



Kimball County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	3
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b	4
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	6
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	7
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)	7
Family Level	8
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	n (5- 8
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	9
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) h	9
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) ⁱ	9
Community Level	10
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	10
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	10
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	11
Policy, Legal and System Level	12
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	12
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	12
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$	13
Community Team Level	15
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	15
Collective Impact Survey Scores p	15
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	16
References and Resources	18
Appendix	20







Youth Level

- Table 1: Despite being a smaller community, Kimball County has a diverse juvenile population similar to the overall state. Your county also reports a higher number of diverse males. (Based on Census data).
- Table 2-3: Chronic absenteeism In many instance, Kimball County's number were too small to report. However, data indicate that Hispanic youth were more likely to have issues of chronic absenteeism (as reported in 2014-2015 and 2017-2018.)
- Table 4: Free and reduced lunch slightly higher than the rest of the state, but appears to be decreasing. IDEA plans have steadily risen and are now higher than the rest of the satte in this county.
- Table 5: HS graduation rates higher than the rest of the state -91% of your students graduate!
- Table 6: Kimball County may want to participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey. This tool provides good information on binge drinking, drug use, depression, suicide ideation, etc. and often provides guidance for juvenile justice interventions.
- Table 11: Data was not available about gang involvement
- Table 12: Overall adult crime increased from 2018 to 2019, by 57.29%, but there were almost no juvenile crimes reported.
- Table 13. A statewide tool is on the horizon. A validated tool can help design interventions (using the 8 domains).
- Table 14: Only 20 youth had a law violation reported to NCC. Hispanic youth are referred and enroll at similar rates. (Very few cases)
- Compared to intake. 29 youth were successful on probation

Table 1. Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

	Total Count	Non-				Asian or	
Geographic	Count	Hispanic	Hispanic or	Blac	American	Pacific	2+
Area Name		White	Latino	k	Indian	Islander	Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Kimball	207	79.2%	15.9%	2.9%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%

Females

Geographic Area Name	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Blac k	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%
Kimball	197	89.3%	10.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%



Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Kimball	538	13.57%	1.49%	1.30%	0.19%	0.19%	80.30%	2.97%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	<mark>17.74%</mark>	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Kimball	508	12.01%	1.38%	1.18%	0.20%	0.20%	82.28%	2.76%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Kimball	467	11.35%	1.71%	1.28%	0.00%	0.21%	82.23%	3.21%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Kimball	437	10.76%	1.83%	1.14%	0.00%	0.69%	81.01%	4.58%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	<mark>18.80%</mark>	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Kimball	421	12.35%	0.48%	0.95%	0.00%	0.71%	82.42%	3.09%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Kimball	79	<mark>22.78%</mark>	*	*	*	*	77.22%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	<mark>24.54%</mark>	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Kimball	49	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Kimball	43	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Kimball	80	<mark>22.50%</mark>	*	*	*	*	77.50%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	<mark>26.81%</mark>	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Kimball	49	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
	Kimball	538	<mark>9.67%</mark>	*	1.86%	<mark>58.36%</mark>





2014-	Nebraska					
2015		312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Kimball	508	<mark>11.02%</mark>	*	*	<mark>56.30%</mark>
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	Kimball	467	<mark>11.35%</mark>	*	*	<mark>49.46%</mark>
2017	Nebraska	318,853	<mark>13.80%</mark>	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	Kimball	437	<mark>14.87%</mark>	2.29%	*	<mark>47.14%</mark>
2018	Nebraska	323,391	<mark>15.87%</mark>	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Kimball	421	<mark>19.71%</mark>	*	*	47.03%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	<mark>16.13%</mark>	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^\circ$

County	inty Total in Last 5 Years			/erages	Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Graduates Students		Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	n/a
Kimball	163	179	32.6	35.8	91.1%	71

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Kimball	Loss of sleep from worry			
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Kimball	Depressed		1	
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Kimball	Considered/Attempted suicide		1	
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Kimball	Current alcohol		-	
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Kimball	Current binge drinking		1	
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Kimball	Current marijuana		1	-
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Kimball	Current tobacco		-	
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Kimball	Current vaping			

Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Kimball	Hopeful for future (past week)			
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

^{*}Kimball County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Kimball	Youth Reported Gang Involvement			
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

^{*}Kimball County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey



Table 12. Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages		Und	der 18
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography			KIMBALL	COUN	ΓΥ	
Arrest Offense						
Total	<mark>96</mark>	<mark>151</mark>	<mark>57.29</mark>	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>5</mark>	<mark>66.67</mark>
Murder and Nonnegligent Manslaughter	1	-	-100.00	0	-	1
Aggravated Assault Total	4	6	50.00	0	0	-
Burglary Total	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Larceny-Theft Total	3	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Other Assaults	2	7	250.00	0	0	-
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	3	3	0.00	0	0	-
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	11	6	-45.45	0	0	-
Drug Violations - Possession	28	62	121.43	0	1	-
Driving Under the Influence	9	7	-22.22	0	0	-
Liquor Laws	9	3	-66.67	0	0	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	<mark>25-</mark>	<mark>57</mark>	128.00	3	4	33.33

Table 13. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	Kimball			All	NYS Cour	nties
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting	I			60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment	I			43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships	1			44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use	1			61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation	-			50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M	=, SD =	,	M = 5.6	4, SD = 3.	65, 0-17

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed





Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) |

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact			-1	1				
Youth taken to temporary custody			1	1				
Youth issued citation/referral	<mark>20*</mark>							
Youth referred to diversion	6	0%	0%	0%	<mark>16.70%</mark>	16.70%	0%	66.70%
Youth enrolled in diversion	6	0%	0%	0%	<mark>16.70%</mark>	16.70%	0%	66.70%
Successful completion diversion	5	0%	0%	0%	<mark>20%</mark>	0%	0%	80%
Youth with multiple charges								
Filed on in adult court	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	2	0%	0%	0%	<mark>50%</mark>	0%	0%	50%
Probation intake	5	0%	0%	0%	<mark>40%</mark>	0%	0%	60%
Successful probation	<mark>29</mark>	6.90%	0%	0%	17.20%	0%	0%	75.90%
Revocation of probation	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody			1					
OJS custody: placed in detention			1	1				
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								





*Kimball County sheriff only partially reported in 2018; Kimball PD only partially reported in 2017

Family Level

- Table 15: Kimball County's poverty rate is lower than the rest of the state.
 - o Lower levels of young adults (25 older) with a HS degree.
 - High computer ownership (98%+) but fairly low broadband internet access (only 73.8%).
 This may be helpful for purposes of remote learning/ COVID.)
 - o Higher rate of homeownership, but lower levels 6.6% of people do not have a vehicle.
- Table 17: Low rates of violence, domestic assault.
- Table 18: 79 cases reported to CPS, and only 7% were substantiated, lower than the rest of the state.

Table 15.
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Kimball	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	<mark>9.3%</mark>	14.8%
	Number of children 12-	75	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	<mark>23.5%</mark>	28.9%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	18.2%	31.3%
	County rank	74	-
	Age 25+ with some	24.5%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County rank	42	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	<mark>88.5%</mark>	91.1%
	County Rank	80	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	<mark>98.4%</mark>	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County rank	44	-
	% under 18 with an	<mark>73.8%</mark>	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County rank	91	-
	% under 18 with	73.8%	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	90	-





Housing	Owner-occupied households	1,112	498,567
	Total households	1,571	754,063
	Owner %	<mark>70.8%</mark>	66.1%
	Renters	459	255,496
	Renter %	29.2%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	104	40,465
	Total households	1,571	754,063
	No vehicle %	<mark>6.6%</mark>	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Kimball	Adult at home who listens		-	-
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Kimball	Adult at school who listens			-
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

^{*}Kimball County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults	Aggravated Domestic Assaults	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared
	Reported	Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means		by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Kimball	2	2	8	5
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) i

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Kimball	79	38%	7%	77%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



Community Level

- Table 19: Very few assaults reported in 2019.
- Table 21: Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a
 record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should
 be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully
 complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or
 other treatment). Diversion appears to have an in-depth policy for sealing records.

Table 19. Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Kimball	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	0	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	<mark>6</mark>	1,639
Other Assaults	<mark>7</mark>	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Kimball	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana			
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Kimball	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol			
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Kimball	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes			
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%

^{*}Kimball County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey





Table 21.

Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	7	18	<mark>38.9%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	70	88	<mark>79.5%</mark>
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	3	9	<mark>33.3%</mark>
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	80	115	<mark>69.6%</mark>

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

Table 22: JUSTICE data indicates that your county has a higher rate of legal representation compared to the rest of the state.

Table 23-24: No curfew filings- excellent. Why? These types of low level cases that could be diverted from court system, and this seems to be the case in Kimball County.

Table 25: With respect to diversion practices, the community seems to be using best practices. Areas to explore:

- o Could some felony offenses be considered for diversion?
- o Tracking which youth get warning letters.
- o Ensure that you have a process for sealing juvenile records.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Kimball	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	80.0% 100.0%	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Kimball	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352



Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 - 2019) m

		Kimball				
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	2	1	0	3
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	0	0	0	0
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0

		Nebraska				
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^\circ$

	Kimball	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	Not sure	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Sometimes	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Not sure	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Yes; <mark>Felony charges</mark>	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion		No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	Yes	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	No	Yes: 86.4%
-		No: 13.6%





		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior to discharge	Yes; send letters and other options to address barriers that	Yes: 47.7% No: 25.0%
to discharge	may prevent successful completion	Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	No	Yes: 59.1%
		No: 22.7%
		Not sure: 18.2%

^{*}responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)

Community Team Level

- Table 26: A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 11.7%.
- Table 27: In most instance, you had slightly lower collective impact scores than the rest of the state. You average went down from 2019 to 2020.
- Table 28: The community team should be representative of the population of that community, but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team. (Could be that people just didn't respond.) On the survey —no probation, law enforcement, county attorney, defense counsel, government official on your team.
 - o Good representation of persons formerly involved in the system.
 - o 25% of the people who responded, reported "not feeling heard," in meeting.

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates P

	Panhandle		Nebraska	
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	24	137	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	12	<mark>16</mark>	221	345
Response rate	50.0%	<mark>11.7%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p

	Panhand	dle	Nebra	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean Score	
Common agenda	5.33	<mark>5.27</mark>	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	5.52	<mark>5.13</mark>	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	5.57	<mark>5.60</mark>	5.21	5.45
Continuous communication	5.98	<mark>5.15</mark>	5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	6.16	<mark>5.64</mark>	5.52	5.78

The five elements of Collective Impact are:





- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- Backbone support: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p

	Panha	Panhandle		raska
	N = 16	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	2	12.5%	101	29.3%
Female	13	81.3%	229	66.4%
Missing	1	6.3%	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30		<mark></mark>	19	5.6%
<mark>30-39</mark>			68	19.6%
40-49	3	18.9%	88	25.4%
50-59	8	50.2%	90	25.8%
60 and over	3	18.9%	44	13%
Missing	2	12.5%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	9	56.3%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American	1	6.3%	6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name	4	25.0%	63	18.3%
Missing	2	12.5%	19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				





Yes	5	31.3%	98	28.4%
No	11	68.8%	242	70.1%
Missing	-		5	1.4%
System Point				
Law enforcement	<mark></mark>		34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court		<u></u>	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	5	29.4%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	1	5.9%	10	2.3%
Diversion	3	17.6%	55	12.6%
Probation	<mark></mark>		31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/	<mark></mark>	<u></u>	8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare	1	5.9%	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	1	5.9%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention	<u></u>	<u></u>	8	1.8%
Community based program	5	29.4%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government	<mark></mark>	<u></u>	6	1.4%
Restorative practices	<mark></mark>	<u></u>	6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	1	5.9%	3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	12	75.0%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	<mark>4</mark>	<mark>25.0%</mark>	75	21.7%

Banner n = 3, Box Butte n = 3, Cheyenne n = 5, Dawes n = 5, Deuel n = 3, Garden n = 3, Kimball n = 3, Morrill n = 2, Scotts Bluff n = 7, Sioux n = 2, Sheridan n = 2 (three people named more than one county they represent

^{*}note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

- ^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- ^f **Adult and juvenile arrests:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 ^g Diversion programs
- h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County_0.pdf

¹Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps

¹Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:





Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System
Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- ^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- ^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^q Collective Impact Elements: Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.



Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	0	4	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		-	
Filed in Juv. Court	37	37	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	6	16.7%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	38	47	80.9%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	4	75.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		-	
Filed in Juv. Court	16	16	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	21	22	95.5%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	0	2	0.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	6	12	50.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	6	14	42.9%





2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	4	6	66.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	11	19	57.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	15	25	60.0%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	0	2	0.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	0	4	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	0	1	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	-		
Total	0	7	0.0%