



Pierce County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) a	3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b	5
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^{\circ}$	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	6
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	7
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	7
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)	8
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportatio year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	n (5- 10
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) d	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means h	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	17
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	18
References and Resources	20



Appendix: Sealed Records by Year





Youth Level

- While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community for most years because the frequency was too low to report, Hispanic, Native American, and Black youth are over-represented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
 - Hispanic youth in Pierce County were overrepresented in chronic absenteeism in 2017-2018.
- Pierce County has over 96% graduation rate the last 5 years.
- 8th graders report loss of sleep and feeling depressed at higher rates than the state.
- 8th and 10th graders report higher rates of binge drinking than the state
- 8th graders report higher rates of marijuana use than the state.
- All three grades report higher levels of tobacco use and vaping compared to the state; notably almost half of 12th graders report vaping.
- 8th graders report feeling hopeful for the future at a lower rate than the state.
- 8th and 10th graders report gang involvement at rates higher than the state.
- Overall arrests have gone down from 2018-2019.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- There does not appear to be Racial and Ethnic Disparities in juvenile justice system points as compared to the population because all of the youth were White. Without law enforcement data, however, we cannot discern whether cases are funneling through the system at the rate each racial/ethnic group represents the population. Census and school data indicate there is a Hispanic/Latino population so the team should ensure there is not RED in juvenile justice system points.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Blac k	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
	108,49	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Nebraska	4						
Pierce	419	98.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%





Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific	2+ Races
	102,658						
Nebraska	·	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Pierce	397	91.4%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	2.8%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Pierce	1,212	2.48%	0.33%	0.41%	0.50%	0.00%	95.63%	0.66%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Pierce	1,196	2.34%	0.17%	1.34%	0.33%	0.00%	94.90%	0.92%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Pierce	1,157	2.59%	0.26%	0.69%	0.86%	0.00%	94.38%	1.21%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Pierce	1,164	3.61%	0.34%	1.29%	0.95%	0.00%	92.35%	1.46%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Pierce	1,173	3.24%	0.34%	0.94%	0.68%	0.00%	93.35%	1.45%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3. Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	•	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific	White	Two or More Races
							islander		

2014-	Pierce	46	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Pierce	35	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Pierce	41	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Pierce	84	<mark>15.48%</mark>	*	*	*	*	84.52%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	<mark>26.81%</mark>	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Pierce	57	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Pierce	1,212	16.25%	1.82%	*	28.22%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Pierce	1,196	16.22%	1.34%	*	27.59%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	Pierce	1,157	14.61%	*	*	30.94%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	Pierce	1,164	15.81%	*	*	32.73%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Pierce	1,173	15.52%	*	*	32.74%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^{\circ}$

County	Total in Las	st 5 Years	Yearly Av	verages	Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	ents Graduates Students		Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Pierce	481	499	32.1	33.3	<mark>96.4%</mark>	25

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Pierce	Loss of sleep from worry	<mark>20.6%</mark>	11.1%	11.1%
Nebraska		<mark>18.0%</mark>	20.6%	21.6%
Pierce	Depressed	<mark>35.3%</mark>	20.6%	12.7%
Nebraska		<mark>31.1%</mark>	34.8%	35.3%
Pierce	Considered/Attempted suicide	16.4%	11.1%	12.7%
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Pierce	Current alcohol	15.9%	22.7%	37.1%
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Pierce	Current binge drinking	<mark>2.9%</mark>	<mark>9.1%</mark>	12.7%
Nebraska		<mark>1.3%</mark>	<mark>6.2%</mark>	15.0%
Pierce	Current marijuana	<mark>4.3%</mark>	6.3%	8.1%
Nebraska		<mark>3.0%</mark>	7.3%	13.9%
Pierce	Current tobacco	<mark>10.0%</mark>	<mark>14.1%</mark>	<mark>23.8%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>3.7%</mark>	<mark>8.0%</mark>	<mark>15.3%</mark>
Pierce	Current vaping	13.0%	<mark>33.3%</mark>	<mark>49.2%</mark>
Nebraska		10.4%	<mark>24.7%</mark>	<mark>37.3%</mark>
Pierce	Hopeful for future (past week)	<mark>69.0%</mark>	76.6%	85.7%
Nebraska		<mark>72.1%</mark>	74.7%	78.4%

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.



Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Pierce	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	<mark>4.3%</mark>	<mark>7.6%</mark>	0.0%
Nebraska		<mark>3.8%</mark>	<mark>4.4%</mark>	3.8%

Table 12.
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages		Und	der 18		
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019	2018	2019	2018 - 2019		
			Growth %			Growth %		
Jurisdiction by Geography	PIERCE COUNTY							
Arrest Offense								
Total	<mark>30</mark>	11	-63.33	3	1	-66.67		
Other Assaults	3	1	-66.67	0	1	-		
Arson	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-		
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-		
Drug Violations - Possession	9	5	-44.44	0	0	-		
Driving Under the Influence	4	2	-50.00	0	0	-		
Liquor Laws	5	3	-40.00	0	0	-		
Disorderly Conduct	4	-	-100.00	0	-	-		
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	30	11	-63.33	3	1	-66.67		
Curfew and Loitering Law Violations	3	-	-100.00	3	-	-100.00		

Table 13. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

		Pierce		All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting				60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment				43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships				44.7%	46.6%	8.6%





Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M =, SD =,			M = 5.6	4, SD = 3.	65, 0-17

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed

Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody					1	-		
Youth issued citation/referral	14*							
Youth referred to diversion								
Youth enrolled in diversion					1	1		
Successful completion diversion								
Youth with multiple charges	4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: More Severe	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful probation	40	0%	2.50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	97.50%
Revocation of probation	6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%





Youth in OJS custody	 	 	 	
OJS custody: placed in detention	 	 	 	
Youth booked into detention	 	 	 	
Youth booked into detention more than once	 	 	 	

^{*}Pierce County sheriff did not report to the NCC in 218; Pierce PD did not report to NCC 2015 – 2018



Family Level

- Number of adults with bachelor's degrees is lower than the state average; it may be possible that
 residents who go to college outside of Pierce County find employment where they go to school
 and do not return to Pierce County.
- A large percentage of students have access to internet at home.
- 8th and 10th graders report feeling supported by adults at home at lower rates than the state.

Table 15.
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Pierce	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	7.7%	14.8%
-	Number of children 12-	158	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	25.8%	28.9%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>22.3%</mark>	31.3%
	County rank	36	-
	Age 25+ with some	18.6%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County rank	89	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	94.8%	91.1%
	County Rank	17	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	98.4%	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County rank	47	-
	% under 18 with an	92.7%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County rank	35	-
	% under 18 with	92.6%	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	32	-





Housing	Owner-occupied	2,303	498,567
	households		
	Total households	3,026	754,063
	Owner %	76.1%	66.1%
	Renters	723	255,496
	Renter %	23.9%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no	83	40,465
	vehicle available		
	Total households	3,026	754,063
	No vehicle %	2.7%	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Pierce	Adult at home who listens	<mark>80.9%</mark>	<mark>81.8%</mark>	91.9%
Nebraska		<mark>87.3%</mark>	<mark>85.0%</mark>	85.6%
Pierce	Adult at school who listens	91.3%	90.9%	93.7%
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
		Means		
Pierce	2	2	1	1
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Pierce	81	44%	11%	61%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%

Community Level

- With regard to how the youth perceive the community attitudes on substance use, 8th graders and 12th graders have lower rates than the state for alcohol and cigarette use; 8th graders also have lower rates for marijuana use.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment).
- There are high rates of missing race/ethnicity data at the trial court (JUSTICE) and older diversion data. Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis.

Table 19.
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Pierce	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	0	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	0	1,639
Other Assaults	1	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Pierce	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	<mark>89.7%</mark>	97.0%	90.5%
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Pierce	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	<mark>77.9%</mark>	84.6%	<mark>61.9%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>89.1%</mark>	80.4%	<mark>68.7%</mark>
Pierce	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	<mark>86.8%</mark>	98.5%	<mark>76.2%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>92.9%</mark>	89.0%	<mark>78.7%</mark>





Table 21.

Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	7	8	<mark>87.5%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	55	154	35.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	16	22	72.7%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	78	184	42.4%

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; notably, access to counsel is lower than the state average in this community.
- There are few 3A, 3B uncontrollable, and 3C filings in court so the community is diverting appropriately
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - o Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable. With a higher proportion of children <18 in poverty, perhaps offering scholarships
 - o If drug testing is needed, it should only be used on cases where the youth demonstrates a substance use issue.

Table 22. Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Pierce	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	<mark>40.0% 59.9%</mark>	73.5%

Neb. Rev. 43-272. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section $\underline{43-247}$, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Pierce	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352





Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) $^{\rm m}$

		Pierce					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total	
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	1	0	0	1	
3B - Uncontrollable	0	1	0	0	2	3	
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0	

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$

	Pierce	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	Yes	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	<mark>Always</mark>	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Yes	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Yes; Homicide, 1st Degree Sexual	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion	Assault, Armed Robbery	No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	<mark>No</mark>	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	Yes	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%





		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$75	Yes: 86.4%
		No: 13.6%
		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	Yes; may include extending	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge	another month or additional	No: 25.0%
	activities, depending on the need	Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Yes; The Diversion assistant notifies the arresting agency that the juvenile has completed the diversion program. If the Juvenile	Yes: 59.1% No: 22.7% Not sure: 18.2%
	completes the diversion program then the letter is sent and record sealed.	

^{*}responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 37.0%.
- The rates of collective impact went up for three elements, but down for two. The community team should look at shared measurement and continuous communication amongst the team.
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.)
- The Northeast Team has good representation of previous system involvement.
- There were no probation, defense counsel, DHHS, ministry/faith based, or detention system point mentioned on your team; it could be that your team does include members at these system points but did not respond to the survey.
- 3/4 of the team felt heard by the group, but that may not be representative due to low response rate.

Table 26. Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	Northeast Team		Nebr	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	29	46	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	5	17	221	345
Response rate	17.2%	<mark>37.0%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores P

	Northeast ⁷	Team	Nebra	aska				
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020				
	Mean Score		Mean Score		Mean Score		Mean	Score
Common agenda	5.14	5.65	5.29	5.69				
Mutually reinforcing	5.25	5.29	5.37	5.50				
Shared measurement	<mark>5.18</mark>	<mark>4.94</mark>	5.21	5.45				
Continuous communication	<mark>5.50</mark>	<mark>5.41</mark>	5.49	5.55				
Backbone agency	5.25	5.35	5.52	5.78				





The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support**: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity P

	Northeast Team		Neb	raska
	N = 17	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	8	47.1%	101	29.3%
Female	8	47.1%	229	66.4%
Missing	1	5.9%	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39	6	35.3%	68	19.6%
40-49	6	35.3%	88	25.4%
50-59	2	11.8%	90	25.8%
60 and over	2	11.8%	44	13%
Missing	1	5.9%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	15	88.2%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian	1	5.9%	1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%





Provided town name	1	5.9%	63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement	_	11.50/		22.404
Yes	7	41.2%	98	28.4%
No	10	58.8%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point *				
Law enforcement	4	19.0%	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	5	23.8%	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	7	33.3%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based			10	2.3%
Diversion	2	9.5%	55	12.6%
Probation			31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare			13	3.0%
Treatment provider	1	4.8%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program	1	4.8%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Other	1	4.8%	16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	13	76.5%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	4	23.5%	75	21.7%
Do not roof floard	-	20.070	, 0	21.770

Boone n = 1, Burt n = 1, Cuming n = 1, Madison n = 10, Stanton n = 1, Wayne n = 1 (one person named more than one county they represent)

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

- ^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- ^f **Adult and juvenile arrests:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 ^g Diversion programs
- h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:
 https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2
 Oby%20County 0.pdf

¹Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps

¹Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:





Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System
Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- ^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- ° **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^q Collective Impact Elements: Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.



Appendix: Sealed Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	1	1	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	29	57	50.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	8	25.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	32	66	48.5%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	2	3	66.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	17	32	53.1%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	4	4	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	23	39	59.0%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped		-	
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		-	
Filed in Juv. Court	1	34	2.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	10	10	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	11	44	25.0%





2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	2	2	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	5	10	50.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	7	12	58.3%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	2	2	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	3	21	14.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	5	23	21.7%