



Cass County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^{\circ}$	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	7
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)	8
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	n (5- 10
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) h	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) ⁱ	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	17
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	18
References and Resources	20
Annendix: RFD Descriptives	22



Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year





Youth Level

- The number of total youth with chronic absenteeism has decreased in 2018-2019 from previous years.
- Hispanic youth in Cass County are overrepresented in chronic absenteeism compared to the school enrollment data.
- While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because
 the frequency was too low to report Native American and Black youth are over-represented
 statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- 12th graders report marijuana and tobacco use at higher rates in Cass County compared to state rates.
- 12th graders in Cass County report feeling less hopeful for the future compared to the state.
- 10th and 12th graders report gang involvement at higher rates compared to the state.
- Arrests for all age groups increased in Cass County between 2018 and 2019, especially with the "all other offenses" category, DUIs and liquor laws.
- Arrests for the Under 18 category decreased for other assaults but increased for liquor laws.
- The Arizona Assessment domains with the highest number of "yes" responses are Family Conflict, Drug Use, Truant, Mental Health, Peers, and Prior Compliant. Programs in Cass County should focus on addressing these areas.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture
 of RED. Compared to the Census and school data, Native American youth are overrepresented
 at all diversion points and probation intake; Asian youth are overrepresented at successful
 diversion completion; and Black youth are over-represented at all diversion points, multiple
 charges being filed, being filed on in adult court, RAI overrides, probation intakes, successful
 probation completion and probation revocations.
 - Race/ethnicity is missing at the system point of having multiple charges filed.
- Only about 60% of youth referred to diversion are successfully completing diversion even though about 86% of youth referred are enrolled.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic	Total Count	Non- Hispanic	Hispanic or		American	Asian or Pacific	2+
Area Name		White	Latino	Black	Indian	Islander	Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Cass	1,426	92.6%	5.0%	1.3%	0.1%	0.0%	1.1%

Females

Geographic Area Name	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%
Cass	1,484	89.9%	5.1%	1.5%	0.0%	0.1%	3.3%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Cass	3778	3.44%	0.71%	0.71%	1.38%	0.13%	93.30%	0.32%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Cass	3721	3.20%	0.73%	0.86%	1.26%	0.16%	93.47%	0.32%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Cass	3822	3.61%	0.68%	0.89%	1.54%	0.24%	92.52%	0.52%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Cass	3840	3.62%	0.57%	0.73%	1.51%	0.21%	92.53%	0.83%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Cass	3865	3.91%	0.62%	0.72%	1.53%	0.16%	91.82%	1.24%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3. Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Cass	462	<mark>4.11%</mark>	*	*	*	*	95.89%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Cass	427	5.39%	*	*	*	*	94.61%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Cass	465	6.02%	*	*	*	*	93.98%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%

2017-	Cass	491	<mark>5.50%</mark>	*	*	2.65%	*	91.85%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Cass	<mark>255</mark>	5.49%	*	*	*	*	94.51%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Cass	3778	<mark>14.45%</mark>	0.40%	0.37%	33.70%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Cass	3721	<mark>14.16%</mark>	0.35%	0.35%	32.55%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	Cass	3822	13.47%	0.26%	0.31%	33.73%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	Cass	3840	15.18%	*	0.29%	30.57%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Cass	3865	<mark>16.14%</mark>	0.28%	0.57%	32.34%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	<mark>16.13%</mark>	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^{\circ}$

County	Total in Las	st 5 Years	Yearly Av	erages	Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates Students		Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	n/a
Cass	1,316	1,414	52.6	56.6	93.1%	61

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Cass	Loss of sleep from worry	15.1%	15.3%	19.2%
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%





Cass	Depressed	24.8%	26.4%	25.0%
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Cass	Considered/Attempted suicide	14.4%	12.5%	13.5%
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Cass	Current alcohol	4.0%	11.1%	26.9%
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Cass	Current binge drinking	1.3%	4.2%	13.5%
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Cass	Current marijuana	1.3%	5.6%	<mark>19.2%</mark>
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	<mark>13.9%</mark>
Cass	Current tobacco	2.0%	4.2%	<mark>17.3%</mark>
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	<mark>15.3%</mark>
Cass	Current vaping	7.2%	14.1%	26.9%
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Cass	Hopeful for future (past week)	81.0%	84.7%	<mark>76.9%</mark>
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	<mark>77.6%</mark>

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Cass	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	2.6%	<mark>5.6%</mark>	<mark>5.9%</mark>
Nebraska		3.8%	<mark>4.4%</mark>	<mark>3.8%</mark>





Table 12.
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages		Un	der 18
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography				COUNTY	/	
Arrest Offense	1					
Total	<mark>167</mark>	<mark>310</mark>	85.63	16	16	0.00
Rape Total	-	3	-	-	-	-
Aggravated Assault Total	2	5	150.00	0	1	-
Burglary Total	2	<mark>6</mark>	200.00	-	-	-
Larceny-Theft Total	13	12	-7.69	2	2	0.00
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	1	1	0.00	1	-	-100.00
Other Assaults	32	34	6.25	4	0	-100.00
Forgery and Counterfeiting	1	-	-100.00	-	-	-
Fraud	1	2	100.00	-	0	-
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	1	4	300.00	0	0	-
Vandalism	5	6	20.00	1	2	100.00
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	2	5	150.00	-	-	-
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	1	-	-100.00	1	-	-100.00
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	2	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Drug Violations - Possession	21	25	19.05	2	1	-50.00
Offenses Against Family and Children	2	3	50.00	-	0	-
Driving Under the Influence	<mark>18</mark>	<mark>58</mark>	222.22	0	1	-
Liquor Laws	<mark>6</mark>	<mark>29</mark>	383.33	0	4	-
Disorderly Conduct	_	2	-	-	-	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	<mark>57</mark>	<mark>115</mark>	101.75	5	5	0.00

Table 13. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	Ca	Cass All Arizona Counties		
Score	0	1	0	1
Family Conflict	87.5%	12.5%	89.1%	10.9%
Assaultive	100.0%	0.0%	87.2%	12.8%
Drug Use	87.5%	12.5%	72.0%	28.0%
Truant	87.5%	12.5%	84.1%	15.9%





				1
Enrolled in School	100.0%	0.0%	88.6%	11.4%
Mental Health	75.0%	25.0%	77.1%	22.9%
Peers Peers	62.5%	37.5%	58.8%	41.2%
Runaway	100.0%	0.0%	94.3%	5.7%
Status Offense	100.0%	0.0%	61.1%	38.9%
Prior Complaint	87.5%	12.5%	94.8%	5.2%
Mean Score	M = 1.13, $SD = 1.36$, 0-4		M = 1.90,	SD = 1.44, 0-7

Cass County n = 8; Statewide n = 206

Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

See Appendix for yearly data

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	155							
Youth referred to diversion	135	1.50%	0.70%	<mark>5.20%</mark>	3.70%	2.20%	0.70%	85.90%
Youth enrolled in diversion	117	1.70%	0.90%	<mark>5.10%</mark>	3.40%	1.70%	0%	87.20%
Successful completion diversion	88	<mark>2.30%</mark>	<mark>1.10%</mark>	6.80%	2.30%	1.10%	0%	86.40%
Youth with multiple charges	18	0%	0%	<mark>22.20%</mark>	0%	0%	<mark>11.10%</mark>	66.70%
Filed on in adult court	2	0%	0%	<mark>50%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	50%
RAI Override: More Severe	35	0%	0%	11.40%	2.90%	0%	0%	85.70%
RAI Override: Less Severe	10	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	68	<mark>1.50%</mark>	0%	14.70%	1.50%	0%	0%	82.40%
Successful probation	196	0.50%	0%	1%	5.10%	0%	0%	93.40%
Revocation of probation	58	0%	0%	3.40%	0%	0%	0%	96.60%





Youth in OJS custody	 	 	 	
OJS custody: placed in detention	 	 	 	
Youth booked into detention	 	 	 	
Youth booked into detention more than once	 I	 	 	



Family Level

- Cass County has lower rates of youth in poverty compared to the state.
- Number of adults with bachelor's degrees is lower than the state average; it may be possible that
 residents who go to college outside of Cass County find employment where they go to school and
 do not return to Cass County.
- Youth in Saline County have access to computers and internet at higher rates than the state.
- Compared to the state, 8th graders in Cass County report feeling less supported by adults at home, while 8th and 10th graders report feeling less supported by adults in school.
- 74% of child abuse and neglect cases are unfounded.

Table 15.
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Cass	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	6.9%	14.8%
	Number of children 12-	432	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	19.7%	28.9%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>27.4%</mark>	31.3%
	County Rank	12	-
	Age 25+ with some	24.9%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County Rank	35	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	94.9%	91.1%
	County Rank	16	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	99.4%	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County Rank	33	-
	% under 18 with an	91.0%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County Rank	51	-
	% under 18 with	90.9%	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	47	-





Housing	Owner-occupied households	8,156	498,567
	Total households	10,005	754,063
	Owner %	81.5%	66.1%
	Renters	1,849	255,496
	Renter %	18.5%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	335	40,465
	Total households	10,005	754,063
	No vehicle %	3.3%	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Cass	Adult at home who listens	<mark>85.0%</mark>	88.9%	94.2%
Nebraska		<mark>87.3%</mark>	85.0%	85.6%
Cass	Adult at school who listens	<mark>80.4%</mark>	<mark>84.9%</mark>	88.5%
Nebraska		<mark>85.2%</mark>	<mark>85.0%</mark>	87.4%

Table 17. Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) $^{\rm h}$

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults	Aggravated Domestic Assaults	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared
	Reported	Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional	·	by Arrest or Exceptional Means
		Means		
Cass	10	9	12	11
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) i

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Cass	355	35%	11%	<mark>74%</mark>
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%

Community Level

- Other assaults are the type of violent crime with the most arrests in Cass County.
- With regard to how youth perceive how their community feels about substance use, 10th and 12th graders are reporting lower than state averages for marijuana, and 10thgraders report lower than the state average for cigarettes.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record
 requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be
 sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully
 complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or
 other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved
 because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older
 cases.
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Table 19.
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Cass	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	3	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	5	1,639
Other Assaults	<mark>34</mark>	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Cass	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	95.2%	<mark>88.7%</mark>	<mark>84.6%</mark>
Nebraska		94.4%	<mark>89.8%</mark>	<mark>85.2%</mark>
Cass	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	93.9%	81.7%	82.4%
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Cass	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	96.6%	<mark>88.7%</mark>	78.8%
Nebraska		92.9%	<mark>89.0%</mark>	78.7%





Table 21.
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	160	337	<mark>47.5%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	233	487	47.8%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	11	14	78.6%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	404	838	48.2%

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

- Despite not being a county required to provide counsel under statute; notably, access to counsel is higher than the state.
- There are few curfew and 3A, 3B, and 3C filings in court so the community is diverting
 appropriately. There have been some uncontrollable youth filings in recent years, but the
 number is still low.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - o Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
 - o Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - o Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable.
 - It is not clear why all first-time offenders are not referred to diversion but perhaps this is due to the ineligible offenses.
- If drug testing is needed, it should only be used on cases where the youth demonstrates a substance use issue.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Cass	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	80.0 100.0%	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) $^{\rm m}$

	Cass	Nebraska		
Curfew Court Filing	8	352		





Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 - 2019) $^{\rm m}$

		Cass							
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total			
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0			
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	1	4	0	0	2	7			
3B - Uncontrollable	0	<mark>4</mark>	<mark>7</mark>	<mark>6</mark>	0	17			
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	1	0	0	1			

		Nebraska						
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total		
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7		
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997		
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491		
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306		

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$

	Cass	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	No	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Always	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	No No	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Yes: Sex offenders or charges	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion	that would be considered felonies	No: 9.1%
	in adult court	Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	No	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	Yes	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%





		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$50	Yes: 86.4%
		No: 13.6%
		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	No	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge		No: 25.0%
		Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Yes; if the case has been filed,	Yes: 59.1%
	juvenile court sends notice;	No: 22.7%
	otherwise, there is nothing to seal	Not sure: 18.2%
	if not filed.	

^{*}responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 18.9%. This is lower than ideal, but higher than the 2019 response rate.
- The rates of collective impact went up for almost all elements from the 2019 survey.
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.)
- Although the low response rate may affect the results of community team diversity, there could be more representation from other system points not currently represented.
 - o It may be that there is more team diversity for race/ethnicity and at the various system points, but they did not respond to the survey.

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates P

	Ca	ass	Nebraska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020	
Number of surveys sent	53	37	1407	780	
Number of completed surveys	5	7	221	345	
Response rate	9.4%	<mark>18.9%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%	

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores P

	Cass		Nebraska					
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020				
	Mean Score		Mean Score		Mean Score		Mean Score Mean Score	
Common agenda	4.61	5.57	5.29	5.69				
Mutually reinforcing	4.15	<mark>4.14</mark>	5.37	5.50				
Shared measurement	2.57	5.14	5.21	5.45				
Continuous communication	5.52	6.29	5.49	5.55				
Backbone agency	4.97	<mark>4.86</mark>	5.52	5.78				



The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support**: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity P

	Ca	SS	Neb	raska
	N = 7	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	3	42.9%	101	29.3%
Female	4	57.1%	229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39	1	14.3%	68	19.6%
40-49	1	14.3%	88	25.4%
50-59	4	57.2%	90	25.8%
60 and over	1	14.3%	44	13%
Missing			36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	4	57.1%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name	2	28.6%	63	18.3%
Missing	1	14.3%	19	5.5%





Previous System Involvement				
Yes	3	42.9%	98	28.4%
No	4	57.7%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point *				
Law enforcement	3	37.5%	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court			32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	4	50.0%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based			10	2.3%
Diversion			55	12.6%
Probation			31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare			13	3.0%
Treatment provider			40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program			109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Other	1	12.5%	16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	6	85.7%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	1	14.3%	75	21.7%

^{*}note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

- ^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 g Diversion programs
- h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County_0.pdf

¹Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps

¹Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:





Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System
Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- ^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- ^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^q Collective Impact Elements: Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.





Appendix: RED Descriptives

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody		-						
Youth issued citation/referral	63							
Youth referred to diversion	12	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth enrolled in diversion	12	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful completion diversion	9	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
RAI Override: More Severe	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful probation	52	0%	0%	0%	7.70%	0%	0%	92.30%
Revocation of probation	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody		1			1			
OJS custody: placed in detention		1			1			
Youth booked into detention		1						
Youth booked into detention more than once								



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	36							
Youth referred to diversion	41	0%	2.40%	2.40%	2.40%	4.90%	0%	87.80%
Youth enrolled in diversion	30	0%	3.30%	0%	0%	3.30%	0%	93.30%
Successful completion diversion	22	0%	4.50%	0%	0%	4.50%	0%	90.90%
Youth with multiple charges	7	0%	0%	14.30%	0%	0%	14.30%	71.40%
Filed on in adult court	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
RAI Override: More Severe	13	0%	0%	15.40%	7.70%	0%	0%	76.90%
RAI Override: Less Severe	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	24	0%	0%	12.50%	4.20%	0%	0%	83.30%
Successful probation	42	0%	0%	0%	4.80%	0%	0%	95.20%
Revocation of probation	20	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	90%
Youth in OJS custody		1		-	1			
OJS custody: placed in detention		-			1			
Youth booked into detention		-1						
Youth booked into detention more than once								



System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	24							
Youth referred to diversion	25	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	92%
Youth enrolled in diversion	24	4.20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	95.80%
Successful completion diversion	19	5.30%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	94.70%
Youth with multiple charges	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: More Severe	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	10	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful probation	32	0%	0%	0%	6.20%	0%	0%	93.80%
Revocation of probation	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention		-1						
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	16							
Youth referred to diversion	37	2.70%	0%	16.20%	8.10%	2.70%	0%	70.30%
Youth enrolled in diversion	35	2.90%	0%	17.10%	8.60%	2.90%	0%	68.60%
Successful completion diversion	25	4%	0%	24%	8%	0%	0%	64%
Youth with multiple charges	7	0%	0%	42.90%	0%	0%	14.30%	42.90%
Filed on in adult court	1	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: More Severe	7	0%	0%	14.30%	0%	0%	0%	85.70%
RAI Override: Less Severe	4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	16	0%	0%	31.20%	0%	0%	0%	68.80%
Successful probation	30	3.30%	0%	3.30%	0%	0%	0%	93.30%
Revocation of probation	14	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody		1			1			
OJS custody: placed in detention	-							
Youth booked into detention		1						
Youth booked into detention more than once								



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	16							
Youth referred to diversion	20	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	95%
Youth enrolled in diversion	16	0%	0%	0%	6.20%	0%	0%	93.80%
Successful completion diversion	13	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
Filed on in adult court	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
RAI Override: More Severe	3	0%	0%	33.30%	0%	0%	0%	66.70%
RAI Override: Less Severe	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	10	10%	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%	70%
Successful probation	40	0%	0%	2.50%	5%	0%	0%	92.50%
Revocation of probation	14	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody		-			-			
OJS custody: placed in detention		-			-			
Youth booked into detention		-1						
Youth booked into detention more than once								



Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	27	71	38.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	74	150	49.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	102	222	45.9%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	54	88	61.4%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	87	157	55.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	142	246	57.7%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	51	78	65.4%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		1	
Filed in Juv. Court	38	68	55.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	8	8	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	97	154	63.0%





2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	21	62	33.9%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	31	83	37.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	53	146	36.3%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	7	38	18.4%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	3	29	10.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	0	3	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	10	70	14.3%