



Hamilton County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018)	a4
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) b	5
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b	5
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	6
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d	7
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	8
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ¹	8
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportat year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	•
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) d	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means h	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) n	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores p	17
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	18
References and Resources	20



Appendix: Sealed Court Records by	/ Year	22
-----------------------------------	--------	----



Youth Level

- The number of total youth with chronic absenteeism has increased in past year.
- While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because
 the frequency was too low to report, Hispanic, Native American, and Black youth are overrepresented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- In 2018-2019, Hamilton County had overrepresentation in chronic absenteeism regarding Hispanic youth as compared to the school membership data.
- There are more youth on IDEA plans in Hamilton County compared to state averages.
- Hamilton County has a 97.1% graduation rate over the last 5 years.
- 10th graders report loss of sleep from worry and considering/attempting suicide at higher rates in Hamilton County compared to the state.
- 10th graders report current alcohol use at higher rates in Hamilton County compared to the state.
- 12th graders report binge drinking at higher rates in Hamilton County compared to the state.
- 10th and 12th graders report higher rates of vaping in Hamilton County compared to the state.
 - There are a lot of 0% reporting in the substance abuse, which is lower than the state in these areas
- Arrests for all age groups decreased in Hamilton County between 2018 and 2019, especially with drug possession.
 - Increases in larceny-theft, other assaults, and weapons possession increased (however, with such small frequencies, this increase should be taken with caution).
- "Arrests" for juveniles have gone up slightly, especially all other offenses, but again, with such small frequencies this should be taken with caution.
- NYS domains with the highest scores are Peer Relationships, Substance Use, Leisure/Recreation, and Personality/Behavior. There should be programs in the community to address these.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture
 of RED. Compared to the Census and school data, Native American youth are overrepresented
 in probation RAI underrides, probation intake, and probation revocation; Black youth are overrepresented in probation RAI overrides, probation intakes, and successful probation; Hispanic
 youth are overrepresented at probation RAI overrides, RAI underrides, probation intakes, and
 successful probation.
 - o Race/ethnicity is missing at 22.2% for youth with multiple charges.
- Youth are being referred to diversion and enrolling and successfully completing diversion at the same rate.





Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) a

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Hamilton	575	92.0%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.9%

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Hamilton	569	86.3%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) b

Year	Geographic	Total	Hispanic	Asian	American	Black or	Native	White	Two
	Area	Count			Indian or	African	Hawaiian		or
					Alaska	American	or Other		More
					Native		Pacific		Races
							islander		
2014-	Hamilton	1,577	4.50%	0.19%	0.25%	0.89%	0.06%	92.64%	1.46%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Hamilton	1,629	5.28%	0.18%	0.18%	0.98%	0.18%	91.96%	1.23%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Hamilton	1,610	5.65%	0.25%	0.31%	0.87%	0.19%	90.81%	1.93%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Hamilton	1,593	5.34%	0.31%	0.19%	0.88%	0.13%	91.71%	1.44%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Hamilton	1,655	5.98%	0.30%	0.30%	0.79%	0.06%	90.88%	1.69%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%





Table 3. Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Hamilton	72	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Hamilton	80	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Hamilton	57	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Hamilton	70	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Hamilton	<mark>110</mark>	<mark>10.91%</mark>	*	*	*	*	89.09%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	<mark>27.64%</mark>	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Hamilton	1,577	<mark>15.09%</mark>	*	*	33.10%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Hamilton	1,629	13.38%	*	*	34.68%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	14.29%	0.75%	*	30.62%
2016-	Hamilton	1,610	<mark>14.29%</mark>	0.75%	*	30.62%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	Hamilton	1,593	<mark>16.20%</mark>	*	*	31.89%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	<mark>15.87%</mark>	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Hamilton	1,655	<mark>17.89%</mark>	*	0.60%	33.11%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	<mark>16.13%</mark>	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °

County	Total in Las	st 5 Years	Yearly Av	Graduation		
	Graduates	Students	Graduates Students		Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Hamilton	641	660	42.7	44.0	<mark>97.1%</mark>	15

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Hamilton	Loss of sleep from worry	10.4%	<mark>38.5%</mark>	20.0%
Nebraska		18.0%	<mark>20.6%</mark>	21.6%
Hamilton	Depressed	21.9%	23.1%	26.7%
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Hamilton	Considered/Attempted suicide	5.3%	<mark>23.1%</mark>	6.7%
Nebraska		22.9%	<mark>18.2%</mark>	16.2%
Hamilton	Current alcohol	0.0%	<mark>30.8%</mark>	33.3%
Nebraska		9.8%	<mark>20.1%</mark>	34.2%
Hamilton	Current binge drinking	0.0%	0.0%	<mark>18.8%</mark>
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	<mark>15.0%</mark>
Hamilton	Current marijuana	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Hamilton	Current tobacco	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Hamilton	Current vaping	2.0%	<mark>30.8%</mark>	<mark>37.5%</mark>
Nebraska		10.4%	<mark>24.7%</mark>	<mark>37.3%</mark>
Hamilton	Hopeful for future (past week)	86.6%	76.9%	87.5%
Nebraska		72.1%	74.7%	78.4%

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e





Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Hamilton	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

Table 12. Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages		Und	der 18
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography			HAMILTO	N COUN	ITY	
Arrest Offense						
Total	<mark>377</mark>	<mark>298</mark>	-20.95	5	12	140.00
Rape Total	1	1	0.00	-	-	-
Aggravated Assault Total	-	3	-	-	-	-
Burglary Total	3	3	0.00	1	1	0.00
Larceny-Theft Total	1	<mark>7</mark>	600.00	-	0	-
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	-	1	-	-	-	-
Other Assaults	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>7</mark>	133.33	-	1	-
Forgery and Counterfeiting	1	1	0.00	0	-	-
Fraud	3	1	-66.67	0	0	-
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	5	3	-40.00	0	1	-
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	3	<mark>6</mark>	100.00	0	0	1
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	-	2	-	-	-	-
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	18	15	-16.67	0	0	-





Drug Violations - Possession	<mark>250</mark>	<mark>154</mark>	-38.40	3	3	0.00
Offenses Against Family and Children	-	1	-	-	1	-
Driving Under the Influence	30	34	13.33	1	0	-100.00
Liquor Laws	18	17	-5.56	0	0	-
Disorderly Conduct		3	-	-	-	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	41	39	-4.88	0	5	-

Table 13a. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	Hamilton			All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting	55.6%	44.4%	0.0%	60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment	77.8%	22.2%	0.0%	43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships	11.1%	66.7%	22.2%	44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use	44.4%	44.4%	11.1%	61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation	44.4%	55.6%	0.0%	50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior	55.6%	44.4%	0.0%	50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M = 5.2	22, SD = 3	.23, 1-10	M = 5.6	4, SD = 3.	65, 0-17

Hamilton County n = 9; Statewide n = 1512

Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) |

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued	20							
citation/referral Youth referred to diversion	28 16	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%





Youth enrolled in diversion	16	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful completion diversion	14	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	9	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	<mark>22.20%</mark>	77.80%
Filed on in adult court								
RAI Override: More Severe	10	0%	0%	<mark>10%</mark>	20%	10%	0%	60%
RAI Override: Less Severe	7	<mark>28.60%</mark>	0%	0%	<mark>14.30%</mark>	0%	0%	57.10%
Probation intake	32	<mark>12.50%</mark>	0%	3.10%	<mark>18.80%</mark>	3.10%	0%	62.50%
Successful probation	133	0.80%	0%	2.30%	<mark>14.30%</mark>	0.80%	0%	82%
Revocation of probation	38	<mark>5.30%</mark>	0%	0%	5.30%	<mark>2.60%</mark>	0%	86.80%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								



Family Level

- Hamilton County has lower rates of youth in poverty compared to the state.
- Number of adults with bachelor's degrees is lower than the state average; it may be possible that
 residents who go to college outside of Hamilton County find employment where they go to school
 and do not return to Hamilton County.
- Youth in Hamilton County have access to computers and internet at home at higher rates than the state.
- 12th graders report not feeling as supported by adults at home compared to the state, but 100% of the 12th graders report feeling supported by adults in the school.
- 10th graders report feeling less supported by adults in school comparted to the state.

Table 15.
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Hamilton	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	6.8%	14.8%
•	Number of children 12-	110	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	13.1%	28.9%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>26.0%</mark>	31.3%
	County rank	16	-
	Age 25+ with some	26.6%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County rank	24	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	94.7%	91.1%
	County Rank	18	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	97.5%	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County rank	60	-
	% under 18 with an	92.1%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County rank	40	-





	% under 18 with broadband internet access at home	91.6%	90.8%
	County Rank	41	-
Housing	Owner-occupied households	2,990	498,567
	Total households	3,699	754,063
	Owner %	80.8%	66.1%
	Renters	709	255,496
	Renter %	19.2%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	87	40,465
	Total households	3,699	754,063
	No vehicle %	2.4%	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Hamilton	Adult at home who listens	87.8%	92.3%	<mark>75.0%</mark>
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	<mark>85.6%</mark>
Hamilton	Adult at school who listens	89.8%	<mark>84.6%</mark>	100.0%
Nebraska		85.2%	<mark>85.0%</mark>	87.4%

Table 17.
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Hamilton	2	2	5	5
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Hamilton	64	28%	11%	56%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%

Community Level

- With regard to how youth perceive how their community feels about substance use, 10th graders are reporting lower than state averages for alcohol and 12th graders report lower than the state average for cigarettes.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record
 requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be
 sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully
 complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or
 other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved
 because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older
 cases.
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Table 19. Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Hamilton	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	1	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	3	1,639
Other Assaults	7	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Hamilton	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	99.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Hamilton	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	98.0%	<mark>76.9%</mark>	68.8%
Nebraska		89.1%	<mark>80.4%</mark>	68.7%
Hamilton	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	94.9%	100.0%	<mark>75.0%</mark>
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	<mark>78.7%</mark>





Table 21.
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	40	57	<mark>70.2%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		-	
Filed in Juv. Court	376	620	60.6%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	10	11	90.9%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	426	688	61.9%

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

- Hamilton County is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; notably, access to counsel is very low in this community.
- Curfew filings were relatively high in the previous years but have decreased in recent years. Curfew violations, if not diverted, can net-widen juveniles into the juvenile justice system.
- There are few curfew and 3A, 3B, and 3C filings in court so the community is diverting
 appropriately. There have been some absenteeism filings in recent years, but the number is
 still low.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - o Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable.
 - If drug testing is needed, it should only be used on cases where the youth demonstrates a substance use issue.
 - It is not clear why all first-time offenders are not referred to diversion but perhaps this is due to the ineligible offenses.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Hamilton	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	<mark>20.0% 39.9%</mark>	73.5%

Neb. Rev. 43-272. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section <u>43-247</u>, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23.

Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m





	Hamilton	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	<mark>13</mark>	352

2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
0	0	9	3	1	13

Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) $^{\rm m}$

		Hamilton					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total	
3A - Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	<mark>5</mark>	<mark>4</mark>	<mark>6</mark>	15	
3B - Uncontrollable	1	0	1	0	1	3	
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	1	0	0	0	1	

		Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total	
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7	
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997	
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491	
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306	

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$

	Hamilton	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	No	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Sometimes	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Yes	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%





Yes; felonies, DUI, sexual assault, assault, other violent or dangerous offenses.	Yes: 86.4% No: 9.1% Not sure: 4.5%
offenses.	
	Not sure: 4.5%
No	
	Yes: 27.3%
	No: 61.4%
	Not sure: 11.4%
Yes	Yes: 31.8%
	No: 65.9%
	Not sure: 2.3%
Yes; depends on the offense and	Yes: 86.4%
if online courses are required	No: 13.6%
·	Not sure: 0.0%
Yes; we assign additional	Yes: 47.7%
consequences if they are not	No: 25.0%
compliant. If they fail to complete	Not sure: 27.3%
those or are further non-compliant, we then terminate the contract.	
Yes; All juveniles under the age of	Yes: 59.1%
18 at the time of offense get their	No: 22.7%
record sealed. We deal if on our end and then send a letter to the	Not sure: 18.2%
	Yes; depends on the offense and if online courses are required Yes; we assign additional consequences if they are not compliant. If they fail to complete those or are further non-compliant, we then terminate the contract. Yes; All juveniles under the age of 18 at the time of offense get their record sealed. We deal if on our

^{*}responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)

Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 20.0% (2 responses).
- The rates of collective impact went up for all elements from the 2019 survey, however this may not be representative of the team with such a small number of responses.
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.)
- Although the low response rate may affect the results of community team diversity, there could be more representation from other system points not currently represented.

Table 26. Collective Impact Survey Response Rates P

	Central Nebraska		Nebr	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	9	10	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	5	2	221	345
Response rate	55.6%	<mark>20.0%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27. Collective Impact Survey Scores p

	Central Neb	raska	Nebraska					
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020				
	Mean Score		Mean Score		Mean Score		Mean	Score
Common agenda	4.55	6.00	5.29	5.69				
Mutually reinforcing	5.09	5.50	5.37	5.50				
Shared measurement	4.80	5.00	5.21	5.45				
Continuous communication	4.89	5.00	5.49	5.55				
Backbone agency	5.38	6.00	5.52	5.78				

The five elements of Collective Impact are:





- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- Mutually reinforcing activities: Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- Backbone support: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity P

	Central N	Central Nebraska		raska
	N = 2	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	1	50.0%	101	29.3%
Female	1	50.0%	229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39			68	19.6%
40-49	1	50.0%	88	25.4%
50-59	1	50.0%	90	25.8%
60 and over			44	13%
Missing			36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	2	100%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name			63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes			98	28.4%





No	2	100%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point *				
Law enforcement			34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	1	50.0%	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	1	50.0%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based			10	2.3%
Diversion			55	12.6%
Probation			31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare			13	3.0%
Treatment provider			40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program			109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	2	100%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard			75	21.7%

Merrick n = 1, Nance n = 1

^{*}note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

- ^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 g Diversion programs
- Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:
 https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2
 https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2
 https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2
 https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2
 <a href="https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebrask
- ^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
- ^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps
- ¹Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:





Nebraska Crime Commission. Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- ^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- ^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- P Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^q Collective Impact Elements: Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. Stanford Social Innovation Review.

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	7	8	87.5%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		-	
Filed in Juv. Court	91	119	76.5%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	4	4	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	102	131	77.9%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	10	15	66.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	67	92	72.8%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)		-	
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	77	107	72.0%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	12	13	92.3%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		-	
Filed in Juv. Court	90	162	55.6%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	103	176	58.5%





2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	5	14	35.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	62	149	41.6%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	68	164	41.5%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	6	7	85.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	66	98	67.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	4	5	80.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	76	110	69.1%