



Jefferson County

Table of Contents

Youth Level 3

- Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a 3
- Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b 4
- Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b 5
- Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c 5
- Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d 6
- Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d 6
- Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f 7
- Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g 8
- Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ^l 8

Family Level 10

- Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a 10
- Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d 11
- Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) ^h 11
- Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) ⁱ 11

Community Level 12

- Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j 12
- Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d 12
- Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m 13

Policy, Legal and System Level 14

- Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ 14
- Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m 14
- Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m 15
- County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) ^o 15

Community Team Level 17

- Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p 17
- Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p 17
- Community Planning Team Diversity ^p 18

References and Resources 20

Appendix: Sealed Records by Year 22



UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA

JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE

EVIDENCE-BASED
NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021



Youth Level

- Table 1: Jefferson County has a larger Native American youth population as compared to the rest of the state (more males than females). Census data reflects that less than 1% of the population are other minority groups. Hispanic youth account for 1.5%.
- Table 2-3: The schools report much higher percent of the population as Hispanic (5-7%), and an even higher percent (8019%) have problems with chronic absenteeism, which indicate over representation. While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because the frequency was too low to report, Native American, and Black youth are over-represented statewide in chronic absenteeism. (Even when it is few cases.)
- Free and reduced lunch are slightly higher than the rest of the state.
- IDEA plans are quite a bit higher than the rest of the state,
- High school graduation rates are higher than the overall state average.
- Table 6: Jefferson County 10th and 12th graders reporter higher rate of loss of sleep from worry, depression. Slightly more 12th graders reported attempting or considering suicide as compared to the state average.
 - However, data also shows that 8th and 12th graders were more hopeful for the future in the past week.
- Jefferson County has lower rate of vaping than the rest of the state.
- Table 12: Overall juvenile crime was up slightly (by 35%) and although there are few cases, crimes like burglary and other assaults went up. All other offenses went down from 11 to 4. Reporting can be an issue, so please verify with local law enforcement.
- A relatively high percent of 12th graders reported gang involvement.
- Table 13: There are empty spaces under Risk Assessment Domains. This is because these are issued at diversion, and Jefferson County did not have recorded cases 2015-2019. However, a statewide tool is on the horizon. A validated tool can help design interventions (using the 8 domains).
- Table 14: It would be helpful for your planners to gather race and ethnicity data on the 183 youth who had citation (per NCC). Data illustrate that Black youth are more likely to be charged / or have multiple offenses. A disproportionate number of Hispanic youth are on probation, and a smaller percent are successful on probation. Black youth appear to be more likely to be successful on probation.

Table 1. Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area Name	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Jefferson	266	94.4%	1.5%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Females

Geographic Area Name	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%
Jefferson	441	84.6%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.6%

[Click here to go back to RED analysis](#)

Table 2.
 School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	Jefferson	1,547	5.88%	0.06%	0.65%	1.03%	0.13%	90.63%	1.62%
	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-2016	Jefferson	1,519	6.65%	0.13%	0.72%	0.99%	0.20%	89.40%	1.91%
	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-2017	Jefferson	1,531	7.05%	0.13%	0.65%	0.85%	0.26%	88.83%	2.22%
	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-2018	Jefferson	1,571	6.24%	0.32%	0.64%	0.95%	0.06%	89.24%	2.55%
	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-2019	Jefferson	1,552	6.51%	0.26%	0.45%	0.97%	0.06%	88.92%	2.84%
	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.
 Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	Jefferson	131	8.40%	*	*	*	*	91.60%	*
	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-2016	Jefferson	131	8.40%	*	*	*	*	91.60%	*
	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-2017	Jefferson	136	10.29%	*	*	*	*	89.71%	*
	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
	Jefferson	142	9.86%	*	*	*	*	90.14%	*



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2017-2018	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-2019	Jefferson	142	8.45%	*	*	*	*	91.55%	*
	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4.
 Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-2015	Jefferson	1,547	22.17%	*	0.84%	46.41%
	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-2016	Jefferson	1,519	20.74%	0.92%	1.65%	48.52%
	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-2017	Jefferson	1,531	20.90%	1.11%	2.48%	47.29%
	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-2018	Jefferson	1,571	22.15%	0.83%	1.97%	45.77%
	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-2019	Jefferson	1,552	22.23%	0.84%	1.74%	49.87%
	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5.
 Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c

County	Total in Last 5 Years		Yearly Averages		Graduation Rate	Rank
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students		
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	n/a
Jefferson	519	557	34.6	37.1	93.2%	59

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6.



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Jefferson	Loss of sleep from worry	15.8%	26.3%	31.1%
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Jefferson	Depressed	25.7%	39.4%	37.8%
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Jefferson	Considered/Attempted suicide	11.9%	13.1%	17.8%
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Jefferson	Current alcohol	9.0%	14.1%	27.0%
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Jefferson	Current binge drinking	1.0%	4.0%	13.3%
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Jefferson	Current marijuana	2.0%	6.1%	12.2%
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Jefferson	Current tobacco	4.0%	7.1%	12.2%
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Jefferson	Current vaping	6.9%	23.2%	34.4%
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Jefferson	Hopeful for future (past week)	82.2%	73.7%	78.9%
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

****JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data**

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis ^e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11.

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Jefferson	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	4.0%	1.0%	5.7%
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

Table 12.
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age	All Arrestee Ages			Under 18		
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography	JEFFERSON COUNTY					
Arrest Offense						
Total	92	242	163.04	23	31	34.78
Rape Total	1	-	-100.00	1	-	-100.00
Robbery Total	-	1	-	-	-	-
Aggravated Assault Total	1	3	200.00	-	1	-
Burglary Total	2	7	250.00	1	5	400.00
Larceny-Theft Total	6	9	50.00	1	1	0.00
Other Assaults	19	48	152.63	8	11	37.50
Forgery and Counterfeiting	-	1	-	-	-	-
Fraud	1		-100.00	-	-	-
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	-	3	-	-	-	-
Vandalism	1	6	500.00	-	-	-
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	2	7	250.00	0	0	-
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	-	2	-	-	2	-
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	1	1	0.00	-	-	-
Drug Violations - Possession	11	21	90.91	0	1	-
Offenses Against Family and Children	-	9	-	-	-	-
Driving Under the Influence	7	12	71.43	0	0	-
Liquor Laws	8	42	425.00	0	5	-
Disorderly Conduct		3	-	-	1	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	31	67	116.13	11	4	-63.64
Runaways	1	-	-100.00	1	-	-100.00



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 13.
 Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

Score	Jefferson			All NYS Counties		
	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting	--	--	--	60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment	--	--	--	43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships	--	--	--	44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use	--	--	--	61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation	--	--	--	50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior	--	--	--	50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation	--	--	--	61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	<i>M = --, SD = --, --</i>			<i>M = 5.64, SD = 3.65, 0-17</i>		

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed

Table 14.
 Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ^l

[Click here to see Census and School Population Data](#)

*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	183*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth enrolled in diversion	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Successful completion diversion	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth with multiple charges	19	0%	0%	5.30%	0%	0%	84.20%	10.50%
Filed on in adult court	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	66.70%	33.30%
RAI Override: More Severe	8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

RAI Override: Less Severe	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	53	0%	0%	1.90%	11.30%	0%	0%	86.80%
Successful probation	75	0%	0%	4.0%	9.30%	6.70%	0%	80%
Revocation of probation	32	0%	0%	0%	3.10%	3.10%	0%	93.80%
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

*Jefferson County sheriff did not report to NCC 2016-2017; Fairbury PD (closed) did not report to NCC 2018



Family Level

- Table 15: Jefferson County's has similar poverty rates as compared to the rest of the state (13.3% compared to 14.8%), and comparable levels (29%) of extreme poverty (185% below poverty level.)
 - Lower number of people have college education.
 - We looked at broadband internet access for purposes of COVID. Many youth have access to a computer (97.7%), but fewer have an internet subscription at home -86.5% (this may have gone up in 2020).
 - Higher percent of home owner rates compared to the entire state.
- Table 16: 12th graders report a higher rate of having an adult who listens at school, but lower at home.
- Table 17: Relatively few acts of violence in your families (over a year).
- Table 18: Of the 161 CPS complaints assessed, only 6% were found to be "substantiated," which is much lower than the rest of the state.

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Jefferson	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	13.3%	14.8%
	Number of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	156	43,814
	Percent of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	29.0%	28.9%
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	15.3%	31.3%
	County Rank	90	-
	Age 25+ with some college, no degree	24.2%	23.0%
	County Rank	47	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	91.2%	91.1%
	County Rank	61	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a computer at home	97.7%	96.9%
	County Rank	59	-
	% under 18 with an internet subscription at home	86.5%	91.0%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

	County Rank	72	-
	% under 18 with broadband internet access at home	86.5%	90.8%
	County Rank	71	-
Housing	Owner-occupied households	2,364	498,567
	Total households	3,283	754,063
	Owner %	72.0%	66.1%
	Renters	919	255,496
	Renter %	28.0%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	149	40,465
	Total households	3,283	754,063
	No vehicle %	4.5%	5.4%

Table 16.
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Jefferson	Adult at home who listens	94.9%	89.9%	83.1%
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Jefferson	Adult at school who listens	89.3%	82.8%	93.3%
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

Table 17.
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Jefferson	4	4	10	9
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18.
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Jefferson	161	44%	6%	85%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



Community Level

Youth in 12th grade do not think their parents find alcohol, marijuana, and cigarettes wrong, compared to the state.

- Table 21: Juvenile record sealing is not “automatic” even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others may be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment). This County does a nice job of sealing cases where the youth was filed as an adult- and has a relatively high

Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis.

Table 19. Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Jefferson	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	0	264
Robbery	1	367
Aggravated Assault	3	1,639
Other Assaults	48	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Jefferson	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	95.9%	85.7%	78.2%
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Jefferson	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	90.9%	74.5%	64.0%
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Jefferson	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	92.9%	86.7%	71.9%
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%



Table 21.
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see [Appendix for yearly data](#)

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	47	56	83.9%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	359	531	67.6%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	13	13	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	419	603	69.5%

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



Policy, Legal and System Level

- Table 22: This County is not county required to provide counsel under statute, consequently counsel is fairly low.
- Table 23-24: There are few curfew and 3A, 3B, and 3C filings in court, which is positive because these cases are usually better handled outside court system.
- Table 25: County funded diversion. Diversion practices and procedures are consistent with evidence-based practices. The community may want to consider:
 - Whether or not a case must always be filed on if the youth doesn't complete 100% of the diversion requirements.
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth

Table 22. Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Jefferson	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	20.0% -- 39.9%	73.5%

Neb. Rev. 43-272. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Jefferson	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	4	352



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 24.
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Jefferson					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	5	2	5	12
3B - Uncontrollable	0	4	4	0	0	8
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25.
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) ^o

	Jefferson	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first time offenders to diversion	No	Yes: 27.3% No: 63.6% Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the time of the referral to diversion	No	Yes: 18.2% No: 70.5% Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are unsuccessful on diversion	Always	Always: 47.7% Sometimes: 47.7% Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete diversion more than once	No	Yes: 61.4% No: 34.1% Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a juvenile ineligible for diversion	Yes; felony charges, except for criminal mischief	Yes: 86.4% No: 9.1% Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of intervention	No	Yes: 27.3% No: 61.4% Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8% No: 65.9%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$25	Yes: 86.4% No: 13.6% Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior to discharge	Yes; may include additional activities	Yes: 47.7% No: 25.0% Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Yes; all juvenile records are sealed once they complete the program agreement	Yes: 59.1% No: 22.7% Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



Community Team Level

- Table 26: A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was almost 29%, higher than the state average.
- Table 27: In most instances, you had slightly lower collective impact scores than the rest of the state. You average went down from 2019 to 2020.
- Table 28: The community team should be representative of the population of that community, but it might be beneficial to add diversity to your team (especially since Black and Hispanic youth have lower rates of success on probation).
 - On the survey—no representation from DHHS, defense counsel, treatment provider, government official on your team.
 - Good representation of persons formerly involved in the system.
 - 15% of the team (2 people) who responded, reported “not feeling heard,” in meeting.

Table 26. Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^P

Year of survey	Jefferson		Nebraska	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	24	45	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	8	13	221	345
Response rate	33.3%	28.9%	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27. Collective Impact Survey Scores ^P

Year of survey	Jefferson		Nebraska	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean Score	
Common agenda	4.87	4.58	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	4.73	4.67	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	5.41	4.58	5.21	5.45



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Continuous communication	4.98	3.98	5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	5.12	5.17	5.52	5.78

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support:** Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ⁹

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity ^P

	Jefferson		Nebraska	
	N = 13	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	3	23.1%	101	29.3%
Female	10	76.9%	229	66.4%
Missing	--	--	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30	1	7.7%	19	5.6%
30-39	2	15.4%	68	19.6%
40-49	3	23.1%	88	25.4%
50-59	4	30.8%	90	25.8%
60 and over	2	15.4%	44	13%
Missing	1	7.7%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	7	53.8%	230	66.7%
Black	--	--	10	2.9%
Hispanic	--	--	13	3.8%
Native American	--	--	6	1.7%
Asian	--	--	1	0.3%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Other	--	--	2	0.6%
Provided town name	4	30.8%	63	18.3%
Missing	2	15.4%	19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes	4	30.8%	98	28.4%
No	9	69.2%	242	70.1%
Missing	--	--	5	1.4%
System Point*				
Law enforcement	1	7.1%	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	1	7.1%	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	2	14.3%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	--	--	10	2.3%
Diversion	1	7.1%	55	12.6%
Probation	2	14.3%	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/ guardian ad litem	--	--	8	1.8%
DHHS or Child Welfare	--	--	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	--	--	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention	--	--	8	1.8%
Community based program	5	35.7%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government	1	7.1%	6	1.4%
Restorative practices	--	--	6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	--	--	3	0.7%
Other	1	7.1%	16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	11	84.6%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	2	15.4%	75	21.7%

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%



References and Resources

^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^b **School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch:** Prepared by
Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education

^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020

^d **Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use:** Bureau of
Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey:
<https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data>

^e **Referral to and utilization of services:** Department of Health and Human Services

^f **Adult and juvenile arrests:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

^g Diversion programs

^h **Domestic violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:
https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County_0.pdf

ⁱ Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

^k **Distance to detention facility:** Google Maps

^l **Racial and ethnic disparities:** Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided
by:



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:

<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System

Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^m **Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing:** Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: Sealed Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	10	10	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	115	132	87.1%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	6	6	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	131	151	86.8%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	10	10	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	72	130	55.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	5	5	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	87	145	60.0%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	14	14	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	95	139	68.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	110	154	71.4%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	11	20	55.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	66	102	64.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	78	123	63.4%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	2	2	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	11	28	39.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	--	--	--
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	13	30	43.3%