



Franklin County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	7
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ⁱ	8
Family Level	9
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	9
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	10
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) ^h	10
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) ⁱ	10
Community Level	11
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	11
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	11
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	12
Policy, Legal and System Level	13
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	13
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) ^o	14
Community Team Level	16
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	16
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	16
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	17
References and Resources	19
Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year	21



UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA

JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE

EVIDENCE-BASED
NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021



Youth Level

- In 2018/2019 Hispanic youth are over-represented in chronic absenteeism compared to Franklin County demographics and school enrollment.
 - While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because the frequency was too low to report, Hispanic, Native American, and Black youth are over-represented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- More youth have IDEA plans than the state comparison across all years.
- More youth in Franklin County are on free/reduced lunch compared to the state.
- This community has not participated in the NRPFS and should consider participating in the next survey year (2020) to get youth-level data on mental health, gangs, supportive adults and community perceptions of substance use.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture of RED; there are a low number of cases to consider at all system points but white youth make up the majority of youth served at all system points.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area Name	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Franklin	134	94.0%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%

Females

Geographic Area Name	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%
Franklin	143	95.1%	2.8%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

[Click here to go back to RED analysis](#)



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 2.

School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	Franklin	307	1.30%	0.33%	0.33%	0.00%	0.00%	97.07%	0.98%
	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-2016	Franklin	296	2.36%	0.00%	1.01%	0.00%	0.00%	96.28%	0.34%
	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-2017	Franklin	295	2.71%	0.34%	1.02%	0.68%	0.00%	94.24%	1.02%
	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-2018	Franklin	308	2.27%	0.32%	0.65%	0.00%	0.00%	95.13%	1.62%
	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-2019	Franklin	281	2.14%	0.00%	0.36%	0.36%	0.00%	95.02%	2.14%
	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	Franklin	24	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-2016	Franklin	31	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-2017	Franklin	38	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-2018	Franklin	47	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-2019	Franklin	117	11.11%	*	*	*	*	88.89%	*
	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 4.

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-2015	Franklin	307	15.31%	*	*	49.84%
	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-2016	Franklin	296	19.59%	*	*	53.38%
	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-2017	Franklin	295	20.00%	*	*	55.25%
	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-2018	Franklin	308	16.56%	*	*	55.84%
	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-2019	Franklin	281	17.79%	4.27%	*	49.47%
	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5.

Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c

County	Total in Last 5 Years		Yearly Averages		Graduation Rate	Rank
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students		
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	n/a
Franklin	113	123	22.6	24.6	91.9%	68

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6.

Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Franklin	Loss of sleep from worry	--	--	--
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Franklin	Depressed	--	--	--
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Franklin	Considered/Attempted suicide	--	--	--
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Franklin	Current alcohol	--	--	--
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Franklin	Current binge drinking	--	--	--
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Franklin	Current marijuana	--	--	--
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Franklin	Current tobacco	--	--	--
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Franklin	Current vaping	--	--	--
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Franklin	Hopeful for future (past week)	--	--	--
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

*Franklin County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

****JJJ is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data**

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis ^e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11.

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Franklin	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	--	--	--
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

*Franklin County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 12.

Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age	All Arrestee Ages			Under 18		
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography	FRANKLIN COUNTY					
Arrest Offense						
Total	28	32	14.29	0	0	
Aggravated Assault Total	-	1	-	-	0	-
Burglary Total	2	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Larceny-Theft Total	3	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Other Assaults	4	6	50.00	0	0	-
Fraud	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Vandalism	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	1	3	200.00	0	0	-
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	-	1	-	-	0	-
Drug Violations - Possession	3	4	33.33	0	0	-
Driving Under the Influence	4	2	-50.00	0	0	-
Liquor Laws	3		-100.00	0	-	-
Disorderly Conduct		1	-	-	0	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	5	14	180.00	0	0	-

Table 13.

Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	Franklin			All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting	--	--	--	60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment	--	--	--	43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships	--	--	--	44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use	--	--	--	61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation	--	--	--	50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior	--	--	--	50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation	--	--	--	61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M = --, SD = --, --			M = 5.64, SD = 3.65, 0-17		

Could not compute because Adams county only had 1 NYS assessment completed



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 14.

Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ¹[Click here to see Census and School Population Data](#)

*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth enrolled in diversion	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Successful completion diversion	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth with multiple charges	4	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	75%
Filed on in adult court	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: More Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful probation	14	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Revocation of probation	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



Family Level

- Franklin County has a higher rate of poverty compared to the state
- Number of people with bachelor's degrees is lower compared to the state; this could be a result of residents going to college in a different community and not returning to Franklin County.
- The percentage of youth with an internet subscription and access to broadband internet at home is slightly lower than the state.
- 79% of abuse/neglect cases are unfounded.

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Franklin	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	19.8%	14.8%
	Number of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	89	43,814
	Percent of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	43.8%	28.9%
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	16.6%	31.3%
	County rank	86	-
	Age 25+ with some college, no degree	28.9%	23.0%
	County rank	15	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	92.7%	91.1%
	County Rank	43	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a computer at home	97.9%	96.9%
	County rank	56	-
	% under 18 with an internet subscription at home	87.2%	91.0%
	County rank	69	-
	% under 18 with broadband internet access at home	87.2%	90.8%
	County Rank	67	-
Housing	Owner-occupied households	1,131	498,567



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

	Total households	1,354	754,063
	Owner %	83.5%	66.1%
	Renters	223	255,496
	Renter %	16.5%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	24	40,465
	Total households	1,354	754,063
	No vehicle %	1.8%	5.4%

Table 16.
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8th	10th	12th
Franklin	Adult at home who listens	--	--	--
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Franklin	Adult at school who listens	--	--	--
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

*Franklin County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

Table 17.
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Franklin	0	0	2	2
Nebraska	562	402	3512	2019

Table 18.
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Franklin	49	29%	7%	79%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



Community Level

- There are not many violent arrests in this community.
- Juvenile record sealing is not “automatic” even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment).
 - When looking at the yearly data in the Appendix, Franklin County has 100% sealed for dismissed/dropped cases; it may be that cases still open from 2019 at the time of analysis impacted the overall total.
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Table 19.

Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	County	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	0	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	1	1,639
Other Assaults	6	8,782

Table 20.

Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Franklin	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	--	--	--
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Franklin	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	--	--	--
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Franklin	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	--	--	--
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%

*Franklin County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey



Table 21.
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see [Appendix for yearly data](#)

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	23	26	88.5%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	48	60	80.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	73	88	83.0%

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

- Despite not being in a county required to provide counsel under statute, access to counsel is similar to the state average, but still lower than ideal.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable. With a higher proportion of children <18 in poverty, perhaps offering scholarships.
 - Having a process for sealing records for youth on diversion with law enforcement and JCMS, as required by statute.
 - It is not clear why all first time offenders are not referred to diversion but perhaps this is due to the ineligible offenses.

Table 22.
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Franklin	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	60.0% -- 79.9%	73.5%

Neb. Rev. 43-272. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section [43-247](#), counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23.
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Franklin	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	4	352



Table 24.

Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Franklin					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	1	0	0	0	1
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	1	1	1	3
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25.

County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) ^o

	Franklin	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first time offenders to diversion	No	Yes: 27.3% No: 63.6% Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the time of the referral to diversion	Yes	Yes: 18.2% No: 70.5% Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are unsuccessful on diversion	Always	Always: 47.7% Sometimes: 47.7% Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete diversion more than once	No	Yes: 61.4% No: 34.1% Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a juvenile ineligible for diversion	Yes: Felonies and most Class 1 Misdemeanors	Yes: 86.4% No: 9.1% Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of intervention	Yes	Yes: 27.3% No: 61.4%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8% No: 65.9% Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; Depends on offense/charge and programs utilized	Yes: 86.4% No: 13.6% Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior to discharge	No	Yes: 47.7% No: 25.0% Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Yes; pursuant to juvenile court sealing process	Yes: 59.1% No: 22.7% Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 57.1%.
 - This is an increase from 2019's response rate.
- Mean score on Collective Impact is lower than in 2019 and lower than the state average in all areas
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It maybe that the low response rate affected the results of the community team diversity, but if not, it is beneficial to add diverse members to your team.
 - Good diversity on system points
- Almost half of the responders indicated they did not feel heard on the team.

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^P

	South Central Team		Nebraska	
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	56	28	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	10	16	221	345
Response rate	17.9%	57.1%	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^P

	South Central Team		Nebraska	
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean Score	
Common agenda	5.64	5.63	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	5.61	5.13	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	5.53	5.31	5.21	5.45
Continuous communication	5.89	5.25	5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	6.00	5.88	5.52	5.78

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support:** Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ⁹

Table 28.
 Community Planning Team Diversity ^P

	South Central Team		Nebraska	
	N = 16	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	2	12.5%	101	29.3%
Female	14	87.5%	229	66.4%
Missing	--	--	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30	4	25.0%	19	5.6%
30-39	1	6.3%	68	19.6%
40-49	5	31.3%	88	25.4%
50-59	3	18.8%	90	25.8%
60 and over	2	12.5%	44	13%
Missing	1	6.3%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	13	81.3%	230	66.7%
Black	1	6.3%	10	2.9%
Hispanic	--	--	13	3.8%
Native American	--	--	6	1.7%
Asian	--	--	1	0.3%
Other	--	--	2	0.6%
Provided town name	2	12.5%	63	18.3%
Missing	--	--	19	5.5%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Previous System Involvement				
Yes	3	18.8%	98	28.4%
No	12	75.0%	242	70.1%
Missing	1	6.3%	5	1.4%
System Point *				
Law enforcement	1	5.0%	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	2	10.0%	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	2	10.0%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	1	5.0%	10	2.3%
Diversion	2	10.0%	55	12.6%
Probation	1	5.0%	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/ guardian ad litem	--	--	8	1.8%
DHHS or Child Welfare	1	5.0%	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	4	20.0%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention	--	--	8	1.8%
Community based program	5	25.0%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government	--	--	6	1.4%
Restorative practices	1	5.0%	6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	--	--	3	0.7%
Other	--	--	16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	9	56.3%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	7	43.8%	75	21.7%

Adams $n = 13$, Clay $n = 4$, Fillmore $n = 3$, Franklin $n = 2$, Harlan $n = 2$, Kearney $n = 1$, Nuckolls $n = 3$, Phelps $n = 2$, Webster $n = 3$ (five people named more than one county they represent).

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^b **School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch:** Prepared by
Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education

^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020

^d **Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use:** Bureau of
Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey:
<https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data>

^e **Referral to and utilization of services:** Department of Health and Human Services

^f **Adult and juvenile arrests:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

^g Diversion programs

^h **Domestic violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:
https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County_0.pdf

ⁱ Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

^k **Distance to detention facility:** Google Maps

^l **Racial and ethnic disparities:** Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided
by:



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:

<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browseables.aspx>

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System

Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^m **Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing:** Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.

**Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year**

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	3	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	22	23	95.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	--	--	--
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	25	26	96.2%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	6	7	85.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	9	13	69.2%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	16	21	76.2%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	4	4	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	10	10	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	--	--	--
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	14	14	100.0%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	7	7	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	6	6	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	14	14	100.0%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	5	60.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	1	8	12.5%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	--	--	--
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	4	13	30.8%