



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Red Willow County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	6
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	8
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ⁱ	9
Family Level	11
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	11
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	12
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) ^h	12
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) ⁱ	12
Community Level	13
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	13
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	13
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
Policy, Legal and System Level	15
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	15
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	16
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	16
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) ^o	16
Community Team Level	18
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	18
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	18
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	19
References and Resources	21
Appendix: RED Descriptives	23



UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA

JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE

EVIDENCE-BASED
NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

28



Youth Level

- Hispanic youth are over-represented in chronic absenteeism compared to the county population and school population. Other race/ethnicities are too small to include.
- Graduation rates are higher than the state average and the county ranks 30 out of 93.
- This community has not participated in the NRPFS and should consider participating in the next survey year (2021) to get youth-level data on mental health, gangs, supportive adults and community perceptions of substance use.
- Crime overall is down generally from 2018 to 2019, juvenile crime has remained relatively stable. Larceny-theft and curfew/loitering decreased for juveniles; and other assaults and runaway increased.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- A much greater number of youth are put on probation (223) than referred to diversion (43). Best practices is to divert more youth than put youth on probation.
- Hispanic youth are under-represented in diversion referrals as compared to their representation in the population. Unfortunately, we do not have law enforcement data by race/ethnicity to assess whether this is proportional to the rate of law enforcement stops. Hispanic youth are over-represented in RAI overrides (both more severe and less severe) and being on probation.
- Black and Hispanic youth are over-represented in probation revocation, as compared to their representation in the population.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area Name	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Red Willow	727	86.2%	6.6%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Females

Geographic Area Name	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Red Willow	512	80.3%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.8%

[Click here to go back to RED analysis](#)

Table 2.

School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	Red Willow	1731	6.99%	0.23%	0.35%	0.40%	0.00%	91.05%	0.98%
	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-2016	Red Willow	1711	6.43%	0.29%	0.47%	0.47%	0.00%	91.35%	0.99%
	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-2017	Red Willow	1714	6.59%	0.35%	0.53%	0.53%	0.00%	90.96%	1.05%
	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-2018	Red Willow	1734	7.61%	0.35%	0.17%	0.40%	0.00%	90.31%	1.15%
	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-2019	Red Willow	1731	7.05%	0.40%	0.29%	0.52%	0.00%	90.29%	1.44%
	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	Red Willow	253	16.60%	*	*	*	*	83.40%	*
	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-2016	Red Willow	190	13.16%	*	*	*	*	86.84%	*
	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
	Red Willow	188	13.83%	*	*	*	*	86.17%	*



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2016-2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-2018	Red Willow	220	14.09%	*	*	*	*	85.91%	*
	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-2019	Red Willow	221	11.76%	*	*	*	*	88.24%	*
	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4.

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-2015	Red Willow	1731	13.40%	*	1.50%	45.64%
	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-2016	Red Willow	1711	11.86%	*	1.40%	43.89%
	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-2017	Red Willow	1714	12.02%	*	1.40%	40.37%
	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-2018	Red Willow	1734	12.98%	*	1.73%	42.73%
	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-2019	Red Willow	1731	13.75%	*	2.43%	43.91%
	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5.

Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c

County	Total in Last 5 Years		Yearly Averages		Graduation Rate	Rank
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students		
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	n/a
Red Willow	701	729	70.1	72.9	96.2%	30

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6.



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Red Willow	Loss of sleep from worry	--	--	--
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Red Willow	Depressed	--	--	--
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Red Willow	Considered/Attempted suicide	--	--	--
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Red Willow	Current alcohol	--	--	--
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Red Willow	Current binge drinking	--	--	--
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Red Willow	Current marijuana	--	--	--
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Red Willow	Current tobacco	--	--	--
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Red Willow	Current vaping	--	--	--
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Red Willow	Hopeful for future (past week)	--	--	--
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

*Red Willow County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

****JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data**

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis ^e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11.

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
--	--	-----------------	------------------	------------------



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Red Willow	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	--	--	--
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

*Red Willow County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

Table 12.

Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age	All Arrestee Ages			Under 18		
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography	RED WILLOW COUNTY					
Arrest Offense						
Total	501	493	-1.60	65	68	4.62
Murder and Nonnegligent Manslaughter	-	1	-	-	-	-
Rape Total	1	1	0.00	-	-	-
Aggravated Assault Total	15	5	-66.67	-	-	-
Burglary Total	4	12	200.00	-	2	-
Larceny-Theft Total	41	62	51.22	8	4	-50.00
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	5	4	-20.00	2	1	-50.00
Other Assaults	46	52	13.04	6	14	133.33
Forgery and Counterfeiting	6	5	-16.67	-	-	-
Fraud	17	3	-82.35	1	1	0.00
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	1	2	100.00	-	0	-
Vandalism	11	18	63.64	2	6	200.00
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	4	5	25.00	-	0	-
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	2	2	0.00	-	0	-
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	1	5	400.00	0	1	-
Drug Violations - Possession	71	105	47.89	7	8	14.29
Offenses Against Family and Children	6	7	16.67	1	-	-100.00
Driving Under the Influence	41	30	-26.83	2	0	-100.00
Liquor Laws	49	20	-59.18	5	4	-20.00
Disorderly Conduct	20	17	-15.00	1	1	0.00
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	147	124	-15.65	17	13	-23.53



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Curfew and Loitering Law Violations	7	4	-42.86	7	4	-42.86
Runaways	6	9	50.00	6	9	50.00

Table 13.

Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ⁹

	Red Willow			All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting	--	--	--	60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment	--	--	--	43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships	--	--	--	44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use	--	--	--	61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation	--	--	--	50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior	--	--	--	50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation	--	--	--	61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	<i>M</i> = --, <i>SD</i> = --, --			<i>M</i> = 5.64, <i>SD</i> = 3.65, 0-17		

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed



Table 14.
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ¹

[Click here to see Census and School Population Data](#)

See [Appendix for yearly data](#)

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	331	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	43	2.30%	0%	4.70%	2.30%	0%	0%	90.70%
Youth enrolled in diversion	43	2.30%	0%	4.70%	2.30%	0%	0%	90.70%
Successful completion diversion	38	2.60%	0%	5.30%	2.60%	0%	0%	89.50%
Youth with multiple charges	15	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6.70%	93.30%
Filed on in adult court	30	0%	0%	0%	16.70%	0%	33.30%	50%
RAI Override: More Severe	5	0%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	80%
RAI Override: Less Severe	2	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%
Probation intake	24	0%	0%	0%	16.70%	0%	0%	83.30%
Successful probation	184	0%	0%	0.50%	12.50%	1.60%	0%	85.30%
Revocation of probation	39	0%	0%	5.10%	17.90%	0%	0%	76.90%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



Family Level

- Poverty and other measures related to socioeconomic status and poverty do not appear to be an issue in this county, as compared to the state averages. Fewer residents aged 25 and older have a bachelor's degree, but this is not uncommon in rural areas.
- Simple domestic assault could be an issue in this county as the numbers look a little higher for a small county. Child abuse reports are unfounded at a higher rate than the state.

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Red Willow	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	15.1%	14.8%
	Number of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	279	43,814
	Percent of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	30.1%	28.9%
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	18.8%	31.3%
	County rank	68	-
	Age 25+ with some college, no degree	29.5%	23.0%
	County rank	10	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	92.1%	91.1%
	County Rank	53	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a computer at home	100.0%	96.9%
	County rank	1	-
	% under 18 with an internet subscription at home	87.7%	91.0%
	County rank	66	-
	% under 18 with broadband internet access at home	87.7%	90.8%
	County Rank	64	-
Housing	Owner-occupied households	3,279	498,567
	Total households	4,459	754,063



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

	Owner %	73.5%	66.1%
	Renters	1,180	255,496
	Renter %	26.5%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	253	40,465
	Total households	4,459	754,063
	No vehicle %	5.7%	5.4%

Table 16.
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8th	10th	12th
Red Willow	Adult at home who listens	--	--	--
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Red Willow	Adult at school who listens	--	--	--
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

*Red Willow County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

Table 17.
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Red Willow	2	2	22	19
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18.
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Red Willow	158	31%	8%	78%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



Community Level

- Violent crime does not appear to be an issue, except other assaults.
- Youth report that they think their community finds marijuana and cigarettes to be wrong or very wrong at a rate higher than the state average. There is not the same trend for alcohol.
- Juvenile record sealing is not “automatic” even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment).
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis.

Table 19.

Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Red Willow	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	1	34
Rape	1	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	5	1,639
Other Assaults	52	8,782

Table 20.

Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Red Willow	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	--	--	--
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Red Willow	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	--	--	--
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Red Willow	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	--	--	--
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%

*Red Willow County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey



Table 21.

Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^msee [Appendix for yearly data](#)

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	78	105	74.3%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	197	323	61.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	32	43	74.4%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	307	471	65.2%

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; notably, access to counsel is low in this community.
- Curfew violations have been consistent across time. Violations for curfews and other low-level offenses and status offenses can bet-widen and pull youth into the juvenile justice system.
- There are a high number of 3B uncontrollable juvenile court filings. The county may want to consider a more rehabilitative approach than filing cases, including crisis response or respite.
- 3A and 3C offenses are not being filed, so the county is appropriately diverting those cases or they are not an issue.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - Utilizing “pre-file” diversion where a youth is not filed on prior to being offered diversion
 - Allowing juveniles in some cases to repeat diversion
 - Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable.
 - Utilizing graduated responses – where youth are given incremental consequences or rewards as opposed to an “all-or-nothing” approach for completing diversion successfully.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Red Willow	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	40.0% -- 59.9%	73.5%

Neb. Rev. 43-272. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.



Table 23.
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Red Willow	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	26	352

2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
0	6	9	4	7	26

Table 24.
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Red Willow					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	1	0	1
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	2	1	5	1	9
3B - Uncontrollable	4	11	8	7	10	40
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25.
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) ^o

	Red Willow	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first time offenders to diversion	No	Yes: 27.3% No: 63.6% Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the time of the referral to diversion	Yes	Yes: 18.2% No: 70.5% Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are unsuccessful on diversion	Always	Always: 47.7% Sometimes: 47.7% Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete diversion more than once	No	Yes: 61.4% No: 34.1% Not sure: 4.5%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Charges/offenses that make a juvenile ineligible for diversion	Yes; Felonies and violent crimes	Yes: 86.4% No: 9.1% Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of intervention	No	Yes: 27.3% No: 61.4% Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8% No: 65.9% Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; cost of course	Yes: 86.4% No: 13.6% Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior to discharge	No	Yes: 47.7% No: 25.0% Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Yes; court order	Yes: 59.1% No: 22.7% Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)

Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues.
- The response rate for the collective impact survey decreased from 2019 to 2020 – and no one completed the survey. The measures of collective impact are lower than the state average and other community teams. The county should work on strengthening the community team, which will benefit youth in the community.
- Backbone agency and shared measurement (see definitions below) are the lowest and may be the best place to begin strengthening the team.
- With no survey responses, we cannot make conclusions about the diversion of the team. The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to have Hispanic and Black members on your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation).

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^P

	Southwest Team		Nebraska	
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	14	5	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	4	0	221	345
Response rate	28.6%	0.0%	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^P

	Southwest Team		Nebraska	
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean Score	
Common agenda	5.42	--	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	5.26	--	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	5.07	--	5.21	5.45
Continuous communication	5.19	--	5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	4.77	--	5.52	5.78



The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support:** Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ⁹

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity ^P

	Southwest Team		Nebraska	
	N = 0	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	--	--	101	29.3%
Female	--	--	229	66.4%
Missing	--	--	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30	--	--	19	5.6%
30-39	--	--	68	19.6%
40-49	--	--	88	25.4%
50-59	--	--	90	25.8%
60 and over	--	--	44	13%
Missing	--	--	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	--	--	230	66.7%
Black	--	--	10	2.9%
Hispanic	--	--	13	3.8%
Native American	--	--	6	1.7%
Asian	--	--	1	0.3%
Other	--	--	2	0.6%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Provided town name	--	--	63	18.3%
Missing	--	--	19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes	--	--	98	28.4%
No	--	--	242	70.1%
Missing	--	--	5	1.4%
System Point				
Law enforcement	--	--	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	--	--	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	--	--	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	--	--	10	2.3%
Diversion	--	--	55	12.6%
Probation	--	--	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/ guardian ad litem	--	--	8	1.8%
DHHS or Child Welfare	--	--	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	--	--	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention	--	--	8	1.8%
Community based program	--	--	109	25.0%
Elected official or government	--	--	6	1.4%
Restorative practices	--	--	6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	--	--	3	0.7%
Other	--	--	16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	--	--	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	--	--	75	21.7%

References and Resources

^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^b **School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch:** Prepared by
Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education

^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020

^d **Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use:** Bureau of
Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey:
<https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data>

^e **Referral to and utilization of services:** Department of Health and Human Services

^f **Adult and juvenile arrests:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

^g Diversion programs

^h **Domestic violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:
https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County_0.pdf

ⁱ Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

^k **Distance to detention facility:** Google Maps

^l **Racial and ethnic disparities:** Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided
by:



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:

<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browseables.aspx>

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System

Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^m **Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing:** Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: RED Descriptives

2015

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	80	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	13	0%	0%	0%	7.70%	0%	0%	92.30%
Youth enrolled in diversion	13	0%	0%	0%	7.70%	0%	0%	92.30%
Successful completion diversion	11	0%	0%	0%	9.10%	0%	0%	90.90%
Youth with multiple charges	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
RAI Override: More Severe	2	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	3	0%	0%	0%	33.30%	0%	0%	66.70%
Successful probation	45	0%	0%	0%	13.30%	2.20%	0%	84.40%
Revocation of probation	15	0%	0%	6.70%	33.30%	0%	0%	60%
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2016

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	67	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth enrolled in diversion	8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful completion diversion	8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	8	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	12.50%	62.50%
RAI Override: More Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	5	0%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	80%
Successful probation	40	0%	0%	0%	7.50%	2.50%	0%	90%
Revocation of probation	6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2017

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	51	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	7	14.30%	0%	14.30%	0%	0%	0%	71.40%
Youth enrolled in diversion	7	14.30%	0%	14.30%	0%	0%	0%	71.40%
Successful completion diversion	7	14.30%	0%	14.30%	0%	0%	0%	71.40%
Youth with multiple charges	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	9	0%	0%	0%	11.10%	0%	11.10%	77.80%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful probation	31	0%	0%	0%	19.40%	0%	0%	80.60%
Revocation of probation	4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	65	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	10	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	90%
Youth enrolled in diversion	10	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	90%
Successful completion diversion	9	0%	0%	11.10%	0%	0%	0%	88.90%
Youth with multiple charges	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	4	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	75%	0%
RAI Override: More Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	6	0%	0%	0%	33.30%	0%	0%	66.70%
Successful probation	26	0%	0%	0%	3.80%	3.80%	0%	92.30%
Revocation of probation	4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2019

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	68	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth enrolled in diversion	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful completion diversion	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%
Filed on in adult court	9	0%	0%	0%	11.10%	0%	55.60%	33.30%
RAI Override: More Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful probation	42	0%	0%	2.40%	16.70%	0%	0%	81%
Revocation of probation	10	0%	0%	10%	20%	0%	0%	70%
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	23	27	85.2%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	58	66	87.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	4	4	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	85	97	87.6%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	10	17	58.8%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	46	73	63.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	8	8	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	64	98	65.3%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	7	13	53.8%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	34	46	73.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	9	10	90.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	50	69	72.5%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	23	30	76.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	36	67	53.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	5	7	71.4%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	64	104	61.5%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	15	18	83.3%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	23	71	32.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	6	14	42.9%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	44	103	42.7%