



Richardson County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018	s) a 3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) b	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b.	5
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	8
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)	8
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportage year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	•
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) d	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means h	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) j	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) n	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	17
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	18
References and Resources	20



Appendix: Sealed Court Records by	/ Year	22
-----------------------------------	--------	----

Youth Level

- While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because the frequency was too low to report, Hispanic, Native American, and Black youth are over-represented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- IDEA plans and free and reduced lunch are higher than the statewide averages
- Loss of sleep, depression, and suicidal ideation are higher than the state average for 10th and 12th graders. But youth are similarly hopeful to the state comparison.
- Current alcohol use and binge drinking is higher than the state comparison for 10th graders. Tobacco use and vaping are higher than the state comparisons.
- 8th and 12th grades report higher gang involvement than the state comparison, it is especially high for 8th graders.
- It appears all types of crimes have decreased for juveniles (and all ages) from 2018 to 2019.
- We did not have risk and needs assessment data for diversion youth, but once the statewide tool is implemented, we will be able to see where the higher risk/needs are for the community.
- Law enforcement data would be very beneficial have for a clearer RED picture.
- Although the Census and school data indicate few Black youth, they are over-represented in diversion referrals and probation intakes. Black youth are also disproportionately less successful on probation.

Table 1. Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Richardson	458	82.1%	4.6%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	13.1%

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Richardson	309	90.9%	0.6%	0.0%	4.9%	1.6%	1.9%





Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Richardson	1,258	2.15%	0.16%	2.94%	0.16%	0.08%	88.31%	6.20%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Richardson	1,280	2.34%	0.16%	2.73%	0.16%	0.16%	87.97%	6.48%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Richardson	1,252	2.08%	0.16%	2.16%	0.40%	0.16%	88.34%	6.71%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Richardson	1,300	2.54%	0.23%	3.23%	0.38%	0.15%	87.23%	6.23%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Richardson	1,256	2.15%	0.40%	2.63%	0.56%	0.16%	88.46%	5.65%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Richardson	76	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	<mark>24.54%</mark>	1.64%	<mark>4.42%</mark>	<mark>12.93%</mark>	0.19%	51.61%	<mark>4.68%</mark>
2015-	Richardson	93	*	*	*	*	*	87.10%	<mark>12.90%</mark>
2016	Nebraska	38,812	<mark>25.73%</mark>	1.55%	<mark>4.27%</mark>	<mark>13.68%</mark>	0.27%	49.68%	<mark>4.83%</mark>
2016-	Richardson	99	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	<mark>26.90%</mark>	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Richardson	125	*	*	*	*	*	88.00%	12.00%
2018	Nebraska	46,365	<mark>26.81%</mark>	1.77%	<mark>4.18%</mark>	<mark>14.49%</mark>	0.22%	47.37%	<mark>2389</mark>
2018-	Richardson	125	*	*	*	*	*	88.80%	11.20%
2019	Nebraska	46,356	<mark>27.64%</mark>	1.76%	<mark>4.16%</mark>	<mark>14.71%</mark>	0.23%	46.27%	<mark>5.23%</mark>

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students



Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Richardson	1,258	<mark>17.09%</mark>	*	*	<mark>54.93%</mark>
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	<mark>44.53%</mark>
2015-	Richardson	1,280	<mark>16.56%</mark>	0.86%	*	<mark>49.92%</mark>
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	<mark>44.23%</mark>
2016-	Richardson	1,252	<mark>17.33%</mark>	0.80%	*	<mark>50.64%</mark>
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	<mark>44.76%</mark>
2017-	Richardson	1,300	<mark>19.69%</mark>	*	*	<mark>52.38%</mark>
2018	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	<mark>46.24%</mark>
2018-	Richardson	1,256	20.86%	0.96%	*	<mark>52.79%</mark>
2019	Nebraska	325,984	<mark>16.13%</mark>	0.85%	6.78%	<mark>45.42%</mark>

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °

County	Total in Las	st 5 Years	Yearly Av	verages	Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates Students		Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Richardson	410	442	41.0	44.2	92.8%	62

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Richardson	Loss of sleep from worry	17.1%	<mark>23.9%</mark>	<mark>24.1%</mark>
Nebraska		18.0%	<mark>20.6%</mark>	<mark>21.6%</mark>
Richardson	Depressed	31.9%	<mark>36.6%</mark>	<mark>40.7%</mark>
Nebraska		31.1%	<mark>34.8%</mark>	<mark>35.3%</mark>
Richardson	Considered/Attempted suicide	14.3%	<mark>22.5%</mark>	<mark>18.5%</mark>
Nebraska		22.9%	<mark>18.2%</mark>	<mark>16.2%</mark>
Richardson	Current alcohol	10.0%	<mark>29.6%</mark>	16.7%
Nebraska		9.8%	<mark>20.1%</mark>	34.2%





Richardson	Current binge drinking	2.9%	<mark>11.4%</mark>	3.7%
Nebraska		1.3%	<mark>6.2%</mark>	15.0%
Richardson	Current marijuana	0.0%	4.2%	7.4%
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Richardson	Current tobacco	2.9%	<mark>12.7%</mark>	13.0%
Nebraska		3.7%	<mark>8.0%</mark>	15.3%
Richardson	Current vaping	12.9%	<mark>42.3%</mark>	<mark>40.7%</mark>
Nebraska		10.4%	<mark>24.7%</mark>	<mark>37.3%</mark>
Richardson	Hopeful for future (past week)	72.9%	84.5%	81.5%
Nebraska		72.1%	74.7%	78.4%

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized e

Table 11.

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Richardson	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	<mark>8.8%</mark>	1.4%	5.7%
Nebraska		<mark>3.8%</mark>	4.4%	3.8%





Table 12. Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages	Under 18			
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019	2018	2019	2018 - 2019	
						Growth %	
Jurisdiction by Geography			RICHARDS	ON COL	JNTY		
Arrest Offense							
Total	329	296	-10.03	27	12	-55.56	
Rape Total	-	1	-	-	-	-	
Aggravated Assault Total	6	3	-50.00	-	-	-	
Burglary Total	8	2	-75.00	1	-	-100.00	
Larceny-Theft Total	28	19	-32.14	4	2	-50.00	
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	5	-	-100.00	-	-	-	
Other Assaults	28	59	110.71	4	3	-25.00	
Forgery and Counterfeiting	1	-	-100.00	-	-	-	
Fraud	5	2	-60.00	1	-	-100.00	
Embezzlement	3	-	-100.00	2	-	-100.00	
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	3	3	0.00	-	-	-	
Vandalism	6	1	-83.33	1	-	-100.00	
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	3	11	266.67	0	-	-	
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	11	1	-90.91	2	-	-100.00	
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	-	1	-	-	-	-	
Drug Violations - Possession	26	20	-23.08	2	0	-100.00	
Offenses Against Family and Children	2	1	-50.00	-	-	-	
Driving Under the Influence	16	15	-6.25	0	0	-	
Liquor Laws	6	15	150.00	1	0	-100.00	
Disorderly Conduct	15	12	-20.00	-	-	-	
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	157	130	-17.20	9	7	-22.22	



Table 13. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	Richardson			All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting	-			60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment	I			43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships	-			44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M =, SD =,		,	M = 5.6	4, SD = 3.	65, 0-17

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed

Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) Descriptives (2015-2019)

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody		1			1			
Youth issued citation/referral	117				-1			
Youth referred to diversion	24	4.20%	0%	4.20%	0%	8.30%	0%	83.30%
Youth enrolled in diversion	24	4.20%	0%	4.20%	0%	8.30%	0%	83.30%
Successful completion diversion	22	4.50%	0%	0%	0%	4.50%	0%	90.90%
Youth with multiple charges	10	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	50%	40%
Filed on in adult court	4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	9	0%	0%	11.10%	0%	0%	0%	88.90%





Successful probation	55	5.50%	0%	7.30%	0%	0%	0%	87.30%
Revocation of probation	26	0%	0%	3.80%	0%	0%	0%	96.20%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention					-			
Youth booked into detention					1			
Youth booked into detention more than once					1			

Family Level

- There are more children <18 in poverty than the state, but this does not seem to affect technology, housing or transportation.
- 12th graders report not having a supportive adult at home or at school, as compared to the state data

Table 15. Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Richardson	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	<mark>22.3%</mark>	<mark>14.8%</mark>
	Number of children 12-	145	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Number of children 12-	<mark>25.2%</mark>	<mark>28.9%</mark>
	17 above 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	18.2%	31.3%
	County rank	72	-
	Age 25+ with some	28.3%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County rank	19	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	93.2%	91.1%
	County Rank	33	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	97.3%	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County rank	65	-
	% under 18 with an	94.8%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County rank	23	
	% under 18 with	94.8%	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	21	





Housing	Owner-occupied	2,909	498,567
	households		
	Total households	3,772	754,063
	Owner %	77.1%	66.1%
	Renters	863	255,496
	Renter %	22.9%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no	116	40,465
	vehicle available		
	Total households	3,772	754,063
	No vehicle %	3.1%	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Richardson	Adult at home who listens	92.9%	87.0%	<mark>81.5%</mark>
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	<mark>85.6%</mark>
Richardson	Adult at school who listens	87.1%	85.9%	<mark>81.5%</mark>
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	<mark>87.4%</mark>

Table 17.
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Richardson	5	3	24	21
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Richardson	187	35%	8%	79%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



Community Level

- Violent crime does not appear to be an issue, except other assaults.
- Youth report that they do not think their neighborhood finds marijuana or alcohol to be wrong or very wrong.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment).
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis.

Table 19. Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019)

Type of Violence	Richardson	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	1	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	3	1,639
Other Assaults	61	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Richardson	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	91.3%	84.1%	<mark>68.5%</mark>
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	<mark>85.2%</mark>
Richardson	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	85.5%	<mark>66.7%</mark>	<mark>48.1%</mark>
Nebraska		89.1%	<mark>80.4%</mark>	<mark>68.7%</mark>
Richardson	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	88.4%	85.5%	<mark>61.1%</mark>
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	<mark>78.7%</mark>



EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

Table 21. Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	29	36	80.6%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	243	436	55.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	15	20	75.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	287	492	58.3%

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; notably, access to counsel is very low in this community.
- There are some curfew violations being filed, but these were mostly in previous years.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable. With a higher proportion of children <18 in poverty, perhaps offering scholarships.
 - Having a process for sealing records for youth on diversion with law enforcement and JCMS, as required by statute.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Richardson	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	<mark>20.0% 39.9%</mark>	73.5%

Neb. Rev. 43-272. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Richardson	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	5	352





2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
0	3	2	0	0	5

Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) $^{\rm m}$

		Richardson					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total	
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	2	1	0	2	5	
3B - Uncontrollable	2	2	6	4	0	14	
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0	

		Nebraska				
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$

	Richardson	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	Not sure	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Always	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion	•	Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Yes	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Not sure	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion		No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	Not sure	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%





		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$150	Yes: 86.4%
		No: 13.6%
		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	Not sure; students are given every	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge	chance to complete the program.	No: 25.0%
	last resort is to return them to the court system.	Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	No	Yes: 59.1%
		No: 22.7%
		Not sure: 18.2%

^{*}responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)

Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues.
- The response rate for the collective impact survey decreased from 2019 to 2020, but some of the measures indicate an improvement in the measures of collective impact (with the exception of constant communication).
- The community team should be representative of the community; however, there should be representation from groups that are over-represented in the juvenile justice system (i.e., Black youth).
- Although the low response rate may affect the results of community team diversity, there could be more representation from other system points not currently represented.
- Some team members do not feel heard.

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	Four County		Nebr	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	35	45	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	17	8	221	345
Response rate	48.6%	17.8%	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores p

	Four Cou	inty	Nebra	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean	Score
Common agenda	5.61	5.86	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	5.41	5.71	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	5.35	5.71	5.21	5.45
Continuous communication	5.64	5.57	5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	5.27	5.86	5.52	5.78



The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support**: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28. Community Planning Team Diversity ^p

	Four County		Neb	raska
	N = 8	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	5	62.5%	101	29.3%
Female	3	37.5%	229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30	1	12.5%	19	5.6%
30-39	2	25.0%	68	19.6%
40-49	1	12.5%	88	25.4%
50-59	2	25.0%	90	25.8%
60 and over	1	12.5%	44	13%
Missing	1	12.5%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	6	75.0%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%





Provided town name	1	12.5%	63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes	2	25.0%	98	28.4%
No	6	75.0%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point *				
Law enforcement			34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	3	33.3%	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	3	33.3%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based			10	2.3%
Diversion	1	11.1%	55	12.6%
Probation	1	11.1%	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare			13	3.0%
Treatment provider			40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program			109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Other	1	11.1%	16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	6	75.0%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	2	25.0%	75	21.7%

Johnson n = 4, Nemaha n = 4, Pawnee n = 4, Richardson n = 5. (Three people names more than one county they represent).

^{*}note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

- ^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 g Diversion programs
- h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County 0.pdf
- ¹Child abuse and neglect
- ^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
- ^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps
- ¹Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:





Nebraska Crime Commission. Crime Statistics:

https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- ^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- ^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- P Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^q Collective Impact Elements: Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. Stanford Social Innovation Review.



Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	4	6	66.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	37	47	78.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	5	5	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	46	58	79.3%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	10	13	76.9%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	73	107	68.2%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	84	121	69.4%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	10	12	83.3%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		-	
Filed in Juv. Court	91	193	47.2%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	3	3	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	104	208	50.0%





2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	1	1	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	21	64	32.8%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	4	7	57.1%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	26	72	36.1%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	4	4	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	21	25	84.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	4	50.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	27	33	81.8%