



Colfax County Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	8
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ^l	8
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	10
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) ^h	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) ⁱ	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) ^o	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	17
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	18
References and Resources	20
Appendix: RED Descriptives	22
Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year	27



UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA

JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE

EVIDENCE-BASED
NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Youth Level

- Table 1: Colfax County is a very diverse community, with only 21-28% of juvenile reported as White, non-Hispanic. The largest juvenile population resides in the Hispanic community, accounting for 60-69% of the population ages 10-17.
- Table 2-3: School enrollment data is very similar to census data. With regard to chronic absenteeism –Hispanic youth were much more likely to have chronic absenteeism issues over the past five years. Black youth were slightly over represented in 2018-2019 school year. Some of Colfax County’s number were too small to report (less than 10 cases.)
- Table 4: Free and reduced lunch is consistently higher than the rest of the state. More than 25% of students reported limited English Proficiency.
- Table 5: Despite the challenges - HS graduation rates are on par with the state average.
- Table 6: Colfax County may want to participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last time this county participated was 2012). This tool provides good information on binge drinking, drug use, depression, suicide ideation, etc. and often provides guidance for juvenile justice interventions.
- Table 11: Data was not available about gang involvement.
- Table 12: Overall juvenile crime decreased by 15% from 2018 to 2019. Local law enforcement numbers are similar to what we got from NCC, but the categories (law violations) do not match exactly.
- Table 13. A statewide tool is on the horizon. A validated tool can help design interventions (using the 8 domains).
- Table 14: Only 186 youth had a law violation reported to NCC (Over 5 years). It appears that Hispanic youth (especially males) have the highest system involvement. Hispanic youth are diverted at high rates, but complete at slightly lower rates. White you are less likely to be involved in the juvenile justice system. Native American youth are more likely to be brought to probation for RAI, and more likely to have an override.

Table 1.
 Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area Name	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Colfax	741	21.1%	69.9%	2.6%	5.5%	0.0%	0.9%

Females



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Geographic Area Name	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%
Colfax	779	28.8%	59.7%	1.0%	3.2%	0.0%	7.3%

[Click here to go back to RED analysis](#)

Table 2.
 School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	Colfax	2585	63.71%	0.04%	0.81%	1.12%	0.00%	34.00%	0.31%
	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-2016	Colfax	2674	65.22%	0.07%	0.67%	1.83%	0.00%	31.82%	0.37%
	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-2017	Colfax	2720	65.48%	0.04%	0.70%	1.80%	0.00%	31.43%	0.55%
	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-2018	Colfax	2765	65.17%	0.07%	0.58%	2.03%	0.00%	31.57%	0.58%
	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-2019	Colfax	2721	64.20%	0.11%	0.59%	2.57%	0.00%	32.12%	0.40%
	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.
 Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	Colfax	247	80.16%	*	*	*	*	19.84%	*
	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-2016	Colfax	276	83.70%	*	*	*	*	16.30%	*
	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-2017	Colfax	256	88.67%	*	*	*	*	11.33%	*
	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
	Colfax	334	84.43%	*	*	*	*	15.57%	*



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2017-2018	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-2019	Colfax	315	78.73%	*	*	4.13%	*	17.14%	*
	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4.
 Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-2015	Colfax	2585	9.25%	*	21.35%	65.18%
	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-2016	Colfax	2674	9.20%	*	21.69%	66.75%
	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-2017	Colfax	2720	9.60%	*	24.85%	66.80%
	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-2018	Colfax	2765	12.01%	0.43%	24.81%	59.57%
	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-2019	Colfax	2721	11.61%	*	26.13%	60.31%
	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5.
 Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c

County	Total in Last 5 Years		Yearly Averages		Graduation Rate	Rank
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students		
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	n/a
Colfax	791	890	39.6	44.5	88.9%	80

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6.
 Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Colfax	Loss of sleep from worry	--	--	--
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Colfax	Depressed	--	--	--
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Colfax	Considered/Attempted suicide	--	--	--
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Colfax	Current alcohol	--	--	--
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Colfax	Current binge drinking	--	--	--
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Colfax	Current marijuana	--	--	--
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Colfax	Current tobacco	--	--	--
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Colfax	Current vaping	--	--	--
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Colfax	Hopeful for future (past week)	--	--	--
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

*Colfax County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2012)

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis ^e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11.

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Colfax	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	--	--	--
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

*Colfax County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2012)



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 12.
 Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age	All Arrestee Ages			Under 18		
	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Summary Arrest Date						
Jurisdiction by Geography	COLFAX COUNTY					
Arrest Offense						
Total	442	419	-5.20	84	71	-15.48
Rape Total	-	2	-	-	-	-
Aggravated Assault Total	6	3	-50.00	-	-	-
Burglary Total	2	-	-100.00	2	-	-100.00
Larceny-Theft Total	7	8	14.29	1	4	300.00
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	1	-	-100.00	-	-	-
Other Assaults	25	31	24.00	5	2	-60.00
Fraud	1	1	0.00	-	-	-
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	1	-	-100.00	-	-	-
Vandalism	12	7	-41.67	3	2	-33.33
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.		1	-	-	-	-
Prostitution	2	-	-100.00	-	-	-
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	3	1	-66.67	-	1	-
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Drug Violations - Possession	50	45	-10.00	7	12	71.43
Offenses Against Family and Children	1	2	100.00	-	-	-
Driving Under the Influence	39	39	0.00	3	0	-100.00
Liquor Laws	40	28	-30.00	14	6	-57.14
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	251	251	0.00	49	44	-10.20

*From SPD (n=66)

DUI'S	Uncontrollable	DTP	No ops	MP	Open cont.	Poss MJ	Poss Drug Para
3	6	3	18	7	1	9	7
Leave scene	Theft	Burg	Tress	Criminal Mischief	Warrants	Flee to avoid	Assault
2	3	1	2	3	2	1	2



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 13.
 Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

Score	Colfax		All Arizona Counties	
	0	1	0	1
Family Conflict	100%	0.0%	89.1%	10.9%
Assaultive	100%	0.0%	87.2%	12.8%
Drug Use	100%	0.0%	72.0%	28.0%
Truant	100%	0.0%	84.1%	15.9%
Enrolled in School	100%	0.0%	88.6%	11.4%
Mental Health	100%	0.0%	77.1%	22.9%
Peers	100%	0.0%	58.8%	41.2%
Runaway	100%	0.0%	94.3%	5.7%
Status Offense	100%	0.0%	61.1%	38.9%
Prior Complaint	33.3%	66.7%	94.8%	5.2%
Mean Score	<i>M</i> = 0.67, <i>SD</i> = 0.58, 0-1		<i>M</i> = 1.90, <i>SD</i> = 1.44, 0-7	

Colfax County **n = 3**; Statewide *n* = 206

Table 14.
 Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ^l

[Click here to see Census and School Population Data](#)

See [Appendix for yearly data](#)

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native .5%	Asian/ Pacific Islander .11%	Black 2.57%	Hispanic/ Latino 64%	Multiple/ Other .4%	Unspec/ Missing	White 32%
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	186*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	158	0%	0%	2.50%	79.70%	0.60%	0%	17.10%
Youth enrolled in diversion	110	0%	0%	3.60%	78.20%	0%	0%	18.20%
Successful completion diversion	97	0%	0%	3.10%	76.30%	0%	0%	20.60%
Youth with multiple charges	27	0%	0%	0%	63%	0%	3.70%	33.30%
Filed on in adult court	4	0%	0%	0%	75%	0%	0%	25%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

RAI Override: More Severe	15	6.70%	0%	0%	73.30%	0%	0%	20%
RAI Override: Less Severe	3	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	63	4.80%	0%	0%	79.40%	0%	0%	15.90%
Successful probation	193	2.10%	0%	1.60%	81.30%	0%	0%	15%
Revocation of probation	49	0%	0%	0%	71.40%	0%	0%	28.60%
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

* Colfax County sheriff did not report to NCC in 2015 or 2016; Schulyer PD did not report to NCC 2015 - 2017



Family Level

- Table 15: Colfax County has more youth in poverty, and many more youth in extreme poverty (as compared to the state). This county also has fewer adult (25 and older) with a bachelors degree.)
- Only 86.6% of your youth have a computer at home and fewer (82.3%) have access to internet, which is very relevant – should your community need to return to online learning.
- Slightly higher homeownership compared to the entire state.
- Colfax County had 112 call to the abuse and neglect hotline, with roughly 18% of those substantiated (slightly higher than the state’s 16%).

Table 15.
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Colfax	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	15.3%	14.8%
	Number of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	463	43,814
	Percent of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	46.1%	28.9%
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	14.5%	31.3%
	County Rank	91	-
	Age 25+ with some college, no degree	16.4%	23.0%
	County Rank	93	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	70.2%	91.1%
	County Rank	93	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a computer at home	86.6%	96.9%
	County Rank	92	-
	% under 18 with an internet subscription at home	82.3%	91.0%
	County Rank	79	-
	% under 18 with broadband internet access at home	82.3%	90.8%
	County Rank	79	-
Housing	Owner-occupied households	2,639	498,567
	Total households	3,718	754,063



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

	Owner %	71.0%	66.1%
	Renters	1,079	255,496
	Renter %	29.0%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	168	40,465
	Total households	3,718	754,063
	No vehicle %	4.5%	5.4%

Table 16.
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Colfax	Adult at home who listens	--	--	--
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Colfax	Adult at school who listens	--	--	--
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

*Colfax County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2012)

Table 17.
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Colfax	2	2	6	6
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18.
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Colfax	112	44%	18%	61%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



Community Level

- Table 19: Relatively few assaults for a community of your size. Roughly 31 “other assault” reported in 2019.
- Table 21: Juvenile record sealing is not “automatic” even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment). Diversion appears to have an in-depth policy for sealing records, but working with the county clerk may help young adults whose records should be sealed.
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis.

Table 19.
 Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Colfax	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	2	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	3	1,639
Other Assaults	31	8,782

Table 20.
 Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Colfax	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	--	--	--
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Colfax	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	--	--	--
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Colfax	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	--	--	--
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%

*Colfax County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2012)



Table 21.
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see [Appendix for yearly data](#)

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	84	131	64.1%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	0	2	0.0%
Filed in Juv. Court	597	964	61.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	16	23	69.6%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	698	1137	61.4%

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



Policy, Legal and System Level

Table 22: Although you are not required to appoint counsel (by statute), roughly 40-60% of youth were appointed an attorney at the time of filing.

Table 24: Absenteeism and ungovernable seems to be getting diverted from court system (only 1 truancy filing in 2019!).

Table 25: With respect to diversion practices, you appear to be following best practices:

- o Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth (please track these)
- o Allowing youth more than one opportunity (if deemed appropriate.)
- o Make sure diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable. With a higher proportion of children <18 in poverty, perhaps offering scholarships.

Table 22.
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Colfax	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	40.0% -- 59.9%	73.5%

Neb. Rev. 43-272. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23.
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Colfax	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	4	352



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 24.
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m

Filed Subtype	Colfax					Total
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	
3A - Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	3	1	0	1	5
3B - Uncontrollable	0	1	2	3	0	6
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0

Filed Subtype	Nebraska					Total
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25.
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) ^o

	Colfax	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first time offenders to diversion	No	Yes: 27.3% No: 63.6% Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the time of the referral to diversion	No	Yes: 18.2% No: 70.5% Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are unsuccessful on diversion	Sometimes	Always: 47.7% Sometimes: 47.7% Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete diversion more than once	Yes	Yes: 61.4% No: 34.1% Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a juvenile ineligible for diversion	Yes; serious felonies (I.e., murder, manslaughter, sexual assault)	Yes: 86.4% No: 9.1% Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of intervention	Yes	Yes: 27.3% No: 61.4% Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8% No: 65.9% Not sure: 2.3%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$125	Yes: 86.4% No: 13.6% Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior to discharge	Yes; verbally counsel, allow extension, work with juvenile and family	Yes: 47.7% No: 25.0% Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Yes; wrap tape around the investigation file and send letter to law enforcement to seal their investigative files.	Yes: 59.1% No: 22.7% Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



Community Team Level

- Colfax County surpassed the recommended response rate of 75%, so the information in this section is reliable.
- Rates of collective impact were higher than 2019 for most measures, (where they were lower – it was not statistical significant.)
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community, but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to invite other community members (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.)
- On the survey –no diversion?– but perhaps the box did not get checked. Nice job including some individuals who have had prior system involvement.
- Most people feel heard (88%), but two did not, so there may be techniques to build in to meetings to ensure everyone feels heard.

Table 26. Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^P

Year of survey	Colfax		Nebraska	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	24	18	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	4	17	221	345
Response rate	16.7%	94.4%	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27. Collective Impact Survey Scores ^P

Year of survey	Colfax		Nebraska	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean Score	
Common agenda	5.70	6.29	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	5.97	6.18	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	5.78	5.76	5.21	5.45
Continuous communication	6.33	6.12	5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	6.05	6.24	5.52	5.78

The five elements of Collective Impact are:



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support:** Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p

	Colfax		Nebraska	
	N = 17	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	4	23.5%	101	29.3%
Female	12	70.6%	229	66.4%
Missing	1	5.9%	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30	2	11.8%	19	5.6%
30-39	5	29.5%	68	19.6%
40-49	4	23.6%	88	25.4%
50-59	4	23.6%	90	25.8%
60 and over	1	5.9%	44	13%
Missing	1	5.9%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	10	58.8%	230	66.7%
Black	--	--	10	2.9%
Hispanic	3	17.6%	13	3.8%
Native American	--	--	6	1.7%
Asian	--	--	1	0.3%
Other	--	--	2	0.6%
Provided town name	3	17.6%	63	18.3%
Missing	1	5.9%	19	5.5%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Previous System Involvement				
Yes	1	5.9%	98	28.4%
No	16	94.1%	242	70.1%
Missing	--	--	5	1.4%
System Point*				
Law enforcement	2	10.0%	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	1	5.0%	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	6	30.0%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	1	5.0%	10	2.3%
Diversion ???	--	--	55	12.6%
Probation	1	5.0%	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/ guardian ad litem	--	--	8	1.8%
DHHS or Child Welfare	1	5.0%	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	1	5.0%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention	--	--	8	1.8%
Community based program	4	20.0%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government	2	10.0%	6	1.4%
Restorative practices	--	--	6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	--	--	3	0.7%
Other	1	5.0%	16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	15	88.2%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	2	11.8%	75	21.7%

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%



References and Resources

^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^b **School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch:** Prepared by
Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education

^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020

^d **Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use:** Bureau of
Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey:
<https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data>

^e **Referral to and utilization of services:** Department of Health and Human Services

^f **Adult and juvenile arrests:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

^g Diversion programs

^h **Domestic violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:
https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County_0.pdf

ⁱ Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

^k **Distance to detention facility:** Google Maps

^l **Racial and ethnic disparities:** Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided
by:



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:

<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System

Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^m **Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing:** Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.



Appendix: RED Descriptives

2015

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	3*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	32	0%	0%	3.10%	78.10%	0%	0%	18.80%
Youth enrolled in diversion	31	0%	0%	3.20%	77.40%	0%	0%	19.40%
Successful completion diversion	27	0%	0%	3.70%	74.10%	0%	0%	22.20%
Youth with multiple charges	3	0%	0%	0%	33.30%	0%	33.30%	33.30%
Filed on in adult court	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
RAI Override: More Severe	2	50%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	12	8.30%	0%	0%	83.30%	0%	0%	8.30%
Successful probation	52	1.90%	0%	3.80%	86.50%	0%	0%	7.70%
Revocation of probation	8	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

*Colfax County sheriff did not report to NCC in 2015 or 2016; Schulyer PD did not report to NCC 2015 - 2017



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2016

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	18*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	21	0%	0%	0%	85.70%	0%	0%	14.30%
Youth enrolled in diversion	18	0%	0%	0%	83.30%	0%	0%	16.70%
Successful completion diversion	17	0%	0%	0%	82.40%	0%	0%	17.60%
Youth with multiple charges	3	0%	0%	0%	66.70%	0%	0%	33.30%
Filed on in adult court	1	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: More Severe	4	0%	0%	0%	75%	0%	0%	25%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	21	0%	0%	0%	85.70%	0%	0%	14.30%
Successful probation	41	2.40%	0%	0%	78%	0%	0%	19.50%
Revocation of probation	10	0%	0%	0%	80%	0%	0%	20%
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

** Colfax County sheriff did not report to NCC in 2015 or 2016; Schulyer PD did not report to NCC 2015 - 2017



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2017

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	10*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	32	0%	0%	6.20%	75%	0%	0%	18.80%
Youth enrolled in diversion	21	0%	0%	9.50%	71.40%	0%	0%	19%
Successful completion diversion	17	0%	0%	5.90%	70.60%	0%	0%	23.50%
Youth with multiple charges	6	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%
Filed on in adult court	3	0%	0%	0%	66.70%	0%	0%	33.30%
RAI Override: More Severe	2	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	14	7.10%	0%	0%	71.40%	0%	0%	21.40%
Successful probation	39	0%	0%	0%	94.90%	0%	0%	5.10%
Revocation of probation	12	0%	0%	0%	75%	0%	0%	25%
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

*Colfax County sheriff did not report to NCC in 2015 or 2016; Schulyer PD did not report to NCC 2015 - 2017



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	84	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	45	0%	0%	0%	82.20%	2.20%	0%	15.60%
Youth enrolled in diversion	24	0%	0%	0%	83.30%	0%	0%	16.70%
Successful completion diversion	21	0%	0%	0%	81%	0%	0%	19%
Youth with multiple charges	13	0%	0%	0%	76.90%	0%	0%	23.10%
Filed on in adult court	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
RAI Override: More Severe	2	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	6	0%	0%	0%	83.30%	0%	0%	16.70%
Successful probation	41	4.90%	0%	2.40%	73.20%	0%	0%	19.50%
Revocation of probation	14	0%	0%	0%	35.70%	0%	0%	64.30%
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2019

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	66*	0%	0%	0%	95.4%	0%	0%	.45%
Youth taken to temporary custody	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	71*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	28	0%	0%	3.60%	78.60%	0%	0%	17.90%
Youth enrolled in diversion	16	0%	0%	6.20%	75%	0%	0%	18.80%
Successful completion diversion	15	0%	0%	6.70%	73.30%	0%	0%	20%
Youth with multiple charges	2	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%
Filed on in adult court	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
RAI Override: More Severe	5	0%	0%	0%	80%	0%	0%	20%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	10	10%	0%	0%	70%	0%	0%	20%
Successful probation	20	0%	0%	0%	65%	0%	0%	35%
Revocation of probation	5	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

- Total contacts (Ages 13-18)



Schuyler PD reported 66

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

* All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	24	28	85.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	115	167	68.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	8	8	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	147	213	69.0%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	18	23	78.3%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	148	228	64.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	8	12.5%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	168	260	64.6%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	18	46	39.1%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	152	264	57.6%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	4	4	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	174	320	54.4%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	15	22	68.2%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	127	192	66.1%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	144	216	66.7%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	9	12	75.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	0	2	0.0%
Filed in Juv. Court	55	113	48.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	65	128	50.8%