

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Johnson County

Table of Contents

I

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b	
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^\circ$	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	6
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	7
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ^I	8
Family Level	9
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	•
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	10
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h	10
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	10
Community Level	11
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	11
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	11
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	12
Policy, Legal and System Level	13
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) "	13
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) $^{ m m}$	14
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °	14
Community Team Level	16
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	16
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	16
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	17
References and Resources	19





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Youth Level

- Data demonstrates that Hispanic youth are over-represented in chronic absenteeism as compared to the school membership of Hispanic youth. While we could not get race/ethnicity data for some races/ethnicities, chronic absenteeism in this community because the frequency was too low to report, Native American and Black youth are over-represented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- IDEA plans and 504 plans are higher than the statewide averages
- 8th and 12th graders report feeling more depressed than the state comparison. 10th graders report greater suicidal ideation than the state average. 12th graders report more binge drinking than the state average. Both 8th and 10th graders report lower rates of feeling hopeful than the state average.
- 8th and 12th grades report higher gang involvement than the state comparison.
- With so few juvenile arrests, we cannot see a pattern in juvenile crime.
- We did not have risk and needs assessment data for diversion youth, but once the statewide tool is implemented, we will be able to see where the higher risk/needs are for the community.
- Law enforcement data would be very beneficial have for a clearer RED picture.
- Hispanic and Black youth are disproportionally referred to diversion (this may be proportional to LE arrests, but we do not have LE data for comparison). Once referred, they enroll and are successful at the same rate. Hispanic youth also disproportionately have a probation intake.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) *

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Johnson	201	94.0%	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Johnson 246 72.8%	26.4% 0.4%	0.0% 0.4	4% 0.0%
-------------------	------------	----------	---------

Table 2.

School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Johnson	765	15.03%	1.05%	0.00%	0.92%	0.00%	80.78%	2.22%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Johnson	758	16.49%	1.06%	0.00%	0.40%	0.13%	79.95%	1.98%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Johnson	725	16.97%	0.69%	0.00%	0.55%	0.00%	79.86%	1.93%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Johnson	736	16.44%	0.95%	0.00%	0.82%	0.00%	79.89%	1.90%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Johnson	742	16.17%	0.54%	0.00%	0.94%	0.00%	80.59%	1.75%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Johnson	55	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	<mark>24.54%</mark>	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Johnson	68	<mark>19.12%</mark>	*	*	*	*	80.88%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	<mark>25.73%</mark>	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Johnson	90	<mark>24.44%</mark>	*	*	*	*	75.56%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	<mark>26.90%</mark>	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Johnson	92	<mark>23.91%</mark>	*	*	*	*	76.09%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	<mark>26.81%</mark>	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Johnson	87	<mark>25.29%</mark>	*	*	*	*	74.71%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	<mark>27.64%</mark>	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 4.

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Johnson	765	<mark>19.22%</mark>	*	2.88%	48.37%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	<mark>13.66%</mark>	<mark>0.76%</mark>	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Johnson	758	<mark>18.73%</mark>	*	3.43%	42.08%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	<mark>13.64%</mark>	<mark>0.90%</mark>	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	Johnson	725	<mark>18.21%</mark>	*	4.83%	45.38%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	<mark>13.80%</mark>	<mark>0.93%</mark>	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	Johnson	736	<mark>19.84%</mark>	<mark>1.90%</mark>	4.08%	46.06%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	<mark>15.87%</mark>	<mark>0.88%</mark>	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Johnson	742	<mark>21.16%</mark>	<mark>1.75%</mark>	3.23%	44.34%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	<mark>16.13%</mark>	<mark>0.85%</mark>	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5.

Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °

County	Total in Las	st 5 Years	Yearly Averages		Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students	Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Johnson	264	293	26.4	29.3	90.1%	77

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6.

Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Johnson	Loss of sleep from worry	13.3%	21.9%	17.4%
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Johnson	Depressed	<mark>40.0%</mark>	31.3%	<mark>40.0%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>31.1%</mark>	34.8%	<mark>35.3%</mark>
Johnson	Considered/Attempted suicide	13.3%	<mark>21.2%</mark>	17.4%
Nebraska		22.9%	<mark>18.2%</mark>	16.2%
Johnson	Current alcohol	6.7%	9.1%	31.1%
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Johnson	Current binge drinking	0.0%	0.0%	<mark>21.7%</mark>
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	<mark>15.0%</mark>
Johnson	Current marijuana	0.0%	0.0%	8.7%
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Johnson	Current tobacco	0.0%	6.3%	10.9%
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Johnson	Current vaping	0.0%	12.5%	30.4%
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Johnson	Hopeful for future (past week)	<mark>46.7%</mark>	<mark>65.6%</mark>	71.7%
Nebraska		72.1%	<mark>74.7%</mark>	78.4%

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis®

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Johnson	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	<mark>6.7%</mark>	3.1%	<mark>6.5%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>3.8%</mark>	4.4%	<mark>3.8%</mark>

Table 12.

Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age	All Arrestee Ages			Under 18		
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Jurisdiction by Geography	JOHNSON COUNTY					
Arrest Offense						
Total	78	81	3.85	1	3	200.00
Rape Total	2	1	-50.00	-	-	-
Aggravated Assault Total	1	8	700.00	-	0	-
Burglary Total	2	2	0.00	1	-	-100.00
Larceny-Theft Total	1	1	0.00	-	-	-
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	-	2	-	-	-	-
Other Assaults	4	11	175.00	-	-	-
Arson	-	1	-	-	-	-
Forgery and Counterfeiting	-	1	-	-	-	-
Fraud	-	3	-	-	-	-
Embezzlement	-	1	-	-	-	-
Vandalism	-	1	-	-	-	-
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	3	6	100.00	-	1	-
Drug Violations - Possession	9	15	66.67	0	-	-
Offenses Against Family and Children	2	1	-50.00	0	-	-
Driving Under the Influence	16	14	-12.50	0	0	-
Liquor Laws	10	2	-80.00	0	1	-
Disorderly Conduct	2	4	100.00	-	0	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	26	7	-73.08	0	1	-

Table 13.

Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	Johnson			All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting				60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment				43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships				44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M	=, SD =	D =, $M = 5.64, SD = 3.65, 0-17$			

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 14.

Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)¹

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	4*							
Youth referred to diversion	28	0%	0%	<mark>3.60%</mark>	<mark>32.10%</mark>	0%	0%	64.30%
Youth enrolled in diversion	28	0%	0%	<mark>3.60%</mark>	<mark>32.10%</mark>	0%	0%	64.30%
Successful completion diversion	27	0%	0%	<mark>3.70%</mark>	<mark>29.60%</mark>	0%	0%	66.70%
Youth with multiple charges								
Filed on in adult court	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	7	0%	0%	0%	<mark>28.60%</mark>	0%	0%	71.40%
Successful probation	15	0%	0%	<mark>6.70%</mark>	<mark>13.30%</mark>	0%	0%	80%
Revocation of probation	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

*Johnson County sheriff only partially reported to the NCC in 2016

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Family Level

- Poverty/SES and access to resources does not appear to be an issue in this community
- 8th and 12th graders report not having a supportive adult at home, as compared to the state data.
- 8th graders report not having a supportive adult at school, as compared to the state data.

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Johnson	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	10.9%	14.8%
•	Number of children 12-	69	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	20.8%	28.9%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	16.0%	31.3%
	County rank	87	-
	Age 25+ with some	17.2%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County rank	91	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	86.2%	91.1%
	County Rank	87	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	98.8%	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County rank	40	-
	% under 18 with an	89.1%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County rank	60	-
	% under 18 with	89.1%	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	59	-





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Housing	Owner-occupied households	1,369	498,567
	Total households	1,820	754,063
	Owner %	75.2%	66.1%
	Renters	451	255,496
	Renter %	24.8%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	130	40,465
	Total households	1,820	754,063
	No vehicle %	7.1%	5.4%

Table 16.

Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Johnson	Adult at home who listens	<mark>73.3%</mark>	84.8%	<mark>78.3%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>87.3%</mark>	85.0%	<mark>85.6%</mark>
Johnson	Adult at school who listens	<mark>80.0%</mark>	87.9%	87.0%
Nebraska		<mark>85.2%</mark>	85.0%	87.4%

Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Johnson	1	1	6	5
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Johnson	57	37%	14%	67%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Community Level

- 12th graders, but not 8th or 10th graders, report that they do not think their neighborhood finds marijuana, alcohol, or cigarettes to be wrong or very wrong.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record
 requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be
 sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully
 complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or
 other treatment).
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis.

Table 19.

Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Johnson	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	1	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	8	1,639
Other Assaults	11	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Johnson	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	93.3%	100.0%	<mark>71.7%</mark>
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	<mark>85.2%</mark>
Johnson	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	93.3%	90.6%	<mark>58.7%</mark>
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	<mark>68.7%</mark>
Johnson	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	93.3%	100.0%	<mark>63.0%</mark>
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	<mark>78.7%</mark>



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 21.

Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	14	16	87.5%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	48	62	77.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	8	8	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	70	86	81.4%

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Policy, Legal and System Level

- Despite not being in a county required to provide counsel under statute, access to counsel is similar to the state average, but still lower than ideal.
- There are few curfew and 3A, 3B, and 3C filings in court so the community is diverting appropriately.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - o Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
 - o Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable. With a higher proportion of children <18 in poverty, perhaps offering scholarships.
 - Having a process for sealing records for youth on diversion with law enforcement and JCMS, as required by statute.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) n

	Johnson	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	60.0% 79.9%	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23.

Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019)^m

	Johnson	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) $^{\rm m}$

	Johnson					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B - Uncontrollable	1	0	0	0	0	0
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0

		Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total	
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7	
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997	
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491	
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306	

Table 25.

County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °

	Johnson	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	Not sure	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Always	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion	-	Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Yes	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Not sure	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion		No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	Not sure	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$150	Yes: 86.4% No: 13.6% Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior to discharge	Not sure; students are given every chance to complete the program. last resort is to return them to the court system.	Yes: 47.7% No: 25.0% Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	No	Yes: 59.1% No: 22.7% Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues.
- The response rate for the collective impact survey decreased from 2019 to 2020, but some of the measures indicate an improvement in the measures of collective impact (with the exception of constant communication).
- The community team should be representative of the community; however, there should be representation from groups that are over-represented in the juvenile justice system (i.e., Black youth).
- Although the low response rate may affect the results of community team diversity, there could be more representation from other system points not currently represented.

Table 26. Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	Four County		Nebr	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	35	45	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	17	8	221	345
Response rate	48.6%	17.8%	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27. Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p

	Four Cou	inty	Nebra	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean Score	
Common agenda	5.61	5.86	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	5.41	5.71	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	5.35	5.71	5.21	5.45
Continuous communication	5.64	5.57	5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	5.27	5.86	5.52	5.78

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- Backbone support: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28. Community Planning Team Diversity ^p

	Four County		Neb	raska
	N = 8	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	5	62.5%	101	29.3%
Female	3	37.5%	229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30	1	12.5%	19	5.6%
30-39	2	25.0%	68	19.6%
40-49	1	12.5%	88	25.4%
50-59	2	25.0%	90	25.8%
60 and over	1	12.5%	44	13%
Missing	1	12.5%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	6	75.0%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name	1	12.5%	63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Previous System Involvement				
Yes	2	25.0%	98	28.4%
No	6	75.0%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point*				
Law enforcement			34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	3	33.3%	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	3	33.3%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based			10	2.3%
Diversion	1	11.1%	55	12.6%
Probation	1	11.1%	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare			13	3.0%
Treatment provider			40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program			109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Other	1	11.1%	16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	6	75.0%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	2	25.0%	75	21.7%

Johnson n = 4, Nemaha n = 4, Pawnee n = 4, Richardson n = 5. (Three people names more than one county they represent).

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

References and Resources

^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education

[°] **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020

^d **Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use:** Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: <u>https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data</u>

^e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services

^f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> ^g Diversion programs

 ^h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: <u>https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2</u>
 <u>0by%20County 0.pdf</u>
 ¹ Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> ^k **Distance to detention facility:** Google Maps

¹**Racial and ethnic disparities:** Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ Access to Counsel: Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: <u>www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount</u>. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

° **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	1	1	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	18	23	78.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	19	24	79.2%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	3	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	5	5	100%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	5	5	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	13	13	100%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	3	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	6	6	100%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	10	10	100%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	4	4	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	13	13	100%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	17	17	100%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	5	60.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	6	15	40.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	11	22	50.0%