



Dixon County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018)) ^a 3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b	
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	8
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)	8
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transporta year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	•
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) d	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) h	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) i	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) n	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	17
Community Planning Team Diversity P	18





References and Resources	Error! Bookmark not defined
Appendix: RED Descriptives	Error! Bookmark not defined
Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year	22



Youth Level

- Chronic absenteeism has decreased in recent years.
- While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because the frequency was too low to report, Hispanic, Native American, and Black youth are overrepresented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- Youth in Dixon County have higher rates of 504 Plans compared to the state.
- Dixon County is ranked 17 overall in the state for graduation rates.
- More youth report losing sleep from worry compared to the state.
 - 8th and 12th graders in Dixon County report higher rates of depression and considering/attempting suicide compared to the state
- For substance use, 8th, 10th, and 12th graders in Dixon County report higher rates of alcohol and tobacco use, while 10th and 12th graders report higher rates of binge drinking, marijuana use, and vaping compared to the state.
- Arrests for youth under 18 declined from 2018 to 2019, but it increased for adults
 - Specifically, drug possession, DUIs, other assaults and liquor laws increased.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture
 of RED, compared to census and school enrollment data, Hispanic youth are overrepresented
 at successful probation completion and probation underrides, Black youth are overrepresented
 at probation intake, and youth identified as multiple races/ethnicities are overrepresented at
 successful probation.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Dixon	398	80.9%	14.8%	0.0%	0.5%	0.3%	3.5%

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Dixon	282	76.2%	21.3%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1.8%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Dixon	942	4.14%	0.32%	0.74%	1.80%	0.00%	92.46%	0.53%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Dixon	945	4.76%	0.42%	1.59%	2.12%	0.00%	90.48%	0.63%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Dixon	909	5.06%	0.33%	1.21%	1.87%	0.00%	90.98%	0.55%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Dixon	920	6.09%	0.54%	1.52%	2.17%	0.00%	89.24%	0.43%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Dixon	880	6.25%	0.57%	1.14%	2.16%	0.11%	89.20%	0.57%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Dixon	37	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Dixon	41	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Dixon	44	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Dixon	39	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389





2018-	Dixon	<mark>17</mark>	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic	Total	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English	Free/Reduced
	Area	Count			Proficiency	Lunch
2014-	Dixon	942	16.24%	<mark>1.06%</mark>	*	35.46%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	<mark>0.76%</mark>	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Dixon	945	15.66%	<mark>1.69%</mark>	*	31.96%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	<mark>0.90%</mark>	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	Dixon	909	15.95%	<mark>1.87%</mark>	*	35.20%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	<mark>0.93%</mark>	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	Dixon	920	16.41%	<mark>2.28%</mark>	*	33.26%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	<mark>0.88%</mark>	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Dixon	880	13.98%	<mark>1.70%</mark>	1.14%	34.66%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	<mark>0.85%</mark>	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °

County	Total in Las	t 5 Years	Yearly Averages		Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students	Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Dixon	352	363	23.5	24.2	97.0%	<mark>17</mark>

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Dixon	Loss of sleep from worry	<mark>42.3%</mark>	<mark>21.4%</mark>	<mark>25.0%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>18.0%</mark>	<mark>20.6%</mark>	<mark>21.6%</mark>
Dixon	Depressed	<mark>38.5%</mark>	25.0%	<mark>57.1%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>31.1%</mark>	34.8%	<mark>35.3%</mark>
Dixon	Considered/Attempted suicide	<mark>26.9%</mark>	10.7%	<mark>25.0%</mark>





Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	<mark>16.2%</mark>
Dixon	Current alcohol	<mark>23.1%</mark>	<mark>35.7%</mark>	<mark>64.3%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>9.8%</mark>	<mark>20.1%</mark>	<mark>34.2%</mark>
Dixon	Current binge drinking	0.0%	<mark>10.7%</mark>	<mark>32.1%</mark>
Nebraska		1.3%	<mark>6.2%</mark>	<mark>15.0%</mark>
Dixon	Current marijuana	0.0%	<mark>7.4%</mark>	<mark>14.3%</mark>
Nebraska		3.0%	<mark>7.3%</mark>	<mark>13.9%</mark>
Dixon	Current tobacco	<mark>7.7%</mark>	<mark>17.9%</mark>	<mark>35.7%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>3.7%</mark>	<mark>8.0%</mark>	<mark>15.3%</mark>
Dixon	Current vaping	7.7%	<mark>39.3%</mark>	<mark>39.3%</mark>
Nebraska		10.4%	<mark>24.7%</mark>	<mark>37.3%</mark>
Dixon	Hopeful for future (past week)	80.8%	82.1%	85.7%
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized e

Table 11.

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Dixon	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	3.8%	3.7%	0.0%
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%





Table 12. Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages		Und	der 18
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography			DIXON	COUNT	<u> </u>	
Arrest Offense						
Total	51	75	47.06	7	3	-57.14
Aggravated Assault Total	1	-	-100.00	-	-	-
Larceny-Theft Total	1	1	0.00	1	1	-100.00
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	-	1	-	-	-	-
Other Assaults	<mark>5</mark>	<mark>7</mark>	<mark>40.00</mark>	1	1	0.00
Fraud	-	4	-	-	-	-
Embezzlement	1	-	-100.00	-	-	-
Vandalism	3	3	0.00	3	-	-100.00
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	1	-	-100.00	-	-	-
<u>Drug Violations - Possession</u>	<mark>12</mark>	<mark>18</mark>	<mark>50.00</mark>	1	0	-100.00
Offenses Against Family and Children	-	3	-	-	ı	-
Driving Under the Influence	<mark>13</mark>	<mark>16</mark>	23.08	0	0	-
Liquor Laws	<mark>3</mark>	8	<mark>166.67</mark>	0	0	-
Disorderly Conduct	-	2	-	-	-	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	11	12	9.09	1	2	100.00

Table 13a. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	Dixon			All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting	-	-	-	60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment	-	-	-	43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships	-	-	-	44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use	-	-	-	61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation	-	-	-	50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior	-	-	-	50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation	-	-	-	61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M = -, $SD = 3$ $M = 5.64$, $SD = 3.6$			65, 0-17		



Table 13b. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	Dixon		All YLS	Counties	
Score	М	SD	М	SD	
Family Circumstance/Parenting	-	-	1.20	1.32	
Education/Employment	-	-	1.27	1.35	
Peer Relationships	-	-	1.78	1.08	
Substance Use	-	-	1.29	1.40	
Leisure/Recreation	-	-	0.88	0.95	
Personality/Behavior	-	-	1.34	1.52	
Attitudes/Orientation	-	-	0.45	0.79	
Mean Score	M = -,	SD = -, -	M = 8.23, SD	= 5.22, 0-31	

Statewide n = 2124

Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ¹

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody	-							
Youth issued citation/referral	48							
Youth referred to diversion	-							
Youth enrolled in diversion	-							
Successful completion diversion	ı							
Youth with multiple charges	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: More Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	4	0%	0%	0%	<mark>25%</mark>	0%	0%	75%





Probation intake	25	0%	0%	<mark>4%</mark>	16%	4%	0%	76%
Successful probation	4	0%	0%	0%	<mark>25%</mark>	<mark>25%</mark>	0%	50%
Revocation of								
probation	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS								
custody								
OJS custody: placed								
in detention								
Youth booked into								
detention								
Youth booked into								
detention more than								
once								

Family Level

- Dixon County has higher rates of youth in poverty compared to the state.
- Number of adults with bachelor's degrees is lower than the state average; it may be possible that
 residents who go to college outside of Dixon County find employment where they go to school
 and do not return to Dixon County; adults with some college and high school degrees are also
 lower in Dixon County compared to the state
- Youth in Dixon County have access to computers and internet at home at lower rates than the state.
- 8th and 10th graders report having an adult at home who listens, and 10th graders report an adult at school who listens.

Table 15.
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

		Dixon	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	<mark>18.9%</mark>	<mark>14.8%</mark>
	Number of children 12-	77	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	% of children 12-17	16.4%	28.9%
	below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>20.5%</mark>	<mark>31.3%</mark>
	County Rank	54	-
	Age 25+ with some	<mark>20.5%</mark>	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County Rank	85	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	<mark>88.6%</mark>	91.1%
	County Rank	78	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	<mark>95.2%</mark>	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County Rank	79	-
	% under 18 with an	<mark>77.6%</mark>	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County Rank	89	-
	% under 18 with	<mark>77.6%</mark>	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		





	County Rank	89	-
Housing	Owner-occupied households	1,814	498,567
	Total households	2,311	754,063
	Owner %	78.5%	66.1%
	Renters	497	255,496
	Renter %	21.5%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	78	40,465
	Total households	2,311	754,063
	No vehicle %	3.4%	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Dixon	Adult at home who listens	88.0%	96.4%	<mark>75.0%</mark>
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	<mark>85.6%</mark>
Dixon	Adult at school who listens	<mark>84.6%</mark>	89.3%	<mark>78.6%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>85.2%</mark>	85.0%	<mark>87.4%</mark>

Table 17. Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) $^{\rm h}$

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Dixon	0	0	7	5
Nebraska	562	402	3512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) i

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Dixon	62	32.0%	30.0%	55.0%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%

Community Level

- Youth in 8th, 10th, and12th grader do not think their community finds alcohol wrong compared to the state; 10th and 12th graders also do not think their community finds cigarettes wrong.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record
 requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be
 sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully
 complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or
 other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved
 because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older
 cases.
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Table 19. Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Dixon	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	0	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	0	1,639
Other Assaults	7	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Dixon	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	100.0%	96.4%	85.7%
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Dixon	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	<mark>84.6%</mark>	<mark>75.0%</mark>	<mark>53.6%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>89.1%</mark>	<mark>80.4%</mark>	<mark>68.7%</mark>
Dixon	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	96.2%	<mark>85.7%</mark>	<mark>60.7%</mark>
Nebraska		92.9%	<mark>89.0%</mark>	<mark>78.7%</mark>





Table 21. Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	19	24	<mark>79.2%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	59	92	64.1%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	11	11	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	89	127	70.1%

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; notably, access to counsel is low in this community.
- There are no curfew and 3A, and few 3B, filings in court so the community is diverting appropriately or have few citations for these offenses
 - 3C filings are decreased in recent years
- At the time of this needs assessment Dixon County did not have a diversion program at the time of this assessment.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - o Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable. With a higher proportion of children <18 in poverty, perhaps offering scholarships.
 - Having a process for sealing records for youth on diversion with law enforcement and JCMS, as required by statute.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Dixon	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	<mark>40.0-59.9%</mark>	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Dixon	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352





Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases $(2015 - 2019)^{m}$

	Dixon					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	2	0	0	2
3B - Uncontrollable	1	0	0	0	0	1
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	4	0	<mark>7</mark>	0	0	11

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$

	Dixon	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first		Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	-	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are		Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete		Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a		Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion		No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of		Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test		Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%





Fees beyond restitution	 Yes: 86.4%
	No: 13.6%
	Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	 Yes: 47.7%
to discharge	No: 25.0%
	Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	 Yes: 59.1%
	No: 22.7%
	Not sure: 18.2%

^{*}responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



Community Team Level

 Dixon County did not receive CBA funding in either 2019 or 2020 when the two Collective Impact surveys were completed, as such, there is no data available.

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	Dix	xon	Nebr	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	-		1407	780
Number of completed surveys	1		221	345
Response rate	-		28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores P

	Dixon		Nebraska	
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean S	core	Mean	Score
Common agenda			5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing			5.37	5.50
Shared measurement			5.21	5.45
Continuous communication			5.49	5.55
Backbone agency			5.52	5.78

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.





- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support**: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity P

	Dix	on	Nebra	aska
	N =	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male			101	29.3%
Female			229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39			68	19.6%
40-49			88	25.4%
50-59			90	25.8%
60 and over			44	13%
Missing			36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White			230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name			63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes			98	28.4%
No			242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point*				
Law enforcement			34	7.8%





County attorney/ juvenile court	 	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	 	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	 	10	2.3%
Diversion	 	55	12.6%
Probation	 	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/ guardian ad litem	 	8	1.8%
DHHS or Child Welfare	 	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	 	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention	 	8	1.8%
Community based program	 	109	25.0%
Elected official or government	 	6	1.4%
Restorative practices	 	6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	 	3	0.7%
Other	 	16	3.7%
Voice on Team			
Feel heard	 	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	 	75	21.7%

^{*}note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

- ^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 g Diversion programs
- Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:
 https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2
 Oby%20County 0.pdf
- ¹Child abuse and neglect: Department of Health and Human Services, Child Abuse and Neglect Annual Data Calendar Year 2018, retrieved from Child Abuse and Neglect Annual Data Report 2019
- ^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
- ^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps





Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- ^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- ⁿ Access to Counsel: Kids Count in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- ^o Diversion procedures and protocols: Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^p Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^q Collective Impact Elements: Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. Stanford Social Innovation Review.



Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	4	4	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		-	
Filed in Juv. Court	35	35	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	5	5	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	44	44	100.0%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	5	60.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	7	13	53.8%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	12	20	60.0%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	8	8	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	15	24	62.5%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	4	4	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	27	36	75.0%

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	0	3	0.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	2	15	13.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	2	18	11.1%





2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	4	4	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	0	5	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	4	9	44.4%