EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Merrick County

Table of Contents

L

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) a	4
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b	
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^\circ$	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	6
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	7
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	8
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ^I	8
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	•
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) "	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) $^{ m m}$	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	17
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	
References and Resources	20



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: RED Descriptives	22
Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year	27



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Youth Level

- The number of total youth with chronic absenteeism has decreased in 2018-2019 from previous years.
- While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because the frequency was too low to report, Hispanic, Native American, and Black youth are over-represented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- In 2016-2017, Merrick County had overrepresentation in chronic absenteeism regarding Hispanic youth as compared to the school membership data.
- In 2016-2017, Merrick County had more youth on free/reduced lunch than the state average; more recent numbers are lower than the state average but still over 40%.
- Merrick County has a 94.9% graduation rate over the last 5 years.
- 8th and 12th graders report loss of sleep from worry at higher rates in Merrick County compared to the state; 8th graders also report being depressed at higher rates and 12th graders report they had considered/attempted suicide at higher rates.
- 12th graders report alcohol use, binge drinking, tobacco use, and vaping at higher rates in Merrick County compared to state rates.
- 10th graders also report higher rates of binge drinking and tobacco use in Merrick County compared to the state
- 12th graders report gang involvement in Merrick County at the same rate as the state.
- Arrests for all age groups increased in Merrick County between 2018 and 2019, especially with the "all other offenses" category.
 - Increases in DUIs and drug possessions are also noted.
 - An increase in juvenile "arrests" for the "all other offenses" is also seen between 2018 and 2019 (however, with such small frequencies, this increase should be taken with caution).
- NYS domains with the highest scores are Leisure/Recreation, Peer Relationships, Family Circumstances, and Personality/Behavior. There should be programs in the community to address these.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture
 of RED. Compared to the Census and school data, Native American youth are overrepresented
 at probation revocation; Asian youth are overrepresented at probation intake and successful
 probation completion; Black youth are over-represented in probation RAI underrides; Hispanic
 youth are overrepresented in all diversion points, probation RAI overrides, RAI underrides,
 probation intakes, successful probation, and probation revocation.
 - Race/ethnicity is missing at low rates in diversion, but at higher rates with being filed on in adult court and having multiple charges filed.
 - Youth listed as multiple/other is higher for RAI probation overrides and probation revocation.
- Youth are being referred to diversion and enrolling and successfully completing diversion at the same rate.

Table 1.



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) *

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Merrick	406	94.6%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Merrick	351	89.7%	6.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Merrick	975	5.33%	0.41%	0.82%	0.41%	0.10%	92.00%	0.92%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Merrick	984	4.57%	0.30%	0.81%	0.41%	0.10%	92.89%	0.91%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Merrick	998	5.61%	0.10%	0.60%	0.40%	0.20%	92.38%	0.70%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Merrick	1,043	5.75%	0.00%	0.67%	0.58%	0.10%	91.85%	1.05%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Merrick	1,065	5.54%	0.28%	0.47%	0.56%	0.09%	91.83%	1.22%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) $^{\rm b}$





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Merrick	76	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Merrick	57	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Merrick	75	<mark>14.67%</mark>	*	*	*	*	85.33%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Merrick	73	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Merrick	53	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4.

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Merrick	975	14.26%	1.64%	*	40.51%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Merrick	984	14.33%	1.32%	*	41.97%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	Merrick	998	13.43%	*	*	<mark>45.09%</mark>
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	<mark>44.76%</mark>
2017-	Merrick	1,043	15.34%	*	*	41.32%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Merrick	1,065	15.77%	*	*	44.23%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5.

Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °

County	Total in Last 5 Years		Yearly Av	verages	Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students	Rate	Rank



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Merrick	334	352	33.4	35.2	<mark>94.9%</mark>	37

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6.

Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Merrick	Loss of sleep from worry	<mark>23.4%</mark>	18.8%	<mark>29.4%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>18.0%</mark>	20.6%	<mark>21.6%</mark>
Merrick	Depressed	<mark>38.5%</mark>	23.5%	35.3%
Nebraska		<mark>31.1%</mark>	34.8%	35.3%
Merrick	Considered/Attempted suicide	17.2%	14.5%	<mark>19.6%</mark>
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	<mark>16.2%</mark>
Merrick	Current alcohol	3.1%	15.9%	<mark>39.2%</mark>
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	<mark>34.2%</mark>
Merrick	Current binge drinking	0.0%	<mark>8.7%</mark>	<mark>15.7%</mark>
Nebraska		1.3%	<mark>6.2%</mark>	<mark>15.0%</mark>
Merrick	Current marijuana	0.0%	1.4%	5.9%
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Merrick	Current tobacco	0.0%	<mark>13.0%</mark>	<mark>27.5%</mark>
Nebraska		3.7%	<mark>8.0%</mark>	<mark>15.3%</mark>
Merrick	Current vaping	7.8%	23.2%	<mark>47.1%</mark>
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	<mark>37.3%</mark>
Merrick	Hopeful for future (past week)	81.5%	76.5%	82.4%
Nebraska		72.1%	74.7%	78.4%

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis®

Table 9.

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11.

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Merrick	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	1.6%	1.4%	<mark>3.9%</mark>
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

Table 12.

Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages		Unc	ler 18
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography			MERRICI	K COUN	TY	
Arrest Offense						
Total	<mark>102</mark>	<mark>191</mark>	87.25	<mark>5</mark>	<mark>10</mark>	100.00
Rape Total	-	2	-	-	-	-
Aggravated Assault Total	2	2	0.00	-	-	-
Burglary Total	-	1	-	-	0	-
Larceny-Theft Total	5	-	-100.00	-	-	-
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	1	-	-100.00	-	-	-
Other Assaults	7	10	42.86	1	1	0.00
Fraud	-	2	-	-	-	-
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	-	3	-	-	-	-
Vandalism	-	2	-	-	-	-
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	2	4	100.00	-	-	-
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	-	1	-	-	-	-
Drug Violations - Possession	<mark>10</mark>	<mark>27</mark>	170.00	-	3	-
Driving Under the Influence	<mark>14</mark>	<mark>23</mark>	64.29	1	0	-100.00
Liquor Laws	15	11	-26.67	1	2	100.00





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	<mark>45</mark>	<mark>103</mark>	128.89	<mark>1</mark>	<mark>4</mark>	300.00
Runaways	1	-	-100.00	1	-	-100.00

Table 13.

Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

Merrick			All NYS Counties		
0	1	2	0	1	2
68.6%	20.0%	11.4%	60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
85.7%	11.4%	2.9%	43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
14.3%	65.7%	20.0%	44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
51.4%	45.7%	2.9%	61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
51.4%	22.9%	25.7%	50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
62.9%	31.4%	5.7%	50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
80.0%	17.1%	2.9%	61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
<i>M</i> = 4.2	28, SD = 3	.79, 0-15	<i>M</i> = 5.64, <i>SD</i> = 3.65, 0-17		
	68.6% 85.7% 14.3% 51.4% 51.4% 62.9% 80.0%	0168.6%20.0%85.7%11.4%14.3%65.7%51.4%45.7%51.4%22.9%62.9%31.4%80.0%17.1%	01268.6%20.0%11.4%85.7%11.4%2.9%14.3%65.7%20.0%51.4%45.7%2.9%51.4%22.9%25.7%62.9%31.4%5.7%	012068.6%20.0%11.4%60.1%85.7%11.4%2.9%43.0%14.3%65.7%20.0%44.7%51.4%45.7%2.9%61.4%51.4%22.9%25.7%50.6%62.9%31.4%5.7%50.1%80.0%17.1%2.9%61.3%	0120168.6%20.0%11.4%60.1%26.7%85.7%11.4%2.9%43.0%44.0%14.3%65.7%20.0%44.7%46.6%51.4%45.7%2.9%61.4%30.3%51.4%22.9%25.7%50.6%33.0%62.9%31.4%5.7%50.1%39.4%80.0%17.1%2.9%61.3%33.7%

Merrick County n = 39; Statewide n = 1512

Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)¹

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

See Appendix for yearly data

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	30							
Youth referred to diversion	60	0%	0%	0%	<mark>8.30%</mark>	0%	<mark>1.70%</mark>	90%
Youth enrolled in diversion	54	0%	0%	0%	<mark>7.40%</mark>	0%	<mark>1.90%</mark>	90.70%
Successful completion diversion	48	0%	0%	0%	<mark>8.30%</mark>	0%	<mark>2.10%</mark>	89.60%
Youth with multiple charges	14	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	<mark>21.40%</mark>	78.60%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Filed on in adult	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	<mark>40%</mark>	60%
court								
RAI Override: More	9	0%	0%	0%	<mark>33.30%</mark>	0%	0%	66.70%
Severe								
RAI Override: Less	4	0%	0%	<mark>25%</mark>	<mark>25%</mark>	<mark>25%</mark>	0%	25%
Severe								
Probation intake	37	0%	<mark>2.70%</mark>	2.70%	<mark>24.30%</mark>	2.70%	0%	67.60%
Successful	74	0%	<mark>1.40%</mark>	1.40%	<mark>10.80%</mark>	0%	0%	86.50%
probation								
Revocation of	40	<mark>2.50%</mark>	0%	0%	<mark>12.50%</mark>	<mark>7.50%</mark>	0%	77.50%
probation								
Youth in OJS								
custody								
OJS custody: placed								
in detention								
Youth booked into								
detention								
Youth booked into								
detention more than								
once								



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Family Level

- Merrick County has lower rates of youth in poverty compared to the state.
- Number of adults with bachelor's degrees is lower than the state average; it may be possible that
 residents who go to college outside of Merrick County find employment where they go to school
 and do not return to Merrick County.
- Youth in Merrick County have access to computers and internet at home at higher rates than the state.
- There are more house in Merrick County without vehicles compared to the state.
- All grades report feeling less supported by adults at school compared to the state.
- 73% of child abuse and neglect cases are unfounded.

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Merrick	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	11.0%	14.8%
-	Number of children 12-	160	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	27.4	28.9
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>17.4%</mark>	31.3%
	County rank	82	-
	Age 25+ with some	32.0%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County rank	2	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	94.0%	91.1%
	County Rank	23	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	97.3%	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County rank	64	-
	% under 18 with an	91.1%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County rank	49	-
	% under 18 with	90.9%	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

	County Rank	48	-
Housing	Owner-occupied	2,434	498,567
	households		
	Total households	3,324	754,063
	Owner %	73.2%	66.1%
	Renters	890	255,496
	Renter %	26.8%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no	221	40,465
	vehicle available		
	Total households	3,324	754,063
	No vehicle %	<mark>6.6%</mark>	5.4%

Table 16.

Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Merrick	Adult at home who listens	92.3%	89.7%	90.2%
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Merrick	Adult at school who listens	<mark>83.1%</mark>	<mark>81.2%</mark>	<mark>78.4%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>85.2%</mark>	<mark>85.0%</mark>	<mark>87.4%</mark>

Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Merrick	4	4	2	2
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reportsⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Merrick	104	36%	14%	<mark>73%</mark>
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Community Level

- With regard to how youth perceive how their community feels about substance use, 8th and 10th graders are reporting lower than state averages for alcohol and cigarettes, and 8thgraders report lower than the state average for cigarettes.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record
 requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be
 sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully
 complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or
 other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved
 because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older
 cases.
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Table 19. Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Merrick	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	2	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	2	1,639
Other Assaults	<mark>10</mark>	8,782

Table 20.

Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018)^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Merrick	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	96.8%	97.1%	92.2%
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Merrick	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	<mark>87.1%</mark>	<mark>75.4%</mark>	68.6%
Nebraska		<mark>89.1%</mark>	<mark>80.4%</mark>	68.7%
Merrick	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	<mark>87.1%</mark>	89.9%	80.0%
Nebraska		<mark>92.9%</mark>	89.0%	78.7%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 21. Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) $^{\rm m}$

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	39	61	<mark>63.9%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	172	388	44.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	6	7	85.7%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	0	1	0.0%
Total	217	459	47.3%

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. Some cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Policy, Legal and System Level

- Despite not being in a county required to provide counsel under statute, access to counsel is similar to the state average, but still lower than ideal.
- Curfew filings were relatively high in the previous years but have decreased in recent years. Curfew violations, if not diverted, can net-widen juveniles into the juvenile justice system.
- There are few curfew and 3A, 3B, and 3C filings in court so the community is diverting appropriately. There have been some absenteeism filings in recent years, but the number is still low.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - o Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
- Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
- Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable.
- If drug testing is needed, it should only be used on cases where the youth demonstrates a substance use issue.
- It is not clear why all first-time offenders are not referred to diversion but perhaps this is due to the ineligible offenses.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Merrick	Nebraska		
Access to Counsel	<mark>60.0% 79.9%</mark>	73.5%		

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019)^m





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

	Merrick	Nebraska		
Curfew Court Filing	<mark>14</mark>	352		

2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
0	7	6	1	0	14

Table 24.

Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases $(2015 - 2019)^{m}$

		Merrick							
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total			
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0			
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	1	3	3	0	7			
3B - Uncontrollable	1	0	0	0	0	1			
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	1	0	0	0	0	1			

		Nebraska							
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total			
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7			
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997			
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491			
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306			

Table 25.

County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °

	Merrick	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	No	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Sometimes	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Yes	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Charges/offenses that make a	Yes; felonies, DUI, sexual assault,	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion	assault, other violent or dangerous	No: 9.1%
	offenses.	Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	No	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	Yes	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; depends on the offense and	Yes: 86.4%
-	if online courses are required	No: 13.6%
		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	Yes; we assign additional	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge	consequences if they are not	No: 25.0%
	compliant. If they fail to complete those or are further non-compliant, we then terminate the contract.	Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Yes; All juveniles under the age of	Yes: 59.1%
	18 at the time of offense get their	No: 22.7%
	record sealed. We deal if on our end and then send a letter to the citing agency.	Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 20.0% (2 responses).
- The rates of collective impact went up for all elements from the 2019 survey, however this may not be representative of the team with such a small number of responses.
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.)
- Although the low response rate may affect the results of community team diversity, there could be more representation from other system points not currently represented.

Table 26. Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	Central	Nebraska	Nebraska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020	
Number of surveys sent	9	10	1407	780	
Number of completed surveys	5	2	221	345	
Response rate	55.6%	<mark>20.0%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%	

Table 27.

Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p

	Central Neb	oraska	Nebraska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020	
	Mean Sc	ore	Mean Score		
Common agenda	4.55	6.00	5.29	5.69	
Mutually reinforcing	5.09	5.50	5.37	5.50	
Shared measurement	4.80	5.00	5.21	5.45	
Continuous communication	4.89	5.00	5.49	5.55	
Backbone agency	5.38	6.00	5.52	5.78	

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- Backbone support: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations^q

Table 28. Community Planning Team Diversity ^p

	Central N	ebraska	Neb	raska
	N = 2	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender		, <i>í</i>		, <i>č</i>
Male	1	50.0%	101	29.3%
Female	1	50.0%	229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39			68	19.6%
40-49	1	50.0%	88	25.4%
50-59	1	50.0%	90	25.8%
60 and over			44	13%
Missing			36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	2	100%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name			63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes			98	28.4%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

No	2	100%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point *				
Law enforcement	<mark></mark>		34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	1	50.0%	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	1	50.0%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based			10	2.3%
Diversion	<mark></mark>		55	12.6%
Probation			31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare	<mark></mark>		13	3.0%
Treatment provider	<mark></mark>		40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention	<mark></mark>		8	1.8%
Community based program	<mark></mark>		109	25.0%
Elected official or government	<mark></mark>		6	1.4%
Restorative practices	<mark></mark>		6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	<mark></mark>		3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	2	100%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard			75	21.7%

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

References and Resources

^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education

[°] **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020

^d **Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use:** Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: <u>https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data</u>

^e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services

^f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> ^g Diversion programs

 ^h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: <u>https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2</u>
 <u>0by%20County 0.pdf</u>
 ¹ Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> ^k **Distance to detention facility:** Google Maps

¹**Racial and ethnic disparities:** Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ Access to Counsel: Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: <u>www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount</u>. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

° **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: RED Descriptives

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	0							
Youth referred to diversion	14	0%	0%	0%	14.30%	0%	0%	85.70%
Youth enrolled in diversion	14	0%	0%	0%	14.30%	0%	0%	85.70%
Successful completion diversion	12	0%	0%	0%	16.70%	0%	0%	83.30%
Youth with multiple charges	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
Filed on in adult court	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: More Severe	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	11	0%	0%	9.10%	27.30%	0%	0%	63.60%
Successful probation	16	0%	0%	0%	12.50%	0%	0%	87.50%
Revocation of probation	6	0%	0%	0%	16.70%	0%	0%	83.30%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	4							
Youth referred to diversion	13	0%	0%	0%	7.70%	0%	0%	92.30%
Youth enrolled in diversion	8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful completion diversion	8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: More Severe	2	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	7	0%	0%	0%	42.90%	0%	0%	57.10%
Successful probation	15	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Revocation of probation	7	0%	0%	0%	14.30%	0%	0%	85.70%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	11							
Youth referred to diversion	18	0%	0%	0%	5.60%	0%	5.60%	88.90%
Youth enrolled in diversion	17	0%	0%	0%	5.90%	0%	5.90%	88.20%
Successful completion diversion	14	0%	0%	0%	7.10%	0%	7.10%	85.70%
Youth with multiple charges	4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
RAI Override: More Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	9	0%	0%	0%	22.20%	0%	0%	77.80%
Successful probation	16	0%	0%	6.20%	6.20%	0%	0%	87.50%
Revocation of probation	10	0%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	80%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	5							
Youth referred to diversion	8	0%	0%	0%	12.50%	0%	0%	87.50%
Youth enrolled in diversion	8	0%	0%	0%	12.50%	0%	0%	87.50%
Successful completion diversion	7	0%	0%	0%	14.30%	0%	0%	85.70%
Youth with multiple charges	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: More Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Probation intake	4	0%	25%	0%	0%	25%	0%	50%
Successful probation	22	0%	0%	0%	18.20%	0%	0%	81.80%
Revocation of probation	11	9.10%	0%	0%	9.10%	0%	0%	81.80%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	10							
Youth referred to diversion	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth enrolled in diversion	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful completion diversion	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%	25%
Filed on in adult court	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
RAI Override: More Severe	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	6	0%	0%	0%	16.70%	0%	0%	83.30%
Successful probation	5	0%	20%	0%	20%	0%	0%	60%
Revocation of probation	6	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	50%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. Some cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	8	37.5%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	37	65	56.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	41	74	55.4%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	8	17	47.1%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	53	113	46.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	4	4	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	65	134	48.5%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	9	9	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	66	117	56.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	76	127	59.8%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	12	15	80.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	11	49	22.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	0	1	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	23	65	35.4%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	7	11	63.6%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	5	43	11.6%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	0	1	0.0%
Total	12	57	21.1%