



Sherman County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019).....	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b	5
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	6
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	7
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	7
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ^l	8
Family Level	9
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	9
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	10
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h	10
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	10
Community Level	11
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	11
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	11
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	12
Policy, Legal and System Level	13
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	13
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) ^o	14
Community Team Level	16
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	16
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	16
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	17
References and Resources	19



UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA

JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE

EVIDENCE-BASED
NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year21



Youth Level

- The number of total youth with chronic absenteeism has increased slightly in past years.
- While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because the frequency was too low to report Native American and Black youth are over-represented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- Sherman County has more youth who qualified for free/reduced lunch compared to the state
- 93.4% of students graduated in Sherman County over the last 5 years.
- 8th, 10th, and 12th graders report being depressed and considering/attempting suicide at higher rates in Sherman County compared to the state; 8th and 12th graders also report loss of sleep from worry.
- 8th and 12th graders report alcohol use at higher rates in Sherman County compared to state rates; all grades report binge drinking at higher rates.
- 8th graders in Sherman County report higher rates of vaping compared to the state.
- 8th and 10th graders in Sherman County report feeling less hopeful for the future compared to the state.
- 8th and 10th graders report gang involvement at higher rates compared to the state.
- Arrests for all age groups increased in Sherman County between 2018 and 2019, especially with the “all other offenses” category.
 - There was a decrease in other assaults (non-aggravated).
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture of RED. Compared to the Census and school data, White youth are overrepresented at all system points except probation intake, Hispanic youth were overrepresented at probation intake.
- Youth are being referred to diversion and enrolling at the same rate, and completing diversion successfully at the same rate.

Table 1. Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Sherman	146	96.6%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%
Sherman	167	48.1%	16.8%	2.4%	19.3%	0.0%	13.4%

[Click here to go back to RED analysis](#)

Table 2.

School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	Sherman	444	4.28%	0.23%	0.00%	0.45%	0.00%	93.92%	1.13%
	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-2016	Sherman	446	4.04%	0.22%	0.00%	0.45%	0.00%	94.39%	0.90%
	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-2017	Sherman	466	4.29%	0.21%	0.43%	1.07%	0.21%	93.13%	0.64%
	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-2018	Sherman	480	3.75%	0.42%	0.00%	0.83%	0.21%	93.96%	0.83%
	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-2019	Sherman	453	4.19%	0.22%	0.22%	0.22%	0.00%	94.26%	0.88%
	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-2015	Sherman	19	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-2016	Sherman	22	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-2017	Sherman	29	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2017-2018	Sherman	32	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-2019	Sherman	46	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4.
 Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-2015	Sherman	444	13.74%	*	*	45.05%
	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-2016	Sherman	446	13.00%	*	*	44.62%
	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-2017	Sherman	466	13.30%	*	*	48.71%
	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-2018	Sherman	480	14.38%	*	*	47.29%
	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-2019	Sherman	453	13.69%	*	*	46.36%
	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5.
 Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c

County	Total in Last 5 Years		Yearly Averages		Graduation Rate	Rank
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students		
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Sherman	142	152	14.2	15.2	93.4%	57

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 6.
 Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Sherman	Loss of sleep from worry	47.6%	15.4%	25.0%
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Sherman	Depressed	47.6%	42.3%	37.5%
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Sherman	Considered/Attempted suicide	28.6%	23.1%	18.8%
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Sherman	Current alcohol	10.0%	11.5%	37.5%
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Sherman	Current binge drinking	5.0%	7.7%	25.0%
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Sherman	Current marijuana	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Sherman	Current tobacco	0.0%	3.8%	12.5%
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Sherman	Current vaping	14.3%	19.2%	31.3%
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Sherman	Hopeful for future (past week)	71.4%	65.4%	81.3%
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

**** JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data**

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis ^e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 11.
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Sherman	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	4.8%	15.4%	0.0%
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

Table 12.
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age	All Arrestee Ages			Under 18		
	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Summary Arrest Date						
Jurisdiction by Geography	SHERMAN COUNTY					
Arrest Offense						
Total	19	28	47.37	1	2	100.00
Aggravated Assault Total	-	2	-	-	-	-
Larceny-Theft Total	1	2	100.00	-	-	-
Other Assaults	6	1	-83.33	1	-	-100.00
Drug Violations - Possession	2	2	0.00	0	-	-
Offenses Against Family and Children	-	1	-	-	-	-
Driving Under the Influence	4	2	-50.00	-	-	-
Liquor Laws	1	1	0.00	0	-	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	5	17	240.00	-	2	-

Table 13.
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

Score	Sherman			All NYS Counties		
	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting	--	--	--	60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment	--	--	--	43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships	--	--	--	44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use	--	--	--	61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation	--	--	--	50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior	--	--	--	50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation	--	--	--	61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M = --, SD = --, --			M = 5.64, SD = 3.65, 0-17		

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 14.
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ¹

[Click here to see Census and School Population Data](#)

*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth taken to temporary custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth issued citation/referral	19	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth referred to diversion	10	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth enrolled in diversion	10	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful completion diversion	10	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: More Severe	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
RAI Override: Less Severe	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Probation intake	4	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	75%
Successful probation	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Revocation of probation								
Youth in OJS custody	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OJS custody: placed in detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Youth booked into detention more than once	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



Family Level

- Sherman County has higher rates of youth in poverty compared to the state.
- Number of adults with bachelor's degrees is lower than the state average; it may be possible that residents who go to college outside of Sherman County find employment where they go to school and do not return to Sherman County.
- Youth in Sherman County have access to computers and internet in the home at higher rates than the state.
 - Ranked 1st in the state for computers in the home.
- Compared to the state, 8th, 10th, and 12th graders in Sherman County report feeling less supported by adults at home, and 12th graders also report feeling less supported by adults in school.

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Sherman	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	15.4%	14.8
	Number of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	68	43,814
	Percent of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	31.3%	28.9%
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	18.2%	31.3%
	County Rank	76	-
	Age 25+ with some college, no degree	25.8%	23.0%
	County Rank	29	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	93.0%	91.1%
	County Rank	37	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a computer at home	100.0%	96.9%
	County Rank	1	-
	% under 18 with an internet subscription at home	96.5%	91.0%
	County Rank	12	-
	% under 18 with broadband internet access at home	95.5%	90.8%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

	County Rank	17	-
Housing	Owner-occupied households	1,043	498,567
	Total households	1,358	754,063
	Owner %	76.8%	66.1%
	Renters	315	255,496
	Renter %	23.2%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	30	40,465
	Total households	1,358	754,063
	No vehicle %	2.2%	5.4%

Table 16.
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Sherman	Adult at home who listens	75.0%	80.8%	81.3%
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Sherman	Adult at school who listens	90.5%	88.5%	75.0%
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

Table 17.
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Sherman	0	0	3	0
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18.
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Sherman	26	46%	17%	67%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



Community Level

- With regard to how youth perceive how their community feels about substance use, 8th, 10th, and 12th graders are reporting lower than state averages for alcohol and 10th graders report lower than the state average for cigarettes.
- Juvenile record sealing is not “automatic” even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older cases.
 - Sherman County is very close to 100% in all categories.
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Table 19. Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	County	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	0	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	2	1,639
Other Assaults	1	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Sherman	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	100.0%	92.3%	93.8%
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Sherman	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	81.3%	69.2%	56.3%
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Sherman	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	93.8%	80.8%	81.3%
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%



Table 21. Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see [Appendix for yearly data](#)

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	24	25	96.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	44	49	89.8%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	16	16	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	0	1	0.0%
Total	84	91	92.3%

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. Some cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



Policy, Legal and System Level

- Despite not being in a county required to provide counsel under statute, access to counsel is similar to the state average, but still lower than ideal.
- There are few curfew and 3A, 3B, and 3C filings in court so the community is diverting appropriately.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable.

Table 22.
 Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Sherman	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	60.0% -- 79.9%	73.5%

Neb. Rev. 43-272. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23.
 Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Sherman	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 24.
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m

Filed Subtype	Sherman					Total
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	
3A - Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	0	0	0	0
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0

Filed Subtype	Nebraska					Total
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally Ill and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25.
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) ^o

	Sherman	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first time offenders to diversion	Yes	Yes: 27.3% No: 63.6% Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the time of the referral to diversion	No	Yes: 18.2% No: 70.5% Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are unsuccessful on diversion	Always	Always: 47.7% Sometimes: 47.7% Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete diversion more than once	Yes	Yes: 61.4% No: 34.1% Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a juvenile ineligible for diversion	No; (unless it was murder or other serious charge)	Yes: 86.4% No: 9.1% Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of intervention	No	Yes: 27.3% No: 61.4% Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8% No: 65.9% Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$75 (but can be waived)	Yes: 86.4% No: 13.6%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior to discharge	Yes; additional activities if they do not comply	Yes: 47.7% No: 25.0% Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Yes; All juveniles who successfully complete get their record sealed. It is just noted in the file that it is sealed.	Yes: 59.1% No: 22.7% Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 83.3%. This is a large increase from the 2019 survey.
- The rates of collective impact went up for all elements from the 2019 survey.
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.)
- If possible, you should try to have community members at all system points. Per the responses to this survey, there are a few system points not represented, but perhaps the 2 team members who did respond would have been in those areas.

Table 26. Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^P

Year of survey	Sherman		Nebraska	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	10	12	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	4	10	221	345
Response rate	40.0%	83.3%	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27. Collective Impact Survey Scores ^P

Year of survey	Sherman		Nebraska	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean Score	
Common agenda	4.45	5.20	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	4.81	5.30	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	4.50	5.10	5.21	5.45
Continuous communication	3.81	5.10	5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	4.77	5.00	5.52	5.78

The five elements of Collective Impact are:



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support:** Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ⁹

Table 28.
 Community Planning Team Diversity ^P

	Sherman		Nebraska	
	N =	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	5	50.0%	101	29.3%
Female	5	50.0%	229	66.4%
Missing	--	--	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30	--	--	19	5.6%
30-39	1	10.0%	68	19.6%
40-49	4	40.0%	88	25.4%
50-59	4	40.0%	90	25.8%
60 and over	--	--	44	13%
Missing	1	10.0%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	10	100.0%	230	66.7%
Black	--	--	10	2.9%
Hispanic	--	--	13	3.8%
Native American	--	--	6	1.7%
Asian	--	--	1	0.3%
Other	--	--	2	0.6%
Provided town name	--	--	63	18.3%
Missing	--	--	19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes	3	30.0%	98	28.4%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

No	7	70.0%	242	70.1%
Missing	--	--	5	1.4%
System Point *				
Law enforcement	1	8.3%	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	1	8.3%	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	4	33.3%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	--	--	10	2.3%
Diversion	1	8.3%	55	12.6%
Probation	--	--	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/ guardian ad litem	1	8.3%	8	1.8%
DHHS or Child Welfare	--	--	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	1	8.3%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention	--	--	8	1.8%
Community based program	2	16.7%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government	--	--	6	1.4%
Restorative practices	1	8.3%	6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	--	--	3	0.7%
Other	--	--	16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	9	90.0%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	1	10.0%	75	21.7%

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%



References and Resources

- ^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b **School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch:** Prepared by
Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d **Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use:** Bureau of
Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey:
<https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data>
- ^e **Referral to and utilization of services:** Department of Health and Human Services
- ^f **Adult and juvenile arrests:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>
- ^g Diversion programs
- ^h **Domestic violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault:
https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County_0.pdf
- ⁱ Child abuse and neglect
- ^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>
- ^k **Distance to detention facility:** Google Maps
- ^l **Racial and ethnic disparities:** Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided
by:



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:

<https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx>

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System

Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^m **Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing:** Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.

**Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year**

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	10	10	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	22	22	100%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	34	34	100%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	10	10	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	15	15	100%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	3	3	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	0	1	0.0%
Total	28	29	96.6%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	--	--	--
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	6	6	100%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	5	5	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	11	11	100%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	4	75.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	0	3	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	3	3	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	6	12	50.0%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	1	1	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	--	--	--
Filed in Juv. Court	1	1	100%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	3	3	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court	--	--	--
Total	5	5	100%