



Sheridan County

Table of Contents

Youth Level..... 3

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a..... 3

School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)..... 4

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b..... 4

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b..... 5

Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c 5

Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d 6

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d 7

Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f 7

Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g 8

Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ^l 8

Family Level..... 10

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a 10

Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d 11

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h..... 11

Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ 11

Community Level..... 12

Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j..... 12

Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d..... 12

Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m..... 13

Policy, Legal and System Level..... 14

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ..... 14

Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m..... 14

Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m 15

County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) ^o 15

Community Team Level 17

Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p 17

Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p 17

Community Planning Team Diversity ^p 18

References and Resources..... 20



UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA

JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE

EVIDENCE-BASED
NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year22



Youth Level

- Hispanic and American Indian youth are overrepresented in chronic absenteeism compared to school enrollment.
- Students with IDEA plans are slightly higher than the state average.
- Sheridan County has more youth on free/reduced lunch than the state average.
- This community has not participated in the NRPFS and should consider participating in the next survey year (2020) to get youth-level data on mental health, gangs, supportive adults and community perceptions of substance use.
- Slight increase in arrests for larceny, other assaults, and drug possession, (however, with such small frequencies, this increase should be taken with caution).
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture of RED. Based on census and school enrollment data:
 - American Indian youth are overrepresented in all probation system points, and with multiple charges being filed
 - Black youth are overrepresented in probation overrides, probation intakes, and successful probation completion
 - Hispanic youth are overrepresented at probation intake and overrides
 - Race/ethnicity data is missing or unspecified at the court level for 22.2% and 37.5% of the cases.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Sheridan	336	66.4%	6.0%	0.0%	20.8%	0.9%	6.0%

Females



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%
Sheridan	374	48.1%	16.8%	2.4%	19.3%	0.0%	13.4%

[Click here to go back to RED analysis](#)

Table 2.

School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	Sheridan	843	7.47%	0.12%	16.84%	0.36%	0.00%	67.14%	8.07%
	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-2016	Sheridan	830	6.99%	0.12%	16.27%	0.12%	0.00%	67.23%	9.28%
	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-2017	Sheridan	854	9.37%	0.00%	16.39%	0.00%	0.00%	64.52%	9.72%
	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-2018	Sheridan	819	7.69%	0.24%	15.87%	0.00%	0.00%	66.42%	9.77%
	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-2019	Sheridan	817	8.57%	0.12%	15.18%	0.00%	0.00%	66.59%	9.55%
	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	Sheridan	113	17.70%	*	36.28%	*	*	46.02%	*
	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-2016	Sheridan	123	13.01%	*	42.28%	*	*	44.72%	*
	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
	Sheridan	129	24.81%	*	31.01%	*	*	34.88%	9.30%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2016-2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-2018	Sheridan	122	18.85%	*	27.05%	*	*	54.10%	*
	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-2019	Sheridan	107	10.28%	*	42.99%	*	*	36.45%	10.28%
	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-2015	Sheridan	843	13.76%	1.66%	*	55.52%
	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-2016	Sheridan	830	11.81%	1.45%	*	55.30%
	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-2017	Sheridan	854	13.70%	1.87%	1.64%	57.14%
	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-2018	Sheridan	819	16.48%	1.95%	*	55.43%
	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-2019	Sheridan	817	16.28%	*	1.22%	54.83%
	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c

County	Total in Last 5 Years		Yearly Averages		Graduation Rate	Rank
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students		
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	--
Sheridan	259	276	25.9	27.6	93.8%	54

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 6.
 Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8th	10th	12th
Sheridan	Loss of sleep from worry	--	--	--
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Sheridan	Depressed	--	--	--
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Sheridan	Considered/Attempted suicide	--	--	--
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Sheridan	Current alcohol	--	--	--
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Sheridan	Current binge drinking	--	--	--
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Sheridan	Current marijuana	--	--	--
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Sheridan	Current tobacco	--	--	--
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Sheridan	Current vaping	--	--	--
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Sheridan	Hopeful for future (past week)	--	--	--
Nebraska		72.1%	74.7%	78.4%

*Sheridan County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

****JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data**

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis ^e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11.



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Sheridan	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	--	--	--
Nebraska		2.7%	0.0%	0.0%

*Sheridan County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

Table 12.

Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age	All Arrestee Ages			Under 18		
	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Summary Arrest Date	SHERIDAN COUNTY					
Jurisdiction by Geography	SHERIDAN COUNTY					
Arrest Offense	SHERIDAN COUNTY					
Total	272	182	-33.09	12	22	83.33
Rape Total	-	1	-	-	-	-
Aggravated Assault Total	19	10	-47.37	0	1	-
Burglary Total	2	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Larceny-Theft Total	8	8	0.00	0	2	-
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	3	3	0.00	0	0	-
Other Assaults	42	32	-23.81	0	5	-
Forgery and Counterfeiting	-	1	-	-	0	-
Fraud	-	1	-	-	0	-
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	-	1	-	-	0	-
Vandalism	8	6	-25.00	2	0	-100.00
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	4	1	-75.00	0	1	-
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	2	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Drug Violations - Possession	26	25	-3.85	0	3	-
Offenses Against Family and Children	2	2	0.00	0	0	-
Driving Under the Influence	44	29	-34.09	2	0	-100.00
Liquor Laws	38	15	-60.53	2	1	-50.00
Disorderly Conduct	23	12	-47.83	1	2	100.00
Vagrancy	2	1	-50.00	0	0	-



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	49	34	-30.61	5	7	40.00
-------------------------------------	----	----	--------	---	---	-------

Table 13.
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

Score	Sheridan			All NYS Counties		
	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting	--	--	--	60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment	--	--	--	43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships	--	--	--	44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use	--	--	--	61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation	--	--	--	50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior	--	--	--	50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation	--	--	--	61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	<i>M</i> = --, <i>SD</i> = --, --			<i>M</i> = 5.64, <i>SD</i> = 3.65, 0-17		

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed

Table 14.
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ^l

[Click here to see Census and School Population Data](#)

*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	56*	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth enrolled in diversion	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Successful completion diversion	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth with multiple charges	18	44.40%	0%	0%	5.60%	0%	22.20%	27.80%
Filed on in adult court	8	12.50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	37.50%	50%
RAI Override: More Severe	5	20%	0%	40%	20%	20%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	4	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	15	53.30%	0%	13.30%	26.70%	6.70%	0%	0%
Successful probation	21	47.60%	0%	14.30%	0%	0%	0%	38.10%
Revocation of probation	14	71.40%	0%	0%	7.10%	14.30%	0%	7.10%
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

*Gordon PD did not report to the NCC 2015 - 2017



Family Level

- The percentage of children in poverty in Sheridan County is higher than the state average.
- The percentage of adults with high school diplomas and bachelor's degrees is lower than the state average.
- The percentage of youth without a computer and access to internet at home is lower than the state average.
- 71% of abuse/neglect calls are unfounded.

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		County	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	32.2%	14.8%
	Number of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	196	43,814
	Percent of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	44.6%	28.9%
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	23.6%	31.3%
	County Rank	26	-
	Age 25+ with some college, no degree	23.2%	23.0%
	County Rank	59	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	90.4%	91.1%
	County Rank	66	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a computer at home	90.2%	96.9%
	County Rank	89	-
	% under 18 with an internet subscription at home	73.8%	91.0%
	County Rank	90	-
	% under 18 with broadband internet access at home	72.7%	90.8%
	County Rank	91	-



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Housing	Owner-occupied households	1,610	498,567
	Total households	2,251	754,063
	Owner %	71.5%	66.1%
	Renters	641	255,496
	Renter %	28.5%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	167	40,465
	Total households	2,251	754,063
	No vehicle %	7.4%	5.4%

Table 16.
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Sheridan	Adult at home who listens	--	--	--
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Sheridan	Adult at school who listens	--	--	--
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

*Sheridan County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

Table 17.
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Sheridan	3	0	11	9
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18.
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Sheridan	49	49%	17%	71%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



Community Level

- Arrests for aggravated assault and other assaults are down from 2018-2019, but are still high for the size of the community.
- Juvenile record sealing is not “automatic” even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older cases.

Table 19. Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Sheridan	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	1	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	10	1,639
Other Assaults	32	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Sheridan	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	--	--	--
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Sheridan	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	--	--	--
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Sheridan	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	--	--	--
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%

*Sheridan County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey



Table 21.
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see [Appendix for yearly data](#)

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	36	98	36.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	86	337	25.5%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	17	55	30.9%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	139	495	28.1%

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



Policy, Legal and System Level

- Sheridan County is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; notably, access to counsel is lower than the state average in this community.
- Curfew filings were relatively high in the previous years but have decreased in recent years. Curfew violations, if not diverted, can net-widen juveniles into the juvenile justice system.
- Sheridan County does not have a diversion program, as such did not complete the diversion procedure and protocol survey.

Table 22. Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Sheridan	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	40.0% -- 59.9%	73.5%

Neb. Rev. 43-272. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Sheridan	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	8	352

2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
0	4	4	0	0	8



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 24.
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m

Filed Subtype	Sheridan					Total
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	1	5	3	1	10
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	0	0	0	0
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	0	0	0	1	0	1

Filed Subtype	Nebraska					Total
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25.
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) ^o

	Sheridan	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first time offenders to diversion	Did not complete survey	Yes: 27.3% No: 63.6% Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the time of the referral to diversion	Did not complete survey	Yes: 18.2% No: 70.5% Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are unsuccessful on diversion	Did not complete survey	Always: 47.7% Sometimes: 47.7% Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete diversion more than once	Did not complete survey	Yes: 61.4% No: 34.1% Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a juvenile ineligible for diversion	Did not complete survey	Yes: 86.4% No: 9.1% Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of intervention	Did not complete survey	Yes: 27.3% No: 61.4% Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	Did not complete survey	Yes: 31.8% No: 65.9% Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Did not complete survey	Yes: 86.4% No: 13.6%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior to discharge	Did not complete survey	Yes: 47.7% No: 25.0% Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Did not complete survey	Yes: 59.1% No: 22.7% Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 11.7%.
 - This is a decrease from the 2019 survey, but more people completed the survey; the number of people the survey was sent to increased.
 - The 2020 mean score for Collective Impact is lower than both the Panhandle 2019 response and overall Nebraska mean score, except for shared measurement.
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team. This includes age of team members.
 - There were 4 responses (25%) to race/ethnicity that had town name and two that are missing (12.5), so there may be more diversity than the survey revealed.

Table 26.
 Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^P

	Panhandle		Nebraska	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	24	137	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	12	16	221	345
Response rate	50.0%	11.7%	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.
 Collective Impact Survey Scores ^P

	Panhandle		Nebraska	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean Score	
Common agenda	5.33	5.27	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	5.52	5.13	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	5.57	5.60	5.21	5.45
Continuous communication	5.98	5.15	5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	6.16	5.64	5.52	5.78



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support:** Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ⁹

Table 28. Community Planning Team Diversity ^P

	Panhandle		Nebraska	
	N = 16	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	2	12.5%	101	29.3%
Female	13	81.3%	229	66.4%
Missing	1	6.3%	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30	--	--	19	5.6%
30-39	--	--	68	19.6%
40-49	3	18.9%	88	25.4%
50-59	8	50.2%	90	25.8%
60 and over	3	18.9%	44	13%
Missing	2	12.5%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	9	56.3%	230	66.7%
Black	--	--	10	2.9%
Hispanic	--	--	13	3.8%
Native American	1	6.3%	6	1.7%
Asian	--	--	1	0.3%
Other	--	--	2	0.6%
Provided town name	4	25.0%	63	18.3%
Missing	2	12.5%	19	5.5%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Previous System Involvement				
Yes	5	31.3%	98	28.4%
No	11	68.8%	242	70.1%
Missing	--	--	5	1.4%
System Point*				
Law enforcement	--	--	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	--	--	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	5	29.4%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	1	5.9%	10	2.3%
Diversion	3	17.6%	55	12.6%
Probation	--	--	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/ guardian ad litem	--	--	8	1.8%
DHHS or Child Welfare	1	5.9%	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	1	5.9%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention	--	--	8	1.8%
Community based program	5	29.4%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government	--	--	6	1.4%
Restorative practices	--	--	6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	1	5.9%	3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	12	75.0%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	4	25.0%	75	21.7%

Banner $n = 3$, Box Butte $n = 3$, Cheyenne $n = 5$, Dawes $n = 5$, Deuel $n = 3$, Garden $n = 3$, Kimball $n = 3$, Morrill $n = 2$, Scotts Bluff $n = 7$, Sioux $n = 2$, Sheridan $n = 2$ (three people named more than one county they represent)

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%



References and Resources

^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^b **School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch:** Prepared by
Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education

^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020

^d **Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use:** Bureau of
Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey:
<https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data>

^e **Referral to and utilization of services:** Department of Health and Human Services

^f **Adult and juvenile arrests:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

^g Diversion programs

^h **Domestic violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:
https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County_0.pdf

ⁱ Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

^k **Distance to detention facility:** Google Maps

^l **Racial and ethnic disparities:** Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided
by:



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:

<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System

Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^m **Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing:** Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.

**Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year**

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	19	22	86.4%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	35	62	56.5%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	9	9	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	63	93	67.7%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	8	18	44.4%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	24	95	25.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	7	38	18.4%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	39	151	25.8%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	1	19	5.3%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	17	93	18.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	5	20.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	19	117	16.2%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	6	10	60.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	10	22	45.5%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	0	1	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	16	33	48.5%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	2	29	6.9%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	0	65	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	0	2	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	2	101	2.0%