



Dawes County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) a	3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b	4
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	8
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)	8
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportatio year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	n (5- 10
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means h	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) j	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 $-$ 2019) $^{\rm m}$	14
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 $-$ 2019) $^{\rm m}$	14
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	17
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	18
References and Resources	20
Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year	22









Youth Level

- Hispanic and American Indian youth are overrepresented in chronic absenteeism compared to school enrollment.
- This community has not participated in the NRPFSS since 2014 and should consider participating in the next survey year (2020) to get youth-level data on mental health, gangs, supportive adults and community perceptions of substance use.
- Graduation rates include Job Corp as well, which may result in a misrepresentation of Dawes County public schools graduation rates.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture of RED. Based on census and school enrollment data:
 - American Indian youth are overrepresented in all court system points, and all probation system points except probation underrides
 - Hispanic youth are overrepresented with multiple charges and adult court filings, and at probation intake and successful probation completion
 - Race/ethnicity data is missing or unspecified at the court level for 14.3% and 16.7% of the cases.

Table 1. Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

	Total						
Geographic	Count	Non-Hispanic	Hispanic or		American	Asian or	2+
Area		White	Latino	Black	Indian	Pacific Islander	Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Dawes	377	83.8%	4.5%	1.3%	4.0%	0.0%	6.4%

Females

Geographi	Total	Non-Hispanic	Hispanic or	Black	American	Asian or	2+
Area	Count	White	Latino		Indian	Pacific Islander	Races





Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Dawes	260	79.2%	18.1%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Dawes	1,098	3.01%	0.82%	5.19%	1.28%	3.19%	81.60%	4.92%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Dawes	1,106	3.07%	1.36%	5.88%	1.18%	2.89%	81.65%	3.98%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Dawes	1,135	4.41%	1.06%	5.46%	1.23%	2.73%	80.88%	4.23%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Dawes	1,143	3.76%	0.79%	6.47%	0.96%	1.31%	82.50%	4.20%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Dawes	1,124	3.74%	0.53%	7.56%	1.25%	0.80%	81.85%	4.27%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3. Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Dawes	97	<mark>12.37%</mark>	*	<mark>14.43%</mark>	*	islander *	73.20%	*
2014-	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Dawes	112	*	*	20.54%	*	*	79.46%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	<mark>25.73%</mark>	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Dawes	112	<mark>11.61%</mark>	*	<mark>16.07%</mark>	*	*	72.32%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	<mark>26.90%</mark>	1.66%	<mark>4.40%</mark>	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Dawes	138	9.42%	*	28.26%	*	*	62.32%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	<mark>26.81%</mark>	1.77%	<mark>4.18%</mark>	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Dawes	194	<mark>7.22%</mark>	*	<mark>29.38%</mark>	*	*	63.40%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	<mark>27.64%</mark>	1.76%	<mark>4.16%</mark>	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%



Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic	Total	IDEA	504	Limited English	Free/Reduced
	Area	Count		Plan	Proficiency	Lunch
2014-	Dawes	1,098	9.29%	0.91%	5.56%	51.73%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Dawes	1,106	9.22%	*	7.14%	51.90%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	Dawes	1,135	9.43%	*	6.34%	55.15%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	Dawes	1,143	11.99%	*	4.72%	49.96%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Dawes	1,124	12.37%	*	1.07%	44.75%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5.

Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °

County	Total in Las	st 5 Years	Yearly Averages		Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates Students		Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Dawes	463	860	30.9 57.3		53.8%	93

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high school students. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the students. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Dawes	Loss of sleep from worry		-	-

Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Dawes	Depressed	•	ŀ	
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Dawes	Considered/Attempted suicide		-	
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Dawes	Current alcohol		-	-
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Dawes	Current binge drinking	-	1	1
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Dawes	Current marijuana	•	ŀ	1
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Dawes	Current tobacco	•	ŀ	1
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Dawes	Current vaping	•	ŀ	1
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Dawes	Hopeful for future (past week)		-	
Nebraska		72.1%	74.7%	78.4%

^{*}Dawes County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective factors survey (last was 2014)

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized e

Table 11.

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Dawes	Youth Reported Gang Involvement			

Nebraska	3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

^{*}Dawes County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective factors survey (last was 2014)

Table 12. Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages	Under 18		
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography			DAWES	COUNT	Υ	
Arrest Offense						
Total	311	349	12.22	37	31	-16.22
Robbery Total	1	-	-100.00	-	-	-
Aggravated Assault Total	6	2	-66.67	1	-	-100.00
Burglary Total	-	1	-	-	-	-
Larceny-Theft Total	27	23	-14.81	9	8	-11.11
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	-	6	-	-	5	
Other Assaults	33	49	48.48	2	6	200.00
Fraud	-	2	-	-	-	-
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	1	2	100.00	-	-	-
Vandalism	8	3	-62.50	2	1	-50.00
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	3	1	-66.67	-	0	-
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	2	6	200.00	-	-	-
Drug Violations - Possession	78	76	-2.56	7	0	-100.00
NIBRS Unable to Classify	1	-	-100.00	-	-	-
Offenses Against Family and Children	5	4	-20.00	0	0	-
Driving Under the Influence	59	39	-33.90	1	1	0.00
Liquor Laws	51	89	74.51	13	9	-30.77
Disorderly Conduct	7	16	128.57	1	0	-100.00
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	29	30	3.45	1	1	0.00

Table 13.





Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	Dawes			All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting				60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment				43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships				44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M =, SD =,			M = 5.6	34, SD = 3.	65, 0-17

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed

Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact					1			
Youth taken to temporary custody					-			
Youth issued citation/referral	155							
Youth referred to diversion								
Youth enrolled in diversion								
Successful completion diversion								1
Youth with multiple charges	21	<mark>47.60%</mark>	0%	0%	14.30%	0%	<mark>14.30%</mark>	23.80%
Filed on in adult court	6	<mark>16.70%</mark>	0%	<mark>16.70%</mark>	0%	0%	<mark>16.70%</mark>	50%
RAI Override: More Severe	1	<mark>100%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%



EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

Probation intake	8	<mark>62.50%</mark>	0%	12.50%	12.50%	12.50%	0%	0%
Successful	25	<mark>16%</mark>	0%	<mark>20%</mark>	8%	0%	0%	56%
probation								
Revocation of	25	<mark>44%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	56%
probation								
Youth in OJS								
custody								
OJS custody:								
placed in detention								
Youth booked into								
detention								
Youth booked into								
detention more								
than once								



Family Level

- The percentage of children under 18 in poverty is slightly higher than the state average.
- The percentage of adults with bachelor's degrees is higher than the state average.
- The percentage of youth without a computer at home is slightly lower than the state average, but internet access is higher than the state.
- The percentage of renters is slightly higher than the state average this may be partially because of college students renting while in college.
- 70% of abuse/neglect calls are unfounded.

Table 15.
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Dawes	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	<mark>17.2%</mark>	14.8%
	Number of children 12-	101	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	20.3%	28.9%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	37.0%	31.3%
		4	-
	Age 25+ with some	24.8%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
		36	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	95.3%	91.1%
	County Rank	12	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	<mark>94.8%</mark>	96.9%
	computer at home		
		81	-
	% under 18 with an	93.3%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
		29	-
	% under 18 with	92.1%	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	37	-





Housing	Owner-occupied households	2,343	498,567
	Total households	3,623	754,063
	Owner %	64.7%	66.1%
	Renters	1,280	255,496
	Renter %	<mark>35.3%</mark>	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	195	40,465
	Total households	3,623	754,063
	No vehicle %	5.4%	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Dawes	Adult at home who listens	-		-
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Dawes	Adult at school who listens			-
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

^{*}Dawes County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective factors survey (last was 2014)

Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated	Aggravated	Simple Domestic	Simple Domestics
	Domestic Assaults	Domestic Assaults	Assaults Reported	Assaults Cleared
	Reported	Cleared by Arrest		by Arrest or
		or Exceptional		Exceptional Means
		Means		
Dawes	0	0	21	15
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Dawes	161	37%	15%	<mark>70%</mark>
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%

Community Level

- There are not many violent arrests in this community.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record
 requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be
 sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully
 complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or
 other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved
 because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older
 cases.

Table 19. Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Dawes	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	0	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	2	1,639
Other Assaults	49	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Dawes	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	1	•	
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Dawes	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	1	•	
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Dawes	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	1	•	
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%

^{*}Dawes County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective factors survey (last was 2014)





Table 21.
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	86	142	<mark>60.6%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	173	493	35.1%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	10	21	47.6%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	269	656	41.0%

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

- Despite not being in a county required to provide counsel under statute, access to counsel is similar to the state average, but still lower than ideal
- There are few curfew and 3A, 3B, and 3C filings in court.
- Dawes County does not have a diversion program, as such did not complete the diversion procedure and protocol survey.

Table 22.
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Dawes	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	60.0% 79.9%	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Dawes	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352

Table 24.

Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m





	Dawes					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	3	1	0	2	6
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	0	0	1	1
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^\circ$

	Dawes	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	Did not complete survey	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	Did not complete survey	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Did not complete survey	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Did not complete survey	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Did not complete survey	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion		No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	Did not complete survey	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	Did not complete survey	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Did not complete survey	Yes: 86.4%
		No: 13.6%
		Not sure: 0.0%





Use of graduated responses prior to discharge	Did not complete survey	Yes: 47.7% No: 25.0% Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Did not complete survey	Yes: 59.1% No: 22.7% Not sure: 18.2%

^{*}responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)

Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 11.7%.
 - This is a decrease from the 2019 survey, but more people completed the survey; the number of people the survey was sent to increased.
 - The 2020 mean score for Collective Impact is lower than both the Panhandle 2019 response and overall Nebraska mean score, except for shared measurement.
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team. This includes age of team members.
 - There were 4 responses (25%) to race/ethnicity that had town name and two that are missing (12.5), so there may be more diversity than the survey revealed.
- Survey results show no probation, law enforcement, or attorneys on your team, but this may be due to the low response rate.

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	Panhandle		Nebr	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	24	137	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	12	16	221	345
Response rate	50.0%	<mark>11.7%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores p

	Panhand	lle	Nebra	aska
Year of survey	2019 2020		2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean Score	
Common agenda	5.33	<mark>5.27</mark>	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	5.52	<mark>5.13</mark>	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	5.57	5.60	5.21	5.45

Continuous communication	5.98	<mark>5.15</mark>	5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	6.16	<mark>5.64</mark>	5.52	5.78

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support**: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity P

	Panhandle		Neb	raska
	N = 16	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	2	12.5%	101	29.3%
Female	13	81.3%	229	66.4%
Missing	1	6.3%	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30	<u></u>		19	5.6%
30-39	<u></u>		68	19.6%
40-49	3	18.9%	88	25.4%
50-59	8	50.2%	90	25.8%
60 and over	3	18.9%	44	13%
Missing	2	12.5%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	9	56.3%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American	1	6.3%	6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%





Provided town name	4	25.0%	63	18.3%
Missing	2	12.5%	19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes	5	31.3%	98	28.4%
No	11	68.8%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point *				
Law enforcement			34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court			32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	5	29.4%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	1	5.9%	10	2.3%
Diversion	3	17.6%	55	12.6%
Probation			31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare	1	5.9%	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	1	5.9%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program	5	29.4%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	1	5.9%	3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	12	75.0%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	4	25.0%	75	21.7%

Banner n = 3, Box Butte n = 3, Cheyenne n = 5, Dawes n = 5, Deuel n = 3, Garden n = 3, Kimball n = 3, Morrill n = 2, Scotts Bluff n = 7, Sioux n = 2, Sheridan n = 2 (three people named more than one county they represent

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

- ^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- ^f **Adult and juvenile arrests:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 ^g Diversion programs
- h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:
 https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2
 Oby%20County 0.pdf

¹Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps

¹Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:



Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:



https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- ^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- ° **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- P Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^q Collective Impact Elements: Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.



Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	30	33	90.9%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		1	
Filed in Juv. Court	29	64	53.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	0	2	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	59	89	66.3%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	19	34	55.9%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	68	89	76.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	4	10	40.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	91	133	68.4%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	8	14	57.1%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	24	67	35.8%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	32	81	39.5%

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	12	31	38.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			





Filed in Juv. Court	27	131	20.6%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	3	3	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	42	165	25.5%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	17	30	56.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	25	152	16.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	3	6	50.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	45	188	23.9%