



## COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

## Knox County

## Table of Contents

<b>Youth Level</b>	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) <sup>a</sup>	3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) <sup>b</sup>	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) <sup>b</sup>	4
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) <sup>c</sup>	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>	6
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>	7
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change <sup>f</sup>	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) <sup>g</sup>	7
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) <sup>i</sup>	8
<b>Family Level</b>	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) <sup>a</sup>	10
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means <sup>h</sup>	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports <sup>i</sup>	11
<b>Community Level</b>	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) <sup>j</sup>	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>	13
<b>Policy, Legal and System Level</b>	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) <sup>n</sup>	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>	14
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) <sup>o</sup>	15
<b>Community Team Level</b>	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates <sup>p</sup>	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores <sup>p</sup>	17
Community Planning Team Diversity <sup>p</sup>	18
<b>References and Resources</b>	20
<b>Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year</b>	22



UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA

**JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE**

**EVIDENCE-BASED**  
NEBRASKA

**COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021**



## Youth Level

- Compared to census and school enrollment data, Hispanic and Native American youth in Knox County are overrepresented in chronic absenteeism
- Knox County has more students under IDEA compared to the state, this has increased in the last two years.
- Knox County has more students who qualify for free/reduced lunch compared to the state.
  - A little over half of the students in Knox County in 2018-2019 qualified for free/reduced lunch
- 8<sup>th</sup> graders report loss of sleep and feeling depressed at higher rates than the state.
- 8<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> graders report higher rates of alcohol use than the state; 8<sup>th</sup> graders also report higher levels of binge drinking.
- 8<sup>th</sup> graders report higher rates of marijuana use than the state; 8<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> graders report higher rates of tobacco use.
- 12<sup>th</sup> graders report gang involvement at rates higher than the state.
- Overall arrests have gone down from 2018-2019.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture of RED. With the data and system points presented in table 14, it appears that white youth are overrepresented at all system points except revocation of probation where Native Americans and Asian youth are overrepresented. Census and school data indicate there is a Native American and Hispanic/Latino population so the team should ensure there is not RED in juvenile justice system points.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) <sup>a</sup>

### Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
<b>Knox</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>75.6%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>



## COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

## Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
<b>Knox</b>	<b>487</b>	<b>74.9%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>

[Click here to go back to RED analysis](#)

Table 2.

School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) <sup>b</sup>

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	<b>Knox</b>	<b>1,513</b>	<b>4.63%</b>	<b>1.12%</b>	<b>19.10%</b>	<b>0.46%</b>	<b>0.07%</b>	<b>72.90%</b>	<b>1.72%</b>
	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-2016	<b>Knox</b>	<b>1,535</b>	<b>4.17%</b>	<b>1.11%</b>	<b>18.63%</b>	<b>0.72%</b>	<b>0.26%</b>	<b>73.49%</b>	<b>1.63%</b>
	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-2017	<b>Knox</b>	<b>1,548</b>	<b>4.13%</b>	<b>1.29%</b>	<b>20.67%</b>	<b>0.65%</b>	<b>0.26%</b>	<b>71.25%</b>	<b>1.74%</b>
	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-2018	<b>Knox</b>	<b>1,547</b>	<b>3.81%</b>	<b>0.97%</b>	<b>20.23%</b>	<b>1.29%</b>	<b>0.39%</b>	<b>71.56%</b>	<b>1.75%</b>
	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-2019	<b>Knox</b>	<b>1,510</b>	<b>3.91%</b>	<b>1.06%</b>	<b>20.99%</b>	<b>0.46%</b>	<b>0.33%</b>	<b>71.39%</b>	<b>1.85%</b>
	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) <sup>b</sup>

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	<b>Knox</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>6.32%</b>	*	<b>71.26%</b>	*	*	<b>22.41%</b>	*
	Nebraska	35,638	<b>24.54%</b>	1.64%	<b>4.42%</b>	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-2016	<b>Knox</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>8.74%</b>	*	<b>68.85%</b>	*	*	<b>22.40%</b>	*
	Nebraska	38,812	<b>25.73%</b>	1.55%	<b>4.27%</b>	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%



## COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2016-2017	<b>Knox</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>5.29%</b>	*	<b>63.00%</b>	*	*	<b>31.72%</b>	*
	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-2018	<b>Knox</b>	<b>198</b>	*	*	<b>55.56%</b>	*	*	<b>44.44%</b>	*
	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-2019	<b>Knox</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>6.08%</b>	*	<b>68.51%</b>	*	*	<b>25.41%</b>	*
	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the \* represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4.

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) <sup>b</sup>

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-2015	<b>Knox</b>	<b>1,513</b>	<b>13.68%</b>	<b>0.73%</b>	*	<b>49.24%</b>
	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-2016	<b>Knox</b>	<b>1,535</b>	<b>15.11%</b>	*	*	<b>47.49%</b>
	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-2017	<b>Knox</b>	<b>1,548</b>	<b>13.82%</b>	*	*	<b>50.65%</b>
	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-2018	<b>Knox</b>	<b>1,547</b>	<b>14.54%</b>	<b>0.90%</b>	*	<b>49.77%</b>
	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-2019	<b>Knox</b>	<b>1,510</b>	<b>15.17%</b>	<b>1.19%</b>	*	<b>50.40%</b>
	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the \* represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5.

Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) <sup>c</sup>

County	Total in Last 5 Years		Yearly Averages		Graduation Rate	Rank
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students		
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
<b>Knox</b>	<b>542</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>18.1</b>	<b>20.5</b>	<b>88.1%</b>	<b>85</b>

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6.



## COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>

		8 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	12 <sup>th</sup>
<b>Knox</b>	Loss of sleep from worry	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
<b>Knox</b>	Depressed	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>33.3%</b>
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
<b>Knox</b>	Considered/Attempted suicide	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
<b>Knox</b>	Current alcohol	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>35.9%</b>
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
<b>Knox</b>	Current binge drinking	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
<b>Knox</b>	Current marijuana	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
<b>Knox</b>	Current tobacco	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>17.9%</b>
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
<b>Knox</b>	Current vaping	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>25.6%</b>
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
<b>Knox</b>	Hopeful for future (past week)	<b>74.0%</b>	<b>88.5%</b>	<b>82.1%</b>
Nebraska		72.1%	74.7%	78.4%

**\*\*JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data**

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services <sup>e</sup>

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis <sup>e</sup>

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services <sup>e</sup>

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized <sup>e</sup>

Table 11.



## COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>

		8 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	12 <sup>th</sup>
<b>Knox</b>	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>5.1%</b>
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

Table 12.

Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change <sup>f</sup>

Arrestee Age	All Arrestee Ages			Under 18		
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography	KNOX COUNTY					
Arrest Offense						
Total	78	29	-62.82	6	0	-100.00
Aggravated Assault Total	3	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Larceny-Theft Total	7	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Other Assaults	3	2	-33.33	0	0	-
Forgery and Counterfeiting	-	1	-	-	0	-
Fraud	3	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	-	1	-	-	-	-
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	1	1	0.00	0	-	-
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	3	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Drug Violations - Possession	12	2	-83.33	0	0	-
Driving Under the Influence	20	9	-55.00	4	0	-100.00
Liquor Laws	10	9	-10.00	2	0	-100.00
Disorderly Conduct	6	-	-100.00	0	-	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	10	4	-60.00	0	0	-

Table 13.

Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) <sup>g</sup>



## COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Score	Knox			All NYS Counties		
	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting	--	--	--	60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment	--	--	--	43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships	--	--	--	44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use	--	--	--	61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation	--	--	--	50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior	--	--	--	50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation	--	--	--	61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	$M = --, SD = --, --$			$M = 5.64, SD = 3.65, 0-17$		

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed

Table 14.

Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) <sup>1</sup>

[Click here to see Census and School Population Data](#)

\*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth enrolled in diversion	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Successful completion diversion	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth with multiple charges	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.30%	66.70%
Filed on in adult court	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	5	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	80%
Successful probation	28	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%





## COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Revocation of probation	9	33.30%	22.20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	44.40%
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



## Family Level

- Compared to state data, Knox County has higher rates of children in poverty.
- Number of adults with bachelor's degrees is lower than the state average; it may be possible that residents who go to college outside of Knox County find employment where they go to school and do not return to Knox County.
- Compared to the state, youth in Knox County have lower rates of access to computers and internet at home.
- Knox County has a slightly higher rate of house without vehicles at home compared to the state.
- 12<sup>th</sup> graders report feeling supported by adults at school and at home at lower rates than the state.

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) <sup>a</sup>

Measurement		Knox	Nebraska
<b>Poverty/SES</b>	Children <18 in Poverty	15.5%	14.8%
	Number of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	230	43,814
	Percent of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	31.6%	28.9%
<b>Educational attainment</b>	Age 25+ with B.D.	21.7%	31.3%
	County rank	41	-
	Age 25+ with some college, no degree	23.1%	23.0%
	County rank	60	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	91.2%	91.1%
	County Rank	62	-
<b>Technology and computers in the home</b>	% under 18 with a computer at home	91.0%	96.9%
	County rank	87	-
	% under 18 with an internet subscription at home	82.1%	91.0%
	County rank	80	-
	% under 18 with broadband internet access at home	81.3%	90.8%
	County Rank	80	-



## COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

<b>Housing</b>	Owner-occupied households	2,690	498,567
	Total households	3,674	754,063
	Owner %	73.2%	66.1%
	Renters	984	255,496
	Renter %	26.8%	33.9%
<b>Transportation</b>	Households with no vehicle available	212	40,465
	Total households	3,674	754,063
	No vehicle %	5.8%	5.4%

Table 16.  
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>

		8 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	12 <sup>th</sup>
<b>Knox</b>	Adult at home who listens	89.8%	88.5%	84.6%
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
<b>Knox</b>	Adult at school who listens	86.0%	88.7%	71.1%
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

Table 17.  
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means <sup>h</sup>

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
<b>Knox</b>	0	0	2	2
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18.  
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports <sup>i</sup>

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
<b>Knox</b>	139	37%	12%	50%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



## Community Level

- With regard to how the youth perceive the community attitudes on substance use, 8th graders and 12th graders have lower rates than the state for alcohol and cigarette use; 8th graders also have lower rates for marijuana use.
- Juvenile record sealing is not “automatic” even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment).
  - In 2019, Knox County did have 100% of dismissed and dropped cases sealed
- There are high rates of missing race/ethnicity data at the trial court (JUSTICE) and older diversion data. Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis.

Table 19.

Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) <sup>i</sup>

Type of Violence	Knox	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	0	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	0	1,639
Other Assaults	2	8,782

Table 20.

Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>

		8 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	12 <sup>th</sup>
<b>Knox</b>	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	<b>89.8%</b>	<b>96.1%</b>	<b>87.2%</b>
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
<b>Knox</b>	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	<b>85.7%</b>	<b>82.4%</b>	<b>51.3%</b>
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
<b>Knox</b>	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	<b>89.8%</b>	<b>92.2%</b>	<b>71.8%</b>
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%



Table 21.  
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>

see [Appendix for yearly data](#)

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	16	20	80.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	112	204	54.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	27	27	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	155	251	61.8%

\*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



## Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; notably, access to counsel is lower than the state average in this community.
- There are few 3A, 3B uncontrollable, and 3C filings in court so the community is diverting appropriately
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
  - Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
  - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
  - Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable. With a higher proportion of children <18 in poverty, perhaps offering scholarships
  - If drug testing is needed, it should only be used on cases where the youth demonstrates a substance use issue.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) <sup>n</sup>

	Knox	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	40.0% -- 59.9%	73.5%

**Neb. Rev. 43-272.** Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23.

Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>

	Knox	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352



Table 24.  
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>

	Knox					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	1	3	0	4	8
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	0	0	0	0
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25.  
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) <sup>o</sup>

	Knox	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first time offenders to diversion	Yes	Yes: 27.3% No: 63.6% Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the time of the referral to diversion	No	Yes: 18.2% No: 70.5% Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are unsuccessful on diversion	Always	Always: 47.7% Sometimes: 47.7% Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete diversion more than once	Yes	Yes: 61.4% No: 34.1% Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a juvenile ineligible for diversion	Yes; Homicide, 1st Degree Sexual Assault, Armed Robbery	Yes: 86.4% No: 9.1% Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of intervention	No	Yes: 27.3% No: 61.4% Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	Yes	Yes: 31.8%



## COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

		No: 65.9% Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; currently changing fees	Yes: 86.4% No: 13.6% Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior to discharge	Yes; may include extending another month or additional activities, depending on the need	Yes: 47.7% No: 25.0% Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Yes; The Diversion assistant notifies the arresting agency that the juvenile has completed the diversion program. If the Juvenile completes the diversion program then the letter is sent and record sealed.	Yes: 59.1% No: 22.7% Not sure: 18.2%

\*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)





## Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 37.0%.
- The rates of collective impact went up for three elements, but down for two. The community team should look at shared measurement and continuous communication amongst the team.
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.)
- The Northeast Team has good representation of previous system involvement.
- There were no probation, defense counsel, DHHS, ministry/faith based, or detention system point mentioned on your team; it could be that your team does include members at these system points but did not respond to the survey.
- $\frac{3}{4}$  of the team felt heard by the group, but that may not be representative due to low response rate.

Table 26.  
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates <sup>P</sup>

	Northeast Team		Nebraska	
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	29	46	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	5	17	221	345
Response rate	17.2%	37.0%	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.  
Collective Impact Survey Scores <sup>P</sup>

	Northeast Team		Nebraska	
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean Score	
Common agenda	5.14	5.65	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	5.25	5.29	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	5.18	4.94	5.21	5.45



## COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Continuous communication	5.50	5.41	5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	5.25	5.35	5.52	5.78

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support:** Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations <sup>9</sup>

Table 28.

Community Planning Team Diversity <sup>P</sup>

	Northeast Team		Nebraska	
	N = 17	(%)	N = 345	(%)
<b>Gender</b>				
Male	8	47.1%	101	29.3%
Female	8	47.1%	229	66.4%
Missing	1	5.9%	15	4.3%
<b>Age</b>				
Under 30	--	--	19	5.6%
30-39	6	35.3%	68	19.6%
40-49	6	35.3%	88	25.4%
50-59	2	11.8%	90	25.8%
60 and over	2	11.8%	44	13%
Missing	1	5.9%	36	10.4%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>				
White	15	88.2%	230	66.7%
Black	--	--	10	2.9%
Hispanic	--	--	13	3.8%
Native American	--	--	6	1.7%
Asian	1	5.9%	1	0.3%



## COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Other	--	--	2	0.6%
Provided town name	1	5.9%	63	18.3%
Missing	--	--	19	5.5%
<b>Previous System Involvement</b>				
Yes	7	41.2%	98	28.4%
No	10	58.8%	242	70.1%
Missing	--	--	5	1.4%
<b>System Point*</b>				
Law enforcement	4	19.0%	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	5	23.8%	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	7	33.3%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	--	--	10	2.3%
Diversion	2	9.5%	55	12.6%
Probation	--	--	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/ guardian ad litem	--	--	8	1.8%
DHHS or Child Welfare	--	--	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	1	4.8%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention	--	--	8	1.8%
Community based program	1	4.8%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government	--	--	6	1.4%
Restorative practices	--	--	6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	--	--	3	0.7%
Other	1	4.8%	16	3.7%
<b>Voice on Team</b>				
Feel heard	13	76.5%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	4	23.5%	75	21.7%

Boone  $n = 1$ , Burt  $n = 1$ , Cuming  $n = 1$ , Madison  $n = 10$ , Stanton  $n = 1$ , Wayne  $n = 1$  (one person named more than one county they represent)

\*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

## References and Resources

<sup>a</sup> **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau  
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

<sup>a</sup> **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau  
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

<sup>a</sup> **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau  
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

<sup>a</sup> **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau  
Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

<sup>a</sup> **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau  
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

<sup>a</sup> **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau  
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

<sup>b</sup> **School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch:** Prepared by  
Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education

<sup>c</sup> **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education  
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020

<sup>d</sup> **Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use:** Bureau of  
Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey:  
<https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data>

<sup>e</sup> **Referral to and utilization of services:** Department of Health and Human Services

<sup>f</sup> **Adult and juvenile arrests:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:  
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

<sup>g</sup> Diversion programs

<sup>h</sup> **Domestic violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:  
[https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County\\_0.pdf](https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County_0.pdf)

<sup>i</sup> Child abuse and neglect

<sup>j</sup> **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:  
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

<sup>k</sup> **Distance to detention facility:** Google Maps

<sup>l</sup> **Racial and ethnic disparities:** Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided  
by:



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:

<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browseables.aspx>

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System

Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

<sup>m</sup> **Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing:** Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

<sup>n</sup> **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: [www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount](http://www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount). Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

<sup>o</sup> **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

<sup>p</sup> **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

<sup>q</sup> **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.

**Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year**

\*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

<b>2015</b>	<b>Number of charges Sealed</b>	<b>Total Number of charges</b>	<b>Sealed (%)</b>
Dismissed or Dropped	--	--	--
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	2	2	100%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	3	3	100%

<b>2016</b>	<b>Number of charges Sealed</b>	<b>Total Number of charges</b>	<b>Sealed (%)</b>
Dismissed or Dropped	--	--	--
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	22	42	52.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	4	4	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	26	46	56.5%

<b>2017</b>	<b>Number of charges Sealed</b>	<b>Total Number of charges</b>	<b>Sealed (%)</b>
Dismissed or Dropped	4	7	57.1%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	23	62	37.1%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	18	18	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	45	87	51.7%



## COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	9	10	90.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	48	62	77.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	4	4	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	61	76	80.3%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	3	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	17	36	47.2%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	--	--	--
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	20	39	51.3%