



Saunders County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018)	a3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b	
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	8
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	8
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ¹	9
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportative year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) d	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) h	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) i	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	17
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	18





References and Resources	20
Appendix: RED Descriptives	22
Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year	27

Youth Level

- More youth have IDEA plans than the state comparison across all years.
- More youth report losing sleep from worry or depressed, compared to the state.
- For substance use, 12th grades have higher reported alcohol use than the state; all grades report higher marijuana use, tobacco use and vaping than the state.
- Hispanic youth have higher chronic absenteeism than the school membership distribution across all years.
- One offense showed an increase from 2018 to 2019: liquor laws. Vandalism and Larceny-theft decreased from 2018 to 2019.
- Several of the domains that assessed youth on diversion from the NYS and YLS (2015-2017) were higher than the state. The highest domain for the county was Leisure/Recreation.
- It appears the juvenile justice system points are funneling youth appropriately from "arrest", to diversion, to probation. Black and Hispanic youth are over-represented in Probation intakes, as compared to diversion referrals. Black youth are over-represented in Probation intakes as compared to the total population. Unspecified race/ethnicity in court filings is problematic for analysis.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Saunders	1,329	91.9%	3.5%	0.0%	1.8%	0	2.8%

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Saunders	988	94.5%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	1.6%

Click here to go back to RED analysis





Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Saunders	2,883	4.13%	0.73%	0.42%	1.46%	0.24%	91.95%	1.08%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Saunders	2,926	4.55%	0.79%	0.44%	1.23%	0.27%	91.32%	1.40%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Saunders	3,002	4.10%	0.70%	0.50%	1.27%	0.30%	91.64%	1.50%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Saunders	3,068	4.43%	0.62%	0.39%	1.37%	0.29%	91.20%	1.69%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Saunders	3,159	4.34%	0.66%	0.28%	1.30%	0.13%	91.39%	1.90%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Saunders	196	<mark>10.71%</mark>	*	*	*	*	89.29%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	<mark>24.54%</mark>	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Saunders	180	<mark>8.89%</mark>	*	*	*	*	91.11%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	<mark>25.73%</mark>	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Saunders	203	<mark>7.39%</mark>	*	*	*	*	92.61%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	<mark>26.90%</mark>	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Saunders	202	<mark>8.91%</mark>	*	*	*	*	91.09%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	<mark>26.81%</mark>	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Saunders	162	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students



Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Saunders	2,883	<mark>15.89%</mark>	*	0.87%	33.85%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Saunders	2,926	<mark>15.72%</mark>	0.58%	0.79%	33.80%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	Saunders	3,002	<mark>16.19%</mark>	0.40%	0.77%	35.48%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	Saunders	3,068	<mark>18.48%</mark>	0.68%	0.62%	33.25%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Saunders	3,159	18.55%	1.30%	0.51%	34.76%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	<mark>16.13%</mark>	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) c

County	Total in Las	st 5 Years	Yearly Averages		Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates Students		Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Saunders	982	1,043	39.3	41.7	94.2%	49

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Saunders	Loss of sleep from worry	<mark>22.9%</mark>	<mark>17.2%</mark>	<mark>23.0%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>18.0%</mark>	<mark>20.6%</mark>	<mark>21.6%</mark>
Saunders	Depressed	<mark>35.3%</mark>	<mark>38.4%</mark>	<mark>39.2%</mark>
Nebraska		<mark>31.1%</mark>	<mark>34.8%</mark>	<mark>35.3%</mark>
Saunders	Considered/Attempted suicide	22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Saunders	Current alcohol	8.6%	18.2%	48.6%
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	<mark>34.2%</mark>
Saunders	Current binge drinking	3.6%	4.0%	15.1%





Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Saunders	Current marijuana	4.3%	8.1%	27.0%
Nebraska		3.0%	<mark>7.3%</mark>	<mark>13.9%</mark>
Saunders	Current tobacco	2.2%	<mark>10.1%</mark>	<mark>23.0%</mark>
Nebraska		3.7%	<mark>8.0%</mark>	<mark>15.3%</mark>
Saunders	Current vaping	11.5%	<mark>29.3%</mark>	<mark>59.5%</mark>
Nebraska		10.4%	<mark>24.7%</mark>	<mark>37.3%</mark>
Saunders	Hopeful for future (past week)	72.1%	74.7%	78.4%
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Saunders	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	4.3%	4.0%	4.1%
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%





Table 12. Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages		Und	der 18
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019	2018	2019	2018 - 2019
			Growth %			Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography			SAUNDEF	RS COUN	NTY	
Arrest Offense						
Total	479	419	-12.53	72	52	-27.78
Rape Total	2	1	-50.00	1	-	-100.00
Aggravated Assault Total	1	5	400.00	-	-	-
Burglary Total	4	14	250.00	-	0	-
Larceny-Theft Total	25	15	-40.00	9	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>-66.67</mark>
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	1	3	200.00	-	1	-
Other Assaults	51	50	-1.96	8	7	-12.50
Forgery and Counterfeiting	-	1	-	-	-	-
Fraud	8	3	-62.50	0	-	-
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	2	3	50.00	1	1	0.00
Vandalism	37	13	-64.86	22	2	<mark>-90.91</mark>
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	3	6	100.00	-	2	-
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	2	2	0.00	0	0	-
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	6	1	-83.33	1	-	-100.00
Drug Violations - Possession	81	48	-40.74	11	10	-9.09
Offenses Against Family and Children	27	4	-85.19	0	-	-
Driving Under the Influence	55	52	-5.45	0	0	-
Liquor Laws	15	38	153.33	4	8	100.00
Disorderly Conduct	3	8	166.67	1	0	-100.00
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	155	150	-3.23	14	16	14.29
Curfew and Loitering Law Violations	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Runaways	-	2	-	-	2	-





Table 13a. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) $^{\rm g}$

	Saunders			All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting	47.0%	<mark>36.4%</mark>	<mark>16.7%</mark>	60.1%	<mark>26.7%</mark>	<mark>13.1%</mark>
Education/Employment	42.4%	40.9%	<mark>16.7%</mark>	43.0%	44.0%	<mark>13.1%</mark>
Peer Relationships	30.3%	<mark>59.1%</mark>	<mark>10.6%</mark>	44.7%	<mark>46.6%</mark>	<mark>8.6%</mark>
Substance Use	63.6%	28.8%	7.6%	61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation	34.8%	<mark>43.9%</mark>	<mark>21.2%</mark>	50.6%	<mark>33.0%</mark>	<mark>16.5%</mark>
Personality/Behavior	47.0%	<mark>37.9%</mark>	<mark>15.2%</mark>	50.1%	<mark>39.4%</mark>	<mark>10.4%</mark>
Attitudes/Orientation	65.2%	27.3%	<mark>7.6%</mark>	61.3%	33.7%	<mark>5.0%</mark>
Mean Score	M = 5.8	<mark>88</mark> , <i>SD</i> = 3	.94, 0-16	M = 5.6	<mark>4</mark> , <i>SD</i> = 3.	65, 0-17

Table 13b. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	Saunders		All YLS	Counties
Score	М	SD	М	SD
Family Circumstance/Parenting	<mark>2.80</mark>	2.10	<mark>1.20</mark>	1.32
Education/Employment	<mark>2.00</mark>	1.63	<mark>1.27</mark>	1.35
Peer Relationships	1.60	1.08	1.78	1.08
Substance Use	1.20	1.55	1.29	1.40
Leisure/Recreation	0.90	0.99	0.88	0.95
Personality/Behavior	<mark>2.10</mark>	2.03	<mark>1.34</mark>	1.52
Attitudes/Orientation	<mark>0.70</mark>	0.82	<mark>0.45</mark>	0.79
Mean Score	M = 11.40, SI	D = 5.02, 4-23	M = 8.23, SL	0 = 5.22, 0-31

Saunders County n = 10; Statewide n = 2124





Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) |

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

See Appendix for yearly data

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact					-1	-		
Youth taken to temporary custody				 .				
Youth issued citation/referral	271							
Youth referred to diversion	133	0.80%	0%	4.50%	0.80%	2.30%	0%	91.70%
Youth enrolled in diversion	101	1%	0%	3%	1%	2%	0%	93.10%
Successful completion diversion	85	1.20%	0%	3.50%	1.20%	2.40%	0%	91.80%
Youth with multiple charges	18	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	22.20%	77.80%
Filed on in adult court	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%
RAI Override: More Severe	4	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%
RAI Override: Less Severe	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	21	0%	0%	19%	9.50%	0%	0%	71.40%
Successful probation	68	0%	0%	1.50%	4.40%	0%	0%	94.10%
Revocation of probation	6	0%	0%	16.70%	0%	0%	0%	83.30%
Youth in OJS custody					1	1		
OJS custody: placed in detention						-		
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								



Family Level

- Youth reporting having a supportive adult at home and school is lower for 12th graders than the state
- Youth of all grades report having more caregivers in the military than the state.
- Poverty, educational attainment, technology access, home ownership, and transportation do not
 appear to be problematic compared to the state. Those age 25+ with a bachelor's degree is lower
 than the state average.

Table 15.
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

		Saunders	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	12.8%	14.8%
-	Number of children 12-	395	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	% of children 12-17	20.7%	28.9%
	below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>25.4%</mark>	<mark>31.3%</mark>
	County Rank	19	-
	Age 25+ with some	25.1%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County Rank	33	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	92.8%	91.1%
	County Rank	40	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	98.2%	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County Rank	49	-
	% under 18 with an	92.6%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County Rank	37	-
	% under 18 with	92.3%	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	35	-





Housing	Owner-occupied households	6,534	498,567
	Total households	8,326	754,063
	Owner %	78.5 %	66.1%
	Renters	1,792	255,496
	Renter %	21.5 %	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no	217	40,465
	vehicle available		
	Total households	8,326	754,063
	No vehicle %	2.6%	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Saunders	Adult at home who listens	90.0%	88.9%	<mark>79.7%</mark>
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	<mark>85.6%</mark>
Saunders	Adult at school who listens	85.0%	85.1%	<mark>78.4%</mark>
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	<mark>87.4%</mark>

Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) h

	Aggravated	Aggravated	Simple Domestic	Simple Domestics
	Domestic Assaults	Domestic Assaults	Assaults Reported	Assaults Cleared
	Reported	Cleared by Arrest		by Arrest or
		or Exceptional		Exceptional Means
		Means		
Saunders	1	1	14	14
Nebraska	562	402	3512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) i

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Saunders	238	39.0%	16.0%	66.0%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%

Community Level

- Youth in 12th grade do not think their parents find alcohol, marijuana, and cigarettes wrong, compared to the state.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment).
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis.

Table 19.
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Saunders	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	1	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	5	1,639
Other Assaults	50	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Saunders	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	92.9%	87.9%	<mark>79.7%</mark>
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	<mark>85.2%</mark>
Saunders	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	87.9%	77.8%	<mark>64.9%</mark>
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	<mark>68.7%</mark>
Saunders	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	93.5%	88.9%	<mark>75.3%</mark>
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	<mark>78.7%</mark>





Table 21.
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	65	81	<mark>80.2%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ		-	
Filed in Juv. Court	163	252	64.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	9	13	69.2%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	237	346	68.5%

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

- Despite not being in a county required to provide counsel under statute, access to counsel is similar to the state average, but still lower than ideal.
- There are few curfew and 3A, 3B, and 3C filings in court so the community is diverting appropriately.
- Diversion practices and procedures are consistent with evidence-based practices. It is not clear
 why all first time offenders are not referred to diversion but perhaps this is due to the ineligible
 offenses.

Table 22. Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Saunders	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	60.0% 79.9%	73.5%

Neb. Rev. 43-272. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Saunders	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	1	352





Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) $^{\rm m}$

		Saunders								
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total				
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0				
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	0	1	2	3				
3B - Uncontrollable	0	1	3	2	0	6				
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	1	0	0	0	1				

		Nebraska							
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total			
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7			
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997			
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491			
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306			

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$

	Saunders	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	No	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Sometimes	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Yes	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Yes; DUI and serious violent	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion	felonies (i.e., rape, sexual assault	No: 9.1%
	on a minor, etc.)	Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	Yes	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	Yes; based on youth and parent	Yes: 31.8%
	request to assist them	No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%





Fees beyond restitution	Yes; varies based on level of offense	Yes: 86.4% No: 13.6% Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior to discharge	Yes; sanctions put in place (increased activities or contact with diversion officer)	Yes: 47.7% No: 25.0% Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Yes; those who successfully complete have their records sealed at the time of successful closure. Those who have not successfully closed are sealed when we do the review on cases. We seal them on JCMS. We delete files after the five-year mark of required file retention policies	Yes: 59.1% No: 22.7% Not sure: 18.2%

^{*}responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)

Community Team Level

- The community team response rate to the collective impact survey improved from 2019 to 2020 and was higher than the state average for 2020.
- The community team appears to represent the county demographic population, with the exception of Hispanic/Latino representation. This is important to consider within the context of Hispanic youth having chronic absenteeism. The community team would also benefit from having additional representation from education and representation from public defender/guardian ad litem/defense counsel.
- Fewer members of the community team reported feeling heard as compared to the state average.

Table 26. Collective Impact Survey Response Rates p

	Saur	nders	Nebr	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	25	26	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	3	29	221	345
Response rate	<mark>12.0%</mark>	<mark>111.5%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27. Collective Impact Survey Scores p

	Saunders		Nebraska	
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean	Score
Common agenda	5.73	5.86	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	5.89	5.82	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	5.07	5.43	5.21	5.45
Continuous communication	6.48	5.61	5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	6.33	6.00	5.52	5.78

The five elements of Collective Impact are:





- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support**: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity P

	Saur	Saunders		aska
	N = 29	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	11	58.6%	101	29.3%
Female	17	37.9%	229	66.4%
Missing	1	3.4%	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30	2	6.9%	19	5.6%
30-39	5	17.2%	68	19.6%
40-49	5	17.2%	88	25.4%
50-59	9	31.0%	90	25.8%
60 and over	6	20.7%	44	13%
Missing	2	6.9%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	19	65.5%	230	66.7%
Black	1	3.4%	10	2.9%
Hispanic	0	0.0%	13	3.8%
Native American	0	0.0%	6	1.7%
Asian	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
Other	0	0.0%	2	0.6%
Provided town name	8	<mark>27.6%</mark>	63	18.3%
Missing	1	3.4%	19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				





Yes	11	37.9%	98	28.4%
No	18	62.1%	242	70.1%
Missing	0	0.0%	5	1.4%
System Point*				
Law enforcement	7	21.2%	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	2	6.1%	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	<mark>1</mark>	<mark>3.0%</mark>	<mark>65</mark>	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	1	3.0%	10	2.3%
Diversion	2	6.1%	55	12.6%
Probation	2	6.1%	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/ guardian ad litem	0	<mark>0.0%</mark>	8	<mark>1.8%</mark>
DHHS or Child Welfare	1	3.0%	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	4	12.1%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention	1	3.0%	8	1.8%
Community based program	8	24.2%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government	1	3.0%	6	1.4%
Restorative practices	0	0.0%	6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	0	0.0%	3	0.7%
Other	3	9.1%	16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	<mark>20</mark>	<mark>69.0%</mark>	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	9	31.0%	75	21.7%

^{*}note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

- ^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 g Diversion programs
- Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:
 https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2
 https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2
 https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2
 https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2
 <a href="https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebrask
- ^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
- ^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps
- ¹Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:





Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System
Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- ^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: <u>www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount</u>. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- ^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^q Collective Impact Elements: Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.





Appendix: RED Descriptives

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	41							
Youth referred to diversion	27	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth enrolled in diversion	22	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful completion diversion	20	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%
Filed on in adult court	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
RAI Override: More Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	4	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	75%
Successful probation	21	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Revocation of probation	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								





System Point N Amer Asian/ Black Hispanic/ Multiple/ Unspec/ White

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	55							
Youth referred to diversion	27	0%	0%	3.70%	3.70%	3.70%	0%	88.90%
Youth enrolled in diversion	20	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	95%
Successful completion diversion	20	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	95%
Youth with multiple charges	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
RAI Override: More Severe	2	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%
RAI Override: Less Severe	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	7	0%	0%	28.60%	14.30%	0%	0%	57.10%
Successful probation	15	0%	0%	0%	6.70%	0%	0%	93.30%
Revocation of probation	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention					1			
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once					I			





System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact					-			
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	51*				1			
Youth referred to diversion	26	0%	0%	3.80%	0%	0%	0%	96.20%
Youth enrolled in diversion	18	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful completion diversion	13	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
RAI Override: More Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful probation	9	0%	0%	11.10%	22.20%	0%	0%	66.70%
Revocation of probation	2	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

^{*}Ashland PD only partially reported to the NCC in 2017





System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody		-						
Youth issued citation/referral	72							
Youth referred to diversion	41	2.40%	0%	4.90%	0%	4.90%	0%	87.80%
Youth enrolled in diversion	34	2.90%	0%	2.90%	0%	5.90%	0%	88.20%
Successful completion diversion	26	3.80%	0%	3.80%	0%	7.70%	0%	84.60%
Youth with multiple charges	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%
Filed on in adult court	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful probation	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Revocation of probation	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention		-						
Youth booked into detention more than once								





System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	52							
Youth referred to diversion	12	0%	0%	16.70%	0%	0%	0%	83.30%
Youth enrolled in diversion	7	0%	0%	28.60%	0%	0%	0%	71.40%
Successful completion diversion	6	0%	0%	33.30%	0%	0%	0%	66.70%
Youth with multiple charges	6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	16.70%	83.30%
Filed on in adult court	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	3	0%	0%	66.70%	0%	0%	0%	33.30%
Successful probation	16	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Revocation of probation	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody					-			
OJS custody: placed in detention		-			1			
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	13	14	92.9%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	47	49	95.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	62	65	95.4%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	8	11	72.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	24	33	72.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	33	45	73.3%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	12	14	85.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	31	41	75.6%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	44	56	78.6%

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	18	20	90.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	38	68	55.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	4	6	66.7%





Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	60	94	63.8%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	14	22	63.6%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	23	61	37.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	3	33.3%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	38	86	44.2%