UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Keith County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	³3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b	5
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^\circ$	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	7
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ^I	8
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	•
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) ^h	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) ⁱ	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	15
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) $^{\rm m}$	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	17
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	18
References and Resources	20





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Youth Level

- While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because the frequency was too low to report, Native American and Black youth are over-represented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- Hispanic youth are overrepresented in chronic absenteeism in Keith County compared to school membership rates.
- More youth in Keith County qualify for free/reduced lunch compared to the state.
- Keith County has a 93.4% graduation rate the past 5 years
- This community has not participated in the NRPFSS and should consider participating in the next survey year (2021) to get youth-level data on mental health, gangs, supportive adults and community perceptions of substance use.
- Arrest rates for all age groups have decreased between 2018 and 2019, but have increased for people under 18 years old.
- Arrests for other assaults have gone up for both age groups, liquor laws and all other offenses increased for under 18
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture
 of RED. Compared to census and school data, Black youth are overrepresented with having
 multiple charges filed, RAI overrides, probation intake, and successful probation completion;
 Hispanic youth are overrepresented at diversion referrals and enrollments, being filed on in
 adult court, probation intake, successful probation completion, and probation revocation.
- Youth are being referred and enrolled in diversion at the same rate, but the successful completion rate is lower.
- 21.1% of cases being filed with multiple charges are missing race/ethnicity data

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) *

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Keith	415	86.0%	10.1%	2.2%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Keith	372	79.3%	13.2%	3.5%	0.3%	0.8%	3.0%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2.

School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Keith	1,089	12.49%	0.92%	0.64%	1.01%	0.28%	81.63%	3.03%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Keith	1,074	12.10%	0.93%	0.56%	0.47%	0.19%	82.68%	3.07%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Keith	1,092	14.74%	1.01%	0.73%	0.09%	0.09%	80.77%	2.56%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Keith	1,057	14.00%	0.57%	0.85%	0.38%	0.00%	81.74%	2.46%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Keith	1,120	14.20%	0.45%	0.71%	0.63%	0.00%	82.14%	1.88%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Keith	174	<mark>24.71%</mark>	*	*	*	*	75.29%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	<mark>24.54%</mark>	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Keith	180	11.67%	*	*	*	*	88.33%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Keith	189	<mark>25.93%</mark>	*	*	*	*	74.07%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	<mark>26.90%</mark>	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2017-	Keith	182	<mark>27.47%</mark>	*	*	*	*	72.53%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	<mark>26.81%</mark>	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Keith	186	<mark>27.96%</mark>	*	*	*	*	72.04%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	<mark>27.64%</mark>	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4.

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Keith	1,089	11.39%	<mark>2.66%</mark>	0.92%	<mark>48.03%</mark>
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	<mark>0.76%</mark>	5.97%	<mark>44.53%</mark>
2015-	Keith	1,074	10.80%	<mark>2.98%</mark>	1.21%	<mark>44.32%</mark>
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	<mark>0.90%</mark>	5.90%	<mark>44.23%</mark>
2016-	Keith	1,092	10.90%	<mark>3.75%</mark>	1.37%	<mark>46.98%</mark>
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	<mark>0.93%</mark>	6.99%	<mark>44.76%</mark>
2017-	Keith	1,057	13.34%	<mark>3.78%</mark>	*	<mark>47.49%</mark>
2018	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	<mark>0.88%</mark>	6.59%	<mark>46.24%</mark>
2018-	Keith	1,120	12.68%	*	1.07%	<mark>49.02%</mark>
2019	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	<mark>45.42%</mark>

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5.

Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c

County	Total in Last 5 Years		Yearly Averages		Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students	Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Keith	398	426	39.8	42.6	93.4%	56

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6.

Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

8 th 10 th 12 th				
		8 th	10 th	12 th





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Keith	Loss of sleep from worry			
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Keith	Depressed			
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Keith	Considered/Attempted suicide			
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Keith	Current alcohol			
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Keith	Current binge drinking			
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Keith	Current marijuana			
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Keith	Current tobacco			
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Keith	Current vaping			
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Keith	Hopeful for future (past week)			
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

*Keith County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis^e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) $^{\rm d}$

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Keith	Youth Reported Gang Involvement			





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%		
*Keith County did not participate in the Nebraska Pick and Protective Easters Survey						

*Keith County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

Table 12.

Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age	All Arrestee Ages				Und	der 18
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography			KEITH	COUNTY	(
Arrest Offense						
Total	<mark>473</mark>	<mark>435</mark>	-8.03	<mark>14</mark>	<mark>41</mark>	192.86
Rape Total	1	1	0.00			
Aggravated Assault Total	5	3	-40.00		0	
Burglary Total	2	2	0.00		1	
Larceny-Theft Total	33	27	-18.18	1	4	300.00
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	6	2	-66.67	0	0	
Other Assaults	<mark>48</mark>	<mark>68</mark>	41.67	<mark>6</mark>	<mark>14</mark>	133.33
Forgery and Counterfeiting	13	3	-76.92	0	0	
Fraud	11	8	-27.27	0	0	
Embezzlement		1				
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	1	5	400.00		1	
Vandalism	8	7	-12.50	1	3	200.00
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	10	8	-20.00	0	0	
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	4		-100.00	0		
<u>Drug Violations -</u> Sale/Manufacturing	29	25	-13.79	0	0	
Drug Violations - Possession	135	132	-2.22	4	0	-100.00
Offenses Against Family and Children		3			1	
Driving Under the Influence	36	33	-8.33	0	0	
Liquor Laws	28	26	-7.14	<mark>0</mark>	<mark>5</mark>	
Disorderly Conduct	<mark>5</mark>	<mark>11</mark>	120.00		2	
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	98	70	-28.57	2	<mark>10</mark>	400.00

Table 13a.

Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

	Keith			All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting				60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment				43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships				44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	<i>M</i> = 5.64, <i>SD</i> = 3.65, 0-1			65, 0-17		

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed

Table 14.

Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)¹

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	195							
Youth referred to diversion	<mark>57</mark>	0%	0%	0%	<mark>15.80%</mark>	0%	1.80%	82.50%
Youth enrolled in diversion	<mark>56</mark>	0%	0%	0%	<mark>16.10%</mark>	0%	1.80%	82.10%
Successful completion diversion	<mark>50</mark>	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	86%
Youth with multiple charges	19	0%	0%	<mark>5.30%</mark>	5.30%	0%	<mark>21.10%</mark>	68.40%
Filed on in adult court	7	0%	0%	0%	<mark>42.90%</mark>	0%	0%	57.10%
RAI Override: More Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	<mark>100%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	13	0%	0%	<mark>7.70%</mark>	<mark>15.40%</mark>	0%	0%	76.90%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Successful								
probation	67	1.50%	0%	<mark>4.50%</mark>	<mark>19.40%</mark>	0%	0%	74.60%
Revocation of								
probation	27	0%	0%	0%	<mark>18.50%</mark>	0%	0%	81.50%
Youth in OJS								
custody								
OJS custody:								
placed in detention								
Youth booked into								
detention								
Youth booked into								
detention more than								
once								



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Family Level

- Keith County has higher rates of youth in poverty compared to the state.
- Number of adults with bachelor's degrees is lower than the state average; it may be possible that
 residents who go to college outside of Keith County find employment where they go to school and
 do not return to Keith County.
- Youth in Keith County have access to internet in the home at a higher rate compared to the state, but a slightly lower rate of computers in the home.
- Compared to the state, Keith County has a slightly higher rate of households without access to a vehicle.

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

		Keith	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	<mark>16.8%</mark>	14.8%
-	Number of children 12-	169	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	% of children 12-17	<mark>32.1%</mark>	28.9%
	below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>20.7%</mark>	31.3%
	County Rank	50	-
	Age 25+ with some	26.0%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County Rank	27	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	91.2%	91.1%
	County Rank	63	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	<mark>96.5%</mark>	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County Rank	73	-
	% under 18 with an	95.3%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County Rank	20	-
	% under 18 with	95.3%	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	19	-





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Housing	Owner-occupied households	2,712	498,567
	Total households	3,844	754,063
	Owner %	70.6%	66.1%
	Renters	1,132	255,496
	Renter %	29.4%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	231	40,465
	Total households	3,844	754,063
	No vehicle %	<mark>6.0%</mark>	5.4%

Table 16.

Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Keith	Adult at home who listens			
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Keith	Adult at school who listens			
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

*Keith County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Keith	5	4	21	20
Nebraska	562	402	3512	2019

Table 18.

Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018)ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Keith	132	48.0%	8.0%	68.0%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Community Level

- The number of other assaults account for 15.6% of all 2019 arrests.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record
 requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be
 sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully
 complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or
 other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved
 because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older
 cases.
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Table 19. Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Keith	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter		34
Rape	1	264
Robbery		367
Aggravated Assault	3	1,639
Other Assaults	<mark>68</mark>	8,782

Table 20.

Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Keith	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana		-	
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Keith	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol			
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Keith	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes			
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%

*Keith County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 21. Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019)^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	162	224	<mark>72.3%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	0	9	0.0%
Filed in Juv. Court	109	318	34.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	52	63	82.5%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	0	4	0.0%
Total	325	624	52.1%

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; notably, access to counsel is very low in this community.
- There are very few curfew and 3A, 3B, and 3C filings in court so the community is diverting appropriately or have few citations for these offenses.
- At the time of this needs assessment Keith County has a juvenile diversion program but did not complete the survey.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable. With a higher proportion of children <18 in poverty, perhaps offering scholarships.
 - Having a process for sealing records for youth on diversion with law enforcement and JCMS, as required by statute.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Keith	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	<mark>40.0% - 59.9%</mark>	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 23.

Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019)^m

	Keith	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	3	352

Table 24.

Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Keith					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	1	1	3	1	6
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	3	3	1	7
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	5	0	0	5

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25.

County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °

	Keith	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first		Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the		Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are		Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete		Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Charges/offenses that make a	 Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion	No: 9.1%
	Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	 Yes: 27.3%
intervention	No: 61.4%
	Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	 Yes: 31.8%
	No: 65.9%
	Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	 Yes: 86.4%
	No: 13.6%
	Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	 Yes: 47.7%
to discharge	No: 25.0%
	Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	 Yes: 59.1%
	No: 22.7%
	Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Community Team Level

• Keith County did not receive CBA funding in either 2019 or 2020 when the two Collective Impact surveys were completed, as such, there is no data available.

Table 26.

Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

			Nebraska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020	
Number of surveys sent			1407	780	
Number of completed surveys			221	345	
Response rate			28.3%	24.5%	

Table 27. Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p

			Nebr	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean S	core	Mean	Score
Common agenda			5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing			5.37	5.50
Shared measurement			5.21	5.45
Continuous communication			5.49	5.55
Backbone agency			5.52	5.78

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- Backbone support: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28. Community Planning Team Diversity ^p

			Nebraska	
	N =	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male			101	29.3%
Female			229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39			68	19.6%
40-49			88	25.4%
50-59			90	25.8%
60 and over			44	13%
Missing			36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White			230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name			63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes			98	28.4%
No			242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point*				7.00/
Law enforcement			34	7.8%





COUNTY NEEDS A	SSESSMENT FY 2020-2021
----------------	------------------------

County attorney/ juvenile court		 32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	1	 65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based		 10	2.3%
Diversion	1	 55	12.6%
Probation	-	 31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/ guardian ad litem		 8	1.8%
DHHS or Child Welfare		 13	3.0%
Treatment provider		 40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention		 8	1.8%
Community based program		 109	25.0%
Elected official or government		 6	1.4%
Restorative practices		 6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	1	 3	0.7%
Other	1	 16	3.7%
Voice on Team			
Feel heard		 270	78.3%
Do not feel heard		 75	21.7%
		• •	

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

References and Resources

^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education

[°] **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020

^d **Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use:** Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: <u>https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data</u>

^e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services

^f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> ^g Diversion programs

^h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: <u>https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County_0.pdf</u>

¹**Child abuse and neglect:** Department of Health and Human Services, Child Abuse and Neglect Annual Data Calendar Year 2018, retrieved from <u>Child Abuse and Neglect Annual Data Report - 2019</u>

^j**Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> <u>k Distance to detention facility: Coogle Mana</u>

^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^m **Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing:** Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ Access to Counsel: Kids Count in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: <u>www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount</u>. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

° **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	20	38	52.6%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	25	36	69.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	11	11	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	0	4	0.0%
Total	56	93	60.2%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	50	55	90.9%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	31	60	51.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	7	9	77.8%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	88	124	71.0%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	46	50	92.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	29	58	50.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	18	20	90.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	95	130	73.1%

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	14	29	48.3%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	13	60	21.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	13	16	81.3%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	40	105	38.1%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	32	52	61.5%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	0	9	0.0%
Filed in Juv. Court	11	104	10.6%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	3	7	12.9%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	46	172	26.7%