IL

EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Gage County

Table of Contents	
Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) *	4
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) $^{ m b}$	5
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^\circ$	6
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) $^{ m d}$	6
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	7
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	8
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)	9
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportatio year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	on (5- 10
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	15
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	17
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	18
References and Resources	20
Appendix: RED Descriptives	22





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Youth Level

- Hispanic/Latino youth and youth of multiple races have disproportionately higher chronic absenteeism as compared to the school membership population (and compared to the state averages) – with the disproportionality higher for Hispanic youth. The trend for both has been consistent over the past 5 school years. Black youth have also had disproportionately higher chronic absenteeism in the past 2 years (for which we have data).
- A higher rate of youth have IDEA and 504 plans as compared to the state. Graduation rates are higher than the state average, and the county is ranked 75 of 93.
- 8th graders report mental health issues, with greater loss of sleep from worry and depression than the state average. 10th graders report greater levels of substance use than the state average, including alcohol use, binge drinking and marijuana use. 10th graders also report higher loss of sleep from worry. 12th graders report greater tobacco use than the state average.
- A high proportion of 10th graders report gang involvement.
- Overall crime has decreased very slightly from 2018 to 2019, which is the same trend for juvenile crime. Specifically, there has been a decrease in other assaults, drug possession, and runaway; but an increase in vandalism and liquor laws.
- Risk assessment domains for youth assessed for diversion suggest Family/Parenting, Education/Employment, and Attitudes/Orientation are the areas with highest need.
- Approximately 26% of cases are referred to diversion and 37% are on probation it is not clear where the remaining cases are (dropped/dismissed or informally diverted) – more juveniles should be getting diverted than end up on probation.
- White youth are over-represented in diversion and Hispanic youth are under-represented in diversion referrals as compared to the county and school population (unfortunately, we do not have law enforcement data by race/ethnicity to see if youth are being referred to these system point at a rate that is proportional to law enforcement stops of citations/referrals).
- Once referred to diversion, youth of all races/ethnicities are enrolling in diversion and successfully completing diversion at the rate they represent the population.
- Hispanic youth are over-represented at probation intake and being on probation. Black youth are slightly over-represented in diversion and filed on with multiple charges.
- Missing data on race/ethnicity is very problematic at the courts.
- Refer to the yearly RED tables in the Appendix to see if RED patterns have improved, declined, or stayed the same from 2015 to 2019.

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Gage	1,250	88.6%	5.7%	0.4%	0.0%	1.4%	3.8%

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Gage	1,127	94.9%	4.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.7%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2.

School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Gage	3,285	3.99%	0.73%	0.40%	0.21%	0.03%	92.63%	2.01%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Gage	3,208	4.11%	0.72%	0.44%	0.56%	0.00%	92.21%	1.96%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Gage	3,250	4.40%	0.68%	0.43%	0.68%	0.00%	91.63%	2.18%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Gage	3,249	4.43%	0.62%	0.43%	1.05%	0.00%	91.10%	2.37%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Gage	3,241	5.62%	0.71%	0.49%	0.96%	0.00%	89.54%	2.68%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Gage	346	<mark>10.69%</mark>	*	*	*	*	85.26%	<mark>4.05%</mark>
2015	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Gage	335	<mark>12.84%</mark>	*	*	*	*	87.16%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Gage	411	<mark>6.57%</mark>	*	*	*	*	90.51%	<mark>2.92%</mark>
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Gage	466	<mark>9.87%</mark>	*	*	<mark>3.86%</mark>	*	83.26%	<mark>3.00%</mark>
2018	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Gage	475	<mark>10.74%</mark>	*	*	<mark>2.53%</mark>	*	86.74%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4.

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic	Total	IDEA	504	Limited English	Free/Reduced
	Area	Count		Plan	Proficiency	Lunch
2014-	Gage	3,285	<mark>18.23%</mark>	<mark>1.61%</mark>	0.30%	43.71%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Gage	3,208	<mark>19.14%</mark>	<mark>2.15%</mark>	*	41.52%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	Gage	3,250	<mark>19.69%</mark>	<mark>2.22%</mark>	*	44.06%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	Gage	3,249	<mark>22.53%</mark>	<mark>2.55%</mark>	*	45.15%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Gage	3,241	<mark>21.41%</mark>	1.05%	0.68%	46.13%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 5.

Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^\circ$

County	Total in Las	st 5 Years	Yearly Av	verages	Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students	Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Gage	1,124	1,243	56.2	62.2	<mark>90.4%</mark>	<mark>75</mark>

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6.

Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Gage	Loss of sleep from worry	<mark>26.1%</mark>	<mark>23.4%</mark>	19.8%
Nebraska		<mark>18.0%</mark>	<mark>20.6%</mark>	21.6%
Gage	Depressed	<mark>35.2%</mark>	29.9%	32.9%
Nebraska		<mark>31.1%</mark>	34.8%	35.3%
Gage	Considered/Attempted suicide	17.8%	16.2%	7.3%
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Gage	Current alcohol	8.9%	<mark>23.4%</mark>	33.3%
Nebraska		9.8%	<mark>20.1%</mark>	34.2%
Gage	Current binge drinking	1.7%	<mark>11.0%</mark>	16.0%
Nebraska		1.3%	<mark>6.2%</mark>	15.0%
Gage	Current marijuana	2.2%	<mark>11.0%</mark>	7.4%
Nebraska		3.0%	<mark>7.3%</mark>	13.9%
Gage	Current tobacco	4.4%	9.7%	<mark>18.5%</mark>
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	<mark>15.3%</mark>
Gage	Current vaping	8.9%	24.0%	30.9%
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Gage	Hopeful for future (past week)	68.9%	74.7%	82.7%
Nebraska		72.1%	74.7%	78.4%

EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7. Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8. Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis^e

Table 9. Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10. Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11.

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Gage	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	3.9%	<mark>11.8%</mark>	3.7%
Nebraska		3.8%	<mark>4.4%</mark>	3.8%

Table 12.

Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages	Under 18			
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	
Jurisdiction by Geography	GAGE COUNTY						
Arrest Offense							
Total	684	663	-3.07	95	94	-1.05	
Rape Total	2	2	0.00	1	-	-100.00	
Robbery	-	1	-	-	-	-	
Aggravated Assault Total	10	15	50.00	-	2	-	
Burglary Total	7	4	-42.86	3	1	-66.67	
Larceny-Theft Total	61	48	-21.31	9	11	22.22	
Motor Vehicle Theft Total		4	-	-	-	-	
Other Assaults	74	54	-27.03	<mark>14</mark>	<mark>8</mark>	<mark>-42.86</mark>	
Arson	1	-	-100.00	-	-	-	
Fraud	3	1	-66.67	-	-	-	
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	1	2	100.00		0	-	



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Vandalism	24	31	29.17	<mark>2</mark>	<mark>9</mark>	<mark>350.00</mark>
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	8	14	75.00	0		-
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	2	1	-50.00	1	1	0.00
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	8	18	125.00	0	1	-
Drug Violations - Possession	134	129	-3.73	<mark>24</mark>	<mark>8</mark>	<mark>-66.67</mark>
NIBRS Unable to Classify	8	15	87.50	2	1	-50.00
Offenses Against Family and Children	14	14	0.00	1	1	0.00
Driving Under the Influence	93	95	2.15	1	2	100.00
Liquor Laws	112	93	-16.96	<mark>15</mark>	<mark>27</mark>	<mark>80.00</mark>
Disorderly Conduct	13	15	15.38	1	3	200.00
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	97	100	3.09	9	12	33.33
Runaways	12	7	-41.67	<mark>12</mark>	<mark>7</mark>	<mark>-41.67</mark>

Table 13.

Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

		Gage		All NYS Counties			
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2	
Family Circumstance/Parenting	30.0%	27.3%	<mark>42.7%</mark>	60.1%	26.7%	13.1%	
Education/Employment	38.2%	45.5%	<mark>16.4%</mark>	43.0%	44.0%	13.1%	
Peer Relationships	43.6%	<mark>52.7%</mark>	3.6%	44.7%	46.6%	8.6%	
Substance Use	40.9%	<mark>49.1%</mark>	10.0%	61.4%	30.3%	8.3%	
Leisure/Recreation	83.6%	14.5%	1.8%	50.6%	33.0%	16.5%	
Personality/Behavior	70.9%	19.1%	10.0%	50.1%	39.4%	10.4%	
Attitudes/Orientation	44.5%	35.5%	<mark>20.0%</mark>	61.3%	33.7%	5.0%	
Mean Score	<i>M</i> = 5.56, <i>SD</i> = 3.40, 0-16 <i>M</i> = 5.64, <i>SD</i> = 3.65, 0-17						

Gage County n = 110; Statewide n = 1512

EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 14.

Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)¹

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

See Appendix for yearly data

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	531*							
Youth referred to diversion	138	0%	0%	<mark>1.40%</mark>	0.70%	1.40%	0%	<mark>96.40%</mark>
Youth enrolled in diversion	136	0%	0%	<mark>1.50%</mark>	0.70%	1.50%	0%	<mark>96.30%</mark>
Successful completion diversion	99	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	0%	97%
Youth with multiple charges	41	0%	0%	<mark>2.40%</mark>	4.90%	0%	90.20%	2.40%
Filed on in adult court	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	60%	40%
RAI Override: More Severe	17	0%	0%	<mark>11.80%</mark>	<mark>5.90%</mark>	0%	0%	82.40%
RAI Override: Less Severe	6	0%	0%	16.70%	0%	0%	0%	83.30%
Probation intake	118	0%	0.80%	<mark>6.80%</mark>	<mark>5.90%</mark>	2.50%	0%	83.90%
Successful probation	180	0.60%	0.60%	<mark>4.40%</mark>	<mark>7.80%</mark>	0%	0%	86.70%
Revocation of probation	76	0%	0%	0%	6.60%	0%	0%	93.40%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

*Wymore PD did not report to NCC 2015 - 2018

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Family Level

- Poverty does not appear to be an issue in this county as compared to the state average, nor do
 any of the measures related to poverty or low SES.
- Both 8th and 10th graders report they are less likely to have a supportive adult at home who listens than the state average; and 8th graders report they are less likely to have a supportive adult at school who listens.

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Gage	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	12.4%	14.8%
-	Number of children 12-	310	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	19.2%	28.9%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>20.2%</mark>	<mark>31.3%</mark>
	County Rank	55	-
	Age 25+ with some	22.3%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County Rank	68	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	89.7%	91.1%
	County Rank	72	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	98.7%	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County Rank	42	-
	% under 18 with an	96.1%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County Rank	14	-
	% under 18 with	96.1%	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	12	-





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Housing	Owner-occupied households	6,277	498,567
	Total households	9,160	754,063
	Owner %	68.5%	66.1%
	Renters	2,883	255,496
	Renter %	31.5%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	414	40,465
	Total households	9,160	754,063
	No vehicle %	4.5%	5.4%

Table 16.

Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Gage	Adult at home who listens	<mark>77.4%</mark>	<mark>79.7%</mark>	87.5%
Nebraska		<mark>87.3%</mark>	<mark>85.0%</mark>	85.6%
Gage	Adult at school who listens	<mark>77.8%</mark>	91.0%	86.6%
Nebraska		<mark>85.2%</mark>	85.0%	87.4%

Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Gage	9	5	26	18
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reportsⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Gage	359	40%	14%	74%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Community Level

- There does not appear to be an issue with violence in the county, but there is a greater number of other assaults as compared to other types of violence.
- 10th graders report their community does not find marijuana or cigarettes to be wrong or very wrong, as compared to the state average.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record
 requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be
 sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully
 complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or
 other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved
 because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older
 cases.
- The county has done a great job sealing cases that are filed in adult court at 100%.
- There are higher levels of missing data at the court level. Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis.

Table 19.

Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Gage	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	2	264
Robbery	1	367
Aggravated Assault	15	1,639
Other Assaults	54	8,782

Table 20.

Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Gage	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	92.7%	<mark>86.4%</mark>	89.9%
Nebraska		94.4%	<mark>89.8%</mark>	85.2%
Gage	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	88.7%	78.9%	69.2%
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Gage	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	90.9%	<mark>84.4%</mark>	78.5%
Nebraska		92.9%	<mark>89.0%</mark>	78.7%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 21. Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	326	449	<mark>72.6%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	364	673	<mark>54.1%</mark>
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	21	21	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	713	1145	62.3%

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not required under statute to provide an attorney when a youth is filed on in court, and the rate of providing access to counsel at the time of filing is quite low compared to the state average and other counties in the state.
- Curfew filings do not appear to be an issue in the county.
- Truancy court filings peaked in 2017 but appear to have decreased since that time.
- The diversion program may consider the following:
 - o exploring the use of warning letters for low risk youth
 - ensure fees are similar to court costs (even with the sliding scale)
 - strengthening the process for sealing records in JCMS and with law enforcement (not needed at court-level because it is pre-file). Under statute, youth who complete diversion should have their records sealed automatically.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) n

	Gage	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	<mark>40.0% 59.9%</mark>	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 23.

Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019)^m

	Gage	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352

Table 24.

Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases $(2015 - 2019)^{m}$

	Gage					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	<mark>5</mark>	<mark>27</mark>	<mark>23</mark>	<mark>16</mark>	<mark>16</mark>	87
3B - Uncontrollable	1	2	3	2	0	8
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0

		Nebraska								
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total				
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7				
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997				
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491				
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306				

Table 25.

County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °

	Gage	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	No	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Sometimes	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Yes	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Charges/offenses that make a	Yes; DUI, felony offenses	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion	(sometimes), multiple charges in a	No: 9.1%
	short amount of time	Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	Not sure	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$200 with a sliding scale	Yes: 86.4%
		No: 13.6%
		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	Yes; individualized	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge		No: 25.0%
		Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	No; it is not automatic and is per	Yes: 59.1%
-	parent's request	No: 22.7%
		Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Community Team Level

- The community team project lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 27%; however, the response rate improved from 2019 to 2020.
- All measures of collective impact increased from 2019 to 2020. Shared Measurement and Continuous Communication are the domains of collective impact where the team has rated the lowest for both years.
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add additional diverse members to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation).
- There is good representation of system points by team members and persons formerly involved in the system.
- A higher proportion of team members report not feeling heard as compared to other teams statewide. This may mean other forms of giving voice should be explored than current practices.

Table 26.

Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	Ga	age	Nebraska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020	
Number of surveys sent	40	48	1407	780	
Number of completed surveys	4	13	221	345	
Response rate	<mark>10.0%</mark>	<mark>27.1%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%	

Table 27. Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p

	Gage		Nebra	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean	Score
Common agenda	4.93	<mark>6.08</mark>	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	4.86	<mark>5.69</mark>	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	4.28	<mark>5.23</mark>	5.21	5.45
Continuous communication	5.03	<mark>5.23</mark>	5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	5.33	<mark>6.00</mark>	5.52	5.78

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- Backbone support: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.				
Community	/ Planning	Team	Diversity	/ ^p

	Gag	ge	Neb	raska
	N = 13	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	5	38.5%	101	29.3%
Female	8	61.5%	229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30	1	7.7%	19	5.6%
30-39	1	7.7%	68	19.6%
40-49	4	30.8%	88	25.4%
50-59	4	30.8%	90	25.8%
60 and over	2	15.4%	44	13%
Missing	1	7.7%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	6	46.2%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name	7	53.8%	63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Previous System Involvement				
Yes	7	53.8%	98	28.4%
No	6	46.2%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point *				
Law enforcement	2	11.8%	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	2	11.8%	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	3	17.6%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	1	5.9%	10	2.3%
Diversion	3	17.6%	55	12.6%
Probation	2	11.8%	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare			13	3.0%
Treatment provider	1	5.9%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program	1	5.9%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices	1	5.9%	6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Other	1	5.9%	16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	9	69.2%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	<mark>4</mark>	30.8%	75	21.7%

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

References and Resources

^a Population data: Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a Youth employment: Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a Poverty/SES: Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^a Technology in household: Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a Home owner/transportation: Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a Education attainment: Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education

[°] Graduation rates: Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020

^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data

^e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services

^f Adult and iuvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission. Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx ^g Diversion programs

^h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2 0by%20County 0.pdf ¹Child abuse and neglect

^jCommunity violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx ^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps

Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ Access to Counsel: Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: <u>www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount</u>. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: RED Descriptives

2015

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	122*							
Youth referred to diversion	47	0%	0%	2.10%	0%	2.10%	0%	95.70%
Youth enrolled in diversion	47	0%	0%	2.10%	0%	2.10%	0%	95.70%
Successful completion diversion	37	0%	0%	2.70%	0%	2.70%	0%	94.60%
Youth with multiple charges	4	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	75%	0%
Filed on in adult court	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
RAI Override: More Severe	2	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	26	0%	3.80%	3.80%	7.70%	3.80%	0%	80.80%
Successful probation	47	2.10%	0%	2.10%	8.50%	0%	0%	87.20%
Revocation of probation	16	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

* Wymore PD did not report to NCC 2015 - 2018





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2016

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	120*							
Youth referred to diversion	19	0%	0%	5.30%	0%	0%	0%	94.70%
Youth enrolled in diversion	19	0%	0%	5.30%	0%	0%	0%	94.70%
Successful completion diversion	12	0%	0%	8.30%	0%	0%	0%	91.70%
Youth with multiple charges	10	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	90%	0%
Filed on in adult court	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
RAI Override: More Severe	4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	32	0%	0%	3.10%	3.10%	0%	0%	93.80%
Successful probation	40	0%	2.50%	2.50%	10%	0%	0%	85%
Revocation of probation	14	0%	0%	0%	21.40%	0%	0%	78.60%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

* Wymore PD did not report to NCC 2015 – 2018





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2017

System Point	Ν	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	100*							
Youth referred to diversion	26	0%	0%	0%	0%	3.80%	0%	96.20%
Youth enrolled in diversion	26	0%	0%	0%	0%	3.80%	0%	96.20%
Successful completion diversion	20	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	10	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Filed on in adult court	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
RAI Override: More Severe	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	20	0%	0%	0%	15%	0%	0%	85%
Successful probation	31	0%	0%	0%	6.50%	0%	0%	93.50%
Revocation of probation	6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody					1			
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

* Wymore PD did not report to NCC 2015 - 2018





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	95*							
Youth referred to diversion	16	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth enrolled in diversion	15	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful completion diversion	11	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Filed on in adult court	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
RAI Override: More Severe	7	0%	0%	28.60%	0%	0%	0%	71.40%
RAI Override: Less Severe	2	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%
Probation intake	25	0%	0%	20%	0%	8%	0%	72%
Successful probation	38	0%	0%	13.20%	5.30%	0%	0%	81.60%
Revocation of probation	21	0%	0%	0%	9.50%	0%	0%	90.50%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

* Wymore PD did not report to NCC 2015 - 2018





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2019

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	94*							
Youth referred to diversion	30	0%	0%	0%	3.30%	0%	0%	96.70%
Youth enrolled in diversion	29	0%	0%	0%	3.40%	0%	0%	96.60%
Successful completion diversion	19	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	10	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%	80%	10%
Filed on in adult court	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: More Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	15	0%	0%	6.70%	6.70%	0%	0%	86.70%
Successful probation	24	0%	0%	4.20%	8.30%	0%	0%	87.50%
Revocation of probation	19	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

*Wymore PD did not report to NCC 2015 - 2018



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	93	105	88.6%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	65	116	56.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	160	223	71.7%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	71	86	82.6%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	101	150	67.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	3	3	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	177	241	73.4%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	59	89	66.3%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	84	146	57.5%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	7	7	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	150	242	62.0%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	51	85	60.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	72	154	46.8%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	5	5	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	128	244	52.5%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	52	84	61.9%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	42	107	39.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	4	4	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	98	195	50.3%