	3	2	221	345	
Response rate	10.0%	5.9%	28.3%	24.5%	

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores p

	Custer Te	eam	Nebraska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020	
	Mean Score M		Mean	Score	
Common agenda	4.55	5.00	5.29	5.69	
Mutually reinforcing	5.04	4.50	5.37	5.50	
Shared measurement	5.00	4.50	5.21	5.45	
Continuous communication	5.30	5.00	5.49	5.55	
Backbone agency	5.33	5.00	5.52	5.78	

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

• **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.





- Mutually reinforcing activities: Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support**: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity P

	Custer	Team	Neb	raska
	N = 2	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender		, ,		
Male	1	50.0%	101	29.3%
Female	1	50.0%	229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39			68	19.6%
40-49	1	50.0%	88	25.4%
50-59	1	50.0%	90	25.8%
60 and over			44	13%
Missing			36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	1	50.0%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name	1	50.0%	63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes	1	50.0%	98	28.4%
No	1	50.0%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%





System Point *				
Law enforcement			34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court			32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	1	50.0%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based			10	2.3%
Diversion			55	12.6%
Probation			31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare	1	50.0%	13	3.0%
Treatment provider			40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program			109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	2	100%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard			75	21.7%

Custer n = 2

^{*}note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

- ^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- ^f **Adult and juvenile arrests:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 ^g Diversion programs
- h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:
 https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2
 Oby%20County 0.pdf

¹Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps

¹Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:





Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System
Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- ^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- ° **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^q Collective Impact Elements: Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.





Appendix: RED Descriptives

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody		-1						
Youth issued citation/referral	33							
Youth referred to diversion	14	14.30%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	85.70%
Youth enrolled in diversion	12	16.70%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	83.30%
Successful completion diversion	10	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	80%
Youth with multiple charges	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
Filed on in adult court	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful probation	18	11.10%	0%	0%	11.10%	0%	0%	77.80%
Revocation of probation	4	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	75%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention		1			-			
Youth booked into detention more than once								



EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	17							
Youth referred to diversion	7	0%	0%	14.30%	0%	14.30%	0%	71.40%
Youth enrolled in diversion	7	0%	0%	14.30%	0%	14.30%	0%	71.40%
Successful completion diversion	7	0%	0%	14.30%	0%	14.30%	0%	71.40%
Youth with multiple charges	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
Filed on in adult court	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Successful probation	26	3.80%	0%	0%	11.50%	0%	0%	84.60%
Revocation of probation	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								





System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact		-1						
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	23							
Youth referred to diversion	9	11.10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	22.20%	66.70%
Youth enrolled in diversion	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14.30%	85.70%
Successful completion diversion	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14.30%	85.70%
Youth with multiple charges	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	5	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	80%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	2	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%
Successful probation	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Revocation of probation	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody		1						
OJS custody: placed in detention	-							
Youth booked into detention		1						
Youth booked into detention more than once		-						



EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	10							
Youth referred to diversion	16	0%	0%	0%	6.20%	6.20%	0%	87.50%
Youth enrolled in diversion	16	0%	0%	0%	6.20%	6.20%	0%	87.50%
Successful completion diversion	16	0%	0%	0%	6.20%	6.20%	0%	87.50%
Youth with multiple charges	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Filed on in adult court	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	66.70%	33.30%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	3	0%	0%	33.30%	33.30%	0%	0%	33.30%
Successful probation	8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Revocation of probation	2	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Youth in OJS custody		1			1			
OJS custody: placed in detention		-						
Youth booked into detention		-1						
Youth booked into detention more than once								





System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	8							
Youth referred to diversion	9	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth enrolled in diversion	9	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful completion diversion	9	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
Filed on in adult court	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Successful probation	10	0%	0%	10%	10%	0%	0%	80%
Revocation of probation	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								



Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	15	27	55.6%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		-	
Filed in Juv. Court	11	37	29.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	3	66.7%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to	0	1	0.0%
Juv. Court			
Total	28	68	41.2%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	17	20	85.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		-	
Filed in Juv. Court	0	30	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	6	33.3%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	19	56	33.9%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	27	30	90.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		-	
Filed in Juv. Court	19	42	45.2%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	0	10	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	46	82	56.1%





2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	10	26	38.5%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	0	1	0.0%
Filed in Juv. Court	17	28	60.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	4	50.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	29	69	42.0%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	12	24	50.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	0	1	0.0%
Filed in Juv. Court	2	33	6.1%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	0	2	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	-		
Total	14	60	23.3%