UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Sioux County

Table of Contents

I

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b	
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^\circ$	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	7
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ^I	8
Family Level	9
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	•
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	10
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h	10
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	10
Community Level	11
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	11
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	11
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	12
Policy, Legal and System Level	13
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	13
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °	14
Community Team Level	16
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	16
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	16
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	17
References and Resources	19





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Youth Level

- Very low rates of absenteeism in Sioux County 0 since 2016/2017, and 100% graduation rate.
- This community has not participated in the NRPFSS and should consider participating in the next survey year (2020) to get youth-level data on mental health, gangs, supportive adults and community perceptions of substance use.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- Although the Census and school data indicate few Black youth, they are over-represented in successful probation discharges.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture of RED
 - Successful probation closure or revocation is the only system point that JJI had race/ethnicity data for in Sioux County.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) *

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Sioux	32	93.8%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%
Sioux	25	88.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.0%

Click here to go back to RED analysis



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 2.

School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Sioux	99	5.05%	0.00%	2.02%	1.01%	0.00%	89.90%	2.02%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Sioux	95	4.21%	0.00%	1.05%	2.11%	0.00%	89.47%	3.16%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Sioux	109	3.67%	0.00%	0.92%	0.92%	0.00%	91.74%	2.75%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Sioux	98	5.10%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	89.80%	5.10%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Sioux	100	8.00%	0.00%	1.00%	0.00%	0.00%	87.00%	4.00%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Sioux	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Sioux	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Sioux	12	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Sioux	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Sioux	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4.

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Sioux	99	*	*	*	*
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Sioux	95	*	*	*	*
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	Sioux	109	*	*	*	*
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	Sioux	98	*	*	*	*
2018	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Sioux	100	*	*	*	*
2019	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5.

Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c

County	Total in Las	st 5 Years	Yearly Av	verages	Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates Students		Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Sioux	27	27	5.4	5.4	100.0%	1

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6.

Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

	Youth Report of MH Symptoms	8 th	10 th	12 th
Sioux	Loss of sleep from worry			
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Sioux	Depressed			
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Sioux	Considered/Attempted suicide			
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Sioux	Current alcohol			
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Sioux	Current binge drinking			
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Sioux	Current marijuana			
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Sioux	Current tobacco			





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Sioux	Current vaping			
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Sioux	Hopeful for future (past week)			
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

*Sioux County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Sioux	Youth Reported Gang Involvement			
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

*Sioux County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 12.

Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages	Under 18			
Summary Arrest Date	2018 2019 2018 - 2019			2018	2019	2018 - 2019	
			Growth %			Growth %	
Jurisdiction by Geography	SIOUX COUNTY						
Arrest Offense							
Total	1	4	300.00	0	0	-	
Drug Violations - Possession	-	1	-	-	0	-	
Driving Under the Influence	1 2 100.00 0 0 -						
Liquor Laws	-	1	-	-	0	-	

Table 13.

Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017)^g

		Sioux		All NYS Counties			
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2	
Family Circumstance/Parenting				60.1%	26.7%	13.1%	
Education/Employment				43.0%	44.0%	13.1%	
Peer Relationships				44.7%	46.6%	8.6%	
Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%	
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%	
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%	
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%	
Mean Score	M =, SD =, M = 5.64, SD = 3.65, 0-				65, 0-17		

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 14.

Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)¹

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	3*							
Youth referred to diversion								
Youth enrolled in diversion								
Successful completion diversion								
Youth with multiple charges								
Filed on in adult court								
RAI Override: More Severe								
RAI Override: Less Severe								
Probation intake								
Successful probation	4	0%	0%	<mark>100%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	0%
Revocation of probation	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

*Sioux County sheriff did not report to the NCC 2015 - 2018



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Family Level

- The number of people with bachelor's degrees is lower compared to the state; this could be a result of residents going to college in a different community and not returning to Sioux County.
- Sioux Count is ranked #1 with youth under 18 having a computer at home, but has a lower percentage of youth with internet subscriptions and access to broadband internet at home than the state.
- Sioux County has a slightly higher rate of households without access to a vehicle that the state average.

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Sioux	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	13.7%	14.8%
	Number of children 12-	9	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	23.7%	28.9%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>26.6%</mark>	31.3%
	County rank	13	-
	Age 25+ with some	24.2%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County rank	45	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	93.3%	91.1%
	County Rank	29	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	100.0%	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County rank	<mark>1</mark>	-
	% under 18 with an	<mark>67.3%</mark>	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County rank	92	-
	% under 18 with	<mark>67.3%</mark>	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	92	-





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Housing	Owner-occupied households	423	498,567
	Total households	579	754,063
	Owner %	73.1%	66.1%
	Renters	156	255,496
	Renter %	26.9%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	34	40,465
	Total households	579	754,063
	No vehicle %	<mark>5.9%</mark>	5.4%

Table 16.

Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Sioux	Adult at home who listens			
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Sioux	Adult at school who listens			
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

*Sioux County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

Table 17. Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Sioux	0	0	0	0
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Sioux	8	25%	50%	50%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Community Level

- Sioux County has very few juvenile court cases in the past four years
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment).

Table 19. Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	County	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter		34
Rape		264
Robbery		367
Aggravated Assault		1,639
Other Assaults		8,782

Data reported as 0 or not reported at all

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Sioux	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana			
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Sioux	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol			
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Sioux	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes			
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%

*Sioux County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 21.

Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	0	1	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	3	<mark>33.3%</mark>
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	1	4	25.0%

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Policy, Legal and System Level

- No court fillings for curfew, homeless/neglect, absenteeism/truancy, uncontrollable youth or mentally ill and dangerous for juveniles in the past 4 years.
- Sioux County does not have a diversion program, as such did not complete the diversion procedure and protocol survey.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Sioux	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	No Juvenile Court Cases	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation $(2015 - 2019)^{m}$

	Sioux	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 24.

Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases $(2015 - 2019)^{m}$

		Sioux					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total	
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0	

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25.

County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °

	Sioux	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	Did not complete survey	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	Did not complete survey	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Did not complete survey	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Did not complete survey	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Did not complete survey	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion		No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	Did not complete survey	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	Did not complete survey	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Did not complete survey	Yes: 86.4%
-		No: 13.6%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	Did not complete survey	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge		No: 25.0%
		Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Did not complete survey	Yes: 59.1%
		No: 22.7%
		Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 11.7%.
 - This is a decrease from the 2019 survey, but more people completed the survey; the number of people the survey was sent to increased.
 - The 2020 mean score for Collective Impact is lower than both the Panhandle 2019 response and overall Nebraska mean score, except for shared measurement.
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team. This includes age of team members.
 - There were 4 responses (25%) to race/ethnicity that had town name and two that are missing (12.5), so there may be more diversity than the survey revealed.
- Survey results show no probation, law enforcement, or attorneys on your team, but this may be due to the low response rate.

Table 26. Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	Panhandle		Nebraska	
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	24	137	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	12	16	221	345
Response rate	50.0%	<mark>11.7%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.

Collective Impact Survey Scores^p

	Panhano	dle	Nebraska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020	
	Mean Score		Mean Score		
Common agenda	5.33	<mark>5.27</mark>	5.29	5.69	
Mutually reinforcing	5.52	<mark>5.13</mark>	5.37	5.50	
Shared measurement	5.57	5.60	5.21	5.45	
Continuous communication	5.98	<mark>5.15</mark>	5.49	5.55	
Backbone agency	6.16	<mark>5.64</mark>	5.52	5.78	

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- Backbone support: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28. Community Planning Team Diversity ^p

	Panhandle		Neb	raska
	<i>N</i> = 16	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	2	12.5%	101	29.3%
Female	13	81.3%	229	66.4%
Missing	1	6.3%	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39			68	19.6%
40-49	3	18.9%	88	25.4%
50-59	8	50.2%	90	25.8%
60 and over	3	18.9%	44	13%
Missing	2	12.5%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	9	56.3%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American	1	6.3%	6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name	4	25.0%	63	18.3%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Missing	2	12.5%	19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes	5	31.3%	98	28.4%
No	11	68.8%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point*				
Law enforcement	<mark></mark>		34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	<mark></mark>		32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	5	29.4%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	1	5.9%	10	2.3%
Diversion	3	17.6%	55	12.6%
Probation	<mark></mark>		31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem DHHS or Child Welfare	1	5.9%	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	1	5.9%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention	1	5.970	8	1.8%
Community based program	5	29.4%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government		23.470	6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	1	5.9%	3	0.7%
Other	•	0.070	16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	12	75.0%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	4	25.0%	75	21.7%

Banner n = 3, Box Butte n = 3, Cheyenne n = 5, Dawes n = 5, Deuel n = 3, Garden n = 3, Kimball n = 3, Morrill n = 2, Scotts Bluff n = 7, Sioux n = 2, Sheridan n = 2 (three people named more than one county they represent

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education

[°] **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020

^d **Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use:** Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: <u>https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data</u>

^e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services

^f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> ^g Diversion programs

 ^h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: <u>https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2</u>
<u>0by%20County 0.pdf</u>
¹ Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> ^k **Distance to detention facility:** Google Maps

¹**Racial and ethnic disparities:** Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ Access to Counsel: Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: <u>www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount</u>. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

° **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.