



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nemaha County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018)	3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b	5
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	6
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	7
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ⁱ	8
Family Level	9
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	9
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	10
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h	10
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	10
Community Level	11
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	11
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	11
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	12
Policy, Legal and System Level	13
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	13
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) ^o	14
Community Team Level	16
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	16
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	16
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	17
References and Resources	19



UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA

JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE

EVIDENCE-BASED
NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year21



Youth Level

- While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because the frequency was too low to report, Hispanic, Native American, and Black youth are over-represented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- 504 plans are higher than the statewide averages
- Loss of sleep and suicidal ideation are higher than the state average for 10th and 12th graders. But youth are more hopeful to the state comparison.
- Alcohol use is lower than the state, but marijuana use is higher for 12th graders. Vaping is also not an issue.
- This community has not participated in the NRPFS since 2016 and should consider participating in the next survey year (2020) to get more up-to-date youth-level data on mental health and gangs.
- With so few juvenile arrests, we cannot see a pattern in juvenile crime.
- We did not have risk and needs assessment data for diversion youth, but once the statewide tool is implemented, we will be able to see where the higher risk/needs are for the community.
- Law enforcement data would be very beneficial have for a clearer RED picture.
- Although frequency of case low, Black youth are disproportionately represented in probation intakes and RAI overrides to more severe placements.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Nemaha	358	93.6%	1.7%	2.2%	1.4%	0.6%	0.6%

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Nemaha	313	88.8%	5.4%	0.0%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 2.

School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	Nemaha	1,170	2.48%	0.43%	0.17%	0.85%	0.00%	94.87%	1.20%
	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-2016	Nemaha	1,191	2.27%	0.34%	0.17%	0.92%	0.00%	94.88%	1.43%
	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-2017	Nemaha	1,207	2.82%	0.41%	0.25%	0.83%	0.00%	94.03%	1.66%
	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-2018	Nemaha	1,234	2.35%	0.24%	0.08%	0.81%	0.00%	94.98%	1.54%
	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-2019	Nemaha	1,292	3.33%	0.23%	0.08%	0.70%	0.00%	94.27%	1.39%
	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	Nemaha	105	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-2016	Nemaha	101	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-2017	Nemaha	91	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-2018	Nemaha	94	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-2019	Nemaha	145	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 4.

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-2015	Nemaha	1,170	12.22%	*	*	37.26%
	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-2016	Nemaha	1,191	10.58%	*	*	34.76%
	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-2017	Nemaha	1,207	11.43%	0.91%	*	35.71%
	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-2018	Nemaha	1,234	14.10%	1.46%	*	36.87%
	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-2019	Nemaha	1,292	14.78%	2.40%	*	36.53%
	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5.

Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c

County	Total in Last 5 Years		Yearly Averages		Graduation Rate	Rank
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students		
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Nemaha	363	389	36.3	38.9	93.3%	58

Table 6.

Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2016) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Nemaha	Loss of sleep from worry	18.8%	28.6%	22.2%
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Nemaha	Depressed	30.4%	31.0%	29.6%
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Nemaha	Considered/Attempted suicide	20.3%	21.4%	16.7%
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Nemaha	Current alcohol	0.0%	14.3%	24.1%
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Nemaha	Current binge drinking	0.0%	11.9%	14.8%
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Nemaha	Current marijuana	1.4%	2.4%	20.4%
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Nemaha	Current tobacco	2.9%	7.1%	11.1%
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nemaha	Current vaping	1.4%	2.4%	11.1%
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Nemaha	Hopeful for future (past week)	81.2%	76.2%	87.0%
Nebraska		72.1%	74.7%	78.4%

****JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data**

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis ^e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11.

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2016) ^d

		8th	10th	12th
Nemaha	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	1.4%	4.8%	0.0%
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

Table 12.

Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age	All Arrestee Ages			Under 18		
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography	NEMAHA COUNTY					
Arrest Offense						
Total	233	198	-15.02	10	19	90.00
Rape Total	-	1	-	-	-	-



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Robbery Total	-	1	-	-	-	-
Aggravated Assault Total	-	2	-	-	1	-
Burglary Total	1	-	-100.00	-	-	-
Larceny-Theft Total	2	1	-50.00	-	-	-
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	2	-	-100.00	-	-	-
Other Assaults	21	25	19.05	3	2	-33.33
Fraud	4	5	25.00	-	2	-
Embezzlement	1	-	-100.00	-	-	-
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	1	1	0.00	1	-	-100.00
Vandalism	3	8	166.67	-	5	-
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	-	1	-	-	1	-
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	1	-	-100.00	-		-
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	-	2	-	-	-	-
Drug Violations - Possession	46	29	-36.96	2	2	0.00
Driving Under the Influence	30	26	-13.33	0	1	-
Liquor Laws	54	31	-42.59	3	4	33.33
Disorderly Conduct	2	3	50.00	-	-	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	65	62	-4.62	1	1	0.00

Table 13a.

Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

Score	Nemaha			All NYS Counties		
	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting	--	--	--	60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment	--	--	--	43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships	--	--	--	44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use	--	--	--	61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation	--	--	--	50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior	--	--	--	50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation	--	--	--	61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M = --, SD = --, --			M = 5.64, SD = 3.65, 0-17		

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 14.
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ¹

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	61*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	34	0%	0%	0%	0%	2.90%	0%	97.10%
Youth enrolled in diversion	31	0%	0%	0%	0%	3.20%	0%	96.80%
Successful completion diversion	30	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Filed on in adult court	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%
RAI Override: More Severe	2	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	12	0%	0%	8.30%	0%	33.30%	0%	58.30%
Successful probation	22	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50%	0%	95.50%
Revocation of probation	23	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

* Auburn PD (closed) did not report to the NCC in 2015 - 2018



Family Level

- Poverty/SES and access to resources does not appear to be an issue in this community
- 8th, 10th and 12th graders report not having a supportive adult at home, as compared to the state data.
- 10th graders report not having a supportive adult at school, as compared to the state data.

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Nemaha	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	13.8%	14.8%
	Number of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	84	43,814
	Percent of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	15.5%	28.9%
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	28.1%	31.3%
	County rank	10	-
	Age 25+ with some college, no degree	23.8%	23.0%
	County rank	52	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	93.0%	91.1%
	County Rank	34	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a computer at home	99.9%	96.9%
	County rank	19	-
	% under 18 with an internet subscription at home	96.0%	91.0%
	County rank	17	-
	% under 18 with broadband internet access at home	96.0%	90.8%
	County Rank	14	-



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Housing	Owner-occupied households	2,073	498,567
	Total households	2,877	754,063
	Owner %	72.1%	66.1%
	Renters	804	255,496
	Renter %	27.9%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	103	40,465
	Total households	2,877	754,063
	No vehicle %	3.6%	5.4%

Table 16.
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2016) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Nemaha	Adult at home who listens	83.8%	71.4%	83.3%
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Nemaha	Adult at school who listens	91.3%	83.3%	87.0%
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

Table 17.
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Nemaha	2	2	8	6
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18.
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Nemaha	134	34%	11%	80%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



Community Level

- Violent crime does not appear to be an issue, except other assaults.
- Youth report that they think their neighborhood finds marijuana or alcohol to be wrong or very wrong, similar to the state comparisons.
- Juvenile record sealing is not “automatic” even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment).
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis.

Table 19.

Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Nemaha	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	1	264
Robbery	1	367
Aggravated Assault	2	1,639
Other Assaults	25	8,782

Table 20.

Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2016) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Nemaha	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	97.0%	90.2%	85.2%
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Nemaha	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	91.0%	68.3%	68.5%
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Nemaha	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	92.5%	92.5%	77.8%
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 21.

Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	9	23	39.1%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	61	121	50.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	7	9	77.8%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	77	153	50.3%

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; notably, access to counsel is extremely low in this community.
- There are truancy/absenteeism cases being filed. These types of offenses should go through diversion where possible.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable. With a higher proportion of children <18 in poverty, perhaps offering scholarships.
 - Having a process for sealing records for youth on diversion with law enforcement and JCMS, as required by statute.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Nemaha	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	0.0% -- 19.9%	73.5%

Neb. Rev. 43-272. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23.

Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

	Nemaha	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352

Table 24.

Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Nemaha					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	1	0	1	3	5
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	1	0	0	1
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	1	1

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25.

County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) ^o

	Nemaha	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first time offenders to diversion	Not sure	Yes: 27.3% No: 63.6% Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the time of the referral to diversion	No	Yes: 18.2% No: 70.5% Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are unsuccessful on diversion	Always	Always: 47.7% Sometimes: 47.7% Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete diversion more than once	Yes	Yes: 61.4% No: 34.1% Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a juvenile ineligible for diversion	Not sure	Yes: 86.4% No: 9.1% Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of intervention	Not sure	Yes: 27.3% No: 61.4% Not sure: 11.4%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8% No: 65.9% Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$150	Yes: 86.4% No: 13.6% Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior to discharge	Not sure; students are given every chance to complete the program. last resort is to return them to the court system.	Yes: 47.7% No: 25.0% Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	No	Yes: 59.1% No: 22.7% Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues.
- The response rate for the collective impact survey decreased from 2019 to 2020, but some of the measures indicate an improvement in the measures of collective impact (with the exception of constant communication).
- The community team should be representative of the community; however, there should be representation from groups that are over-represented in the juvenile justice system (i.e., Black youth).
- Although the low response rate may affect the results of community team diversity, there could be more representation from other system points not currently represented.

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^P

Year of survey	Four County		Nebraska	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	35	45	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	17	8	221	345
Response rate	48.6%	17.8%	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^P

Year of survey	Four County		Nebraska	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean Score	
Common agenda	5.61	5.86	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	5.41	5.71	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	5.35	5.71	5.21	5.45
Continuous communication	5.64	5.57	5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	5.27	5.86	5.52	5.78



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support:** Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.

Community Planning Team Diversity ^p

	Four County		Nebraska	
	N = 8	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	5	62.5%	101	29.3%
Female	3	37.5%	229	66.4%
Missing	--	--	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30	1	12.5%	19	5.6%
30-39	2	25.0%	68	19.6%
40-49	1	12.5%	88	25.4%
50-59	2	25.0%	90	25.8%
60 and over	1	12.5%	44	13%
Missing	1	12.5%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	6	75.0%	230	66.7%
Black	--	--	10	2.9%
Hispanic	--	--	13	3.8%
Native American	--	--	6	1.7%
Asian	--	--	1	0.3%
Other	--	--	2	0.6%
Provided town name	1	12.5%	63	18.3%
Missing	--	--	19	5.5%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Previous System Involvement				
Yes	2	25.0%	98	28.4%
No	6	75.0%	242	70.1%
Missing	--	--	5	1.4%
System Point *				
Law enforcement	--	--	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	3	33.3%	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	3	33.3%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	--	--	10	2.3%
Diversion	1	11.1%	55	12.6%
Probation	1	11.1%	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/ guardian ad litem	--	--	8	1.8%
DHHS or Child Welfare	--	--	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	--	--	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention	--	--	8	1.8%
Community based program	--	--	109	25.0%
Elected official or government	--	--	6	1.4%
Restorative practices	--	--	6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	--	--	3	0.7%
Other	1	11.1%	16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	6	75.0%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	2	25.0%	75	21.7%

Johnson $n = 4$, Nemaha $n = 4$, Pawnee $n = 4$, Richardson $n = 5$. (Three people names more than one county they represent).

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%



References and Resources

- ^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b **School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch:** Prepared by
Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d **Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use:** Bureau of
Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey:
<https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data>
- ^e **Referral to and utilization of services:** Department of Health and Human Services
- ^f **Adult and juvenile arrests:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>
- ^g Diversion programs
- ^h **Domestic violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:
https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County_0.pdf
- ⁱ Child abuse and neglect
- ^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>
- ^k **Distance to detention facility:** Google Maps
- ^l **Racial and ethnic disparities:** Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided
by:



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:

<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System

Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^m **Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing:** Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.

**Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year**

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	10	30.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	8	27	29.6%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	3	4	75.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	14	41	34.1%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	2	8	25.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	32	38	64.2%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	36	48	75.0%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	0	1	0.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	6	15	40.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	--	--	--
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	6	16	37.5%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	1	1	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	9	10	90.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	12	13	92.3%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	3	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	6	31	19.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	0	1	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	9	35	25.7%