



# McPherson County

# Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018	3) <sup>a</sup> 3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b.	5
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change <sup>f</sup>	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) <sup>g</sup>	
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) <sup>1</sup>	7
Family Level	9
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transport year estimates, 2014-2018) <sup>a</sup>	•
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) d	10
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) h	10
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) <sup>i</sup>	10
Community Level	11
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) j	11
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	11
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>	12
Policy, Legal and System Level	13
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) n	13
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>	13
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>	14
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °	14
Community Team Level	16
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates <sup>p</sup>	16
Collective Impact Survey Scores <sup>p</sup>	16
Community Planning Team Diversity <sup>p</sup>	17
Potoronoog and Pogouroog	10



Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year2
---

### **Youth Level**

- While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because
  the frequency was too low to report, Hispanic, Native American, and Black youth are overrepresented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- In past years, there were more youth under IDEA in McPherson County compared to the state.
- This community has not participated in the NRPFSS and should consider participating in the
  next survey year (2020) to get youth-level data on mental health, gangs, supportive adults and
  community perceptions of substance use.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture of RED. Although the Census and school data indicate few Black youth, they are over-represented in successful probation completion.
  - The one court case filed in adult court is missing race/ethnicity.

Table 1. Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) <sup>a</sup>

#### **Males**

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
McPherson	36	77.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%

#### **Females**

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
McPherson	11	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Click here to go back to RED analysis





Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) <sup>b</sup>

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	McPherson	82	1.22%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	96.34%	2.44%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	McPherson	91	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	96.70%	3.30%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	McPherson	81	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	McPherson	73	1.37%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	98.63%	0.0%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	McPherson	65	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.54%	0.0%	98.46%	0.0%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)<sup>b</sup>

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	McPherson	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	McPherson	16	*	*	*	*	*	100.0%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	McPherson	22	*	*	*	*	*	100.0%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	McPherson	15	*	*	*	*	*	100.0%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	McPherson	13	*	*	*	*	*	100.0%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the \* represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students



Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	McPherson	82	<mark>17.07%</mark>	*	*	*
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	McPherson	91	<mark>15.38%</mark>	*	*	*
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	McPherson	81	18.52%	*	*	*
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	McPherson	73	<mark>17.81%</mark>	*	*	*
2018	Nebraska	323,391	<mark>15.87%</mark>	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	McPherson	65	*	*	*	*
2019	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the \* represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) c

County	Total in Las	st 5 Years	Yearly Av	Graduation		
	Graduates	Students	Graduates Students		Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
McPherson	34	35	6.8	7.0	97.1%	13

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) d

		8 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	12 <sup>th</sup>
McPherson	Loss of sleep from worry			
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
McPherson	Depressed			
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
McPherson	Considered/Attempted suicide			
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
McPherson	Current alcohol			
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
McPherson	Current binge drinking			





Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
McPherson	Current marijuana	-	-	-
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
McPherson	Current tobacco			-
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
McPherson	Current vaping	-	-	-
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
McPherson	Hopeful for future (past week)			
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

#### \*\*JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized <sup>e</sup>

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>

		8 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	12 <sup>th</sup>
McPherson	Youth Reported Gang Involvement			
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%





### Table 12. Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change f

Arrestee Age	All Arrestee Ages Under 18			der 18		
Summary Arrest Date	2018 2019 2018 - 2019			2018	2019	2018 - 2019
	Growth % Growth %					Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography	MCPHERSON COUNTY					
Arrest Offense						
Total		2			0	
Drug Violations - Possession		2			0	

Table 13a. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) <sup>g</sup>

	McPherson			All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting				60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment				43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships				44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M = 5.64, $SD = 3.65$ , 0			65, 0-17		

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed

#### Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)

#### Click here to see Census and School Population Data

\*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	i							
Youth taken to temporary custody		1	1		1	1	1	





Youth issued	0*							
citation/referral								
Youth referred to								
diversion								
Youth enrolled in								
diversion								
Successful								
completion diversion								
Youth with multiple								
charges								
Filed on in adult								
court	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	<mark>100%</mark>	0%
RAI Override: More								
Severe								
RAI Override: Less								
Severe								
Probation intake								
Successful probation	4	0%	0%	<mark>50%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	50%
Revocation of								
probation	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Youth in OJS								
custody								
OJS custody: placed								
in detention								
Youth booked into								
detention								
Youth booked into								
detention more than								
once								

<sup>\*</sup>McPherson County sheriff did not report to NCC 2015 - 2018



# **Family Level**

- McPherson County has higher rates of youth in poverty compared to the state.
- Number of adults with bachelor's degrees is lower than the state average; it may be possible that
  residents who go to college outside of McPherson County find employment where they go to
  school and do not return to McPherson County.
- Youth in McPherson County have access to computers and internet at home at higher rates than the state (ranked 1st in the state for computers in the home)

Table 15.
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) <sup>a</sup>

		McPherson	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	<mark>31.7%</mark>	14.8%
-	Number of children 12-	12	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	% of children 12-17	<mark>32.4%</mark>	28.9%
	below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>24.9%</mark>	31.3%
	County Rank	23	-
	Age 25+ with some	24.3%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County Rank	44	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	<mark>89.1%</mark>	91.1%
	County Rank	75	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	100%	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County Rank	<mark>1</mark>	-
	% under 18 with an	91.3%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County Rank	45	-
	% under 18 with	91.3%	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	43	-





Housing	Owner-occupied households	139	498,567
	Total households	203	754,063
	Owner %	68.5%	66.1%
	Renters	64	255,496
	Renter %	31.5%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	2	40,465
	Total households	203	754,063
	No vehicle %	1.0%	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>

		8 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	12 <sup>th</sup>
McPherson	Adult at home who listens			
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
McPherson	Adult at school who listens			
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

Table 17. Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019)  $^{\rm h}$ 

	Aggravated	Aggravated	Simple Domestic	Simple Domestics
	Domestic Assaults	Domestic Assaults	Assaults Reported	Assaults Cleared
	Reported	Cleared by Arrest		by Arrest or
		or Exceptional		Exceptional Means
		Means		
McPherson	0	0	0	0
Nebraska	562	402	3512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) i

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
McPherson	3	67.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older cases.
  - McPherson County has sealed all juvenile court cases in the past 5 years
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Table 19.
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) <sup>j</sup>

Type of Violence	McPherson	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter		34
Rape		264
Robbery		367
Aggravated Assault		1,639
Other Assaults		8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) <sup>d</sup>

		8 <sup>th</sup>	10 <sup>th</sup>	12 <sup>th</sup>
McPherson	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	-	1	-
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
McPherson	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	-	1	-
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
McPherson	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes			
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%





Table 21.

Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>

#### see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped		-	
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	3	3	100%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	4	4	100%

<sup>\*</sup>Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

# Policy, Legal and System Level

- There are no juvenile court cases in 2018 to look at access to counsel.
- At the time of this needs assessment, McPherson County does not have a juvenile diversion program, as such, did not complete the survey.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
  - Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
  - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
  - Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable. With a higher proportion of children <18 in poverty, perhaps offering scholarships.</li>
  - Having a process for sealing records for youth on diversion with law enforcement and JCMS, as required by statute.

# Table 22. Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) <sup>n</sup>

	McPherson	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	No Juvenile Court Cases	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

# Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) <sup>m</sup>

McPherson	Nebraska
mor nersen	Hobracita





Curfew Court Filing	0	352

Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019)  $^{\rm m}$ 

	McPherson					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	0	0	0	0
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020)  $^\circ$ 

	McPherson	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	<del></del>	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	<del></del>	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	<del></del>	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete		Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	<del></del>	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion		No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of		Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%





	Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	 Yes: 31.8%
	No: 65.9%
	Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	 Yes: 86.4%
	No: 13.6%
	Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	 Yes: 47.7%
to discharge	No: 25.0%
	Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	 Yes: 59.1%
-	No: 22.7%
	Not sure: 18.2%

<sup>\*</sup>responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)





# **Community Team Level**

McPherson County did not receive CBA funding in either 2019 or 2020 when the two Collective Impact surveys were completed, as such, there is no data available.

Table 26. Collective Impact Survey Response Rates P

			Nebraska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020	
Number of surveys sent	I		1407	780	
Number of completed surveys	I		221	345	
Response rate	I		28.3%	24.5%	

Table 27. Collective Impact Survey Scores p

			Nebr	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean S	core	Mean	Score
Common agenda			5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing			5.37	5.50
Shared measurement			5.21	5.45
Continuous communication			5.49	5.55
Backbone agency			5.52	5.78

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- Common agenda: Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- Mutually reinforcing activities: Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.





- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support**: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations <sup>q</sup>

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity P

		Nebraska		aska
	N =	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male			101	29.3%
Female			229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39			68	19.6%
40-49			88	25.4%
50-59			90	25.8%
60 and over			44	13%
Missing			36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White			230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name			63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes			98	28.4%
No			242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point*				
Law enforcement			34	7.8%





County attorney/ juvenile court		 32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education		 65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based		 10	2.3%
Diversion	-	 55	12.6%
Probation		 31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/ guardian ad litem		 8	1.8%
DHHS or Child Welfare		 13	3.0%
Treatment provider		 40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention	ł	 8	1.8%
Community based program		 109	25.0%
Elected official or government	ł	 6	1.4%
Restorative practices	ł	 6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement		 3	0.7%
Other	ł	 16	3.7%
Voice on Team			
Feel heard		 270	78.3%
Do not feel heard		 75	21.7%

<sup>\*</sup>note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

#### References and Resources

- <sup>a</sup> **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- <sup>a</sup> **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- <sup>a</sup> **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- <sup>a</sup> **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- <sup>a</sup> **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- <sup>a</sup> **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- <sup>b</sup> School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- <sup>c</sup> **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- <sup>d</sup> Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- <sup>f</sup> **Adult and juvenile arrests:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <a href="https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx">https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</a>
  <sup>g</sup> Diversion programs
- h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:
  https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2
  Oby%20County 0.pdf
- <sup>1</sup>Child abuse and neglect: Department of Health and Human Services, Child Abuse and Neglect Annual Data Calendar Year 2018, retrieved from <a href="Child Abuse and Neglect Annual">Child Abuse and Neglect Annual</a> Data Report 2019
- <sup>j</sup> **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <a href="https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx">https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</a>
- <sup>k</sup> Distance to detention facility: Google Maps





Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- <sup>m</sup> Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- <sup>n</sup> Access to Counsel: Kids Count in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- <sup>o</sup> Diversion procedures and protocols: Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- <sup>p</sup> Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- <sup>q</sup> Collective Impact Elements: Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. Stanford Social Innovation Review.



# **Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year**

\*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped		-	
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	2	2	100%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to		<del></del>	
Juv. Court			
Total	2	2	100%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total			

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	1	1	100%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	2	2	100%

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped		-	
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total			





2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total			