EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Thayer County

Table of Contents

L

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) *	3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b	5
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^\circ$	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ^I	8
Family Level	9
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	. 10
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h	. 10
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	. 10
Community Level	. 11
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	. 11
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	. 11
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	. 12
Policy, Legal and System Level	. 13
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	. 13
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	. 13
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	. 13
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °	. 14
Community Team Level	. 16
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	. 16
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	. 16
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	. 17
References and Resources	. 19
Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year	.21





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Youth Level

- Table 1: Thayer County has a relatively small minority population compared to the rest of the State, with 91%-96% youth reported as White.
- Table 2: Dept. of Education data show the youth enrolled match closely to census data.
- Table 3: We could not get data for chronic absenteeism for Hispanic, Asian, Black or Native American or Hawaiian because the frequency was too low to report, but it appears that the 40-60 youth were White.
- Table 4: IDEA rates are slightly higher than the rest of the state. While the percent of youth on free and reduced lunch is lower than the rest of the state.
- Table 5: High school graduation rates are quite high compared to the rest of the state.
- Table 6: Youth report worry and depression at lower rates than the rest of the state but binge drinking is higher across all three age groups. (8th, 10th and 12th grades). Marijuana us is lower but tobacco (not vaping) is higher than the rest of the state. Many of your seniors report feeling hopeful.
- Table 7- 11 Data not available.
- Table 11: None of your 10th and 12th graders reported gang involvement!
- Table 12: Adult crime was down by 32.7% and very few youth committed crimes.
- Table 13: There are empty spaces under Risk Assessment Domains. This is because these are issued at diversion, and Thayer County did not have recorded cases 2015-2019. However, a statewide tool is on the horizon. A validated tool can help design interventions (using the 8 domains).
- Table 14: It might help to verify all law violations with local law enforcement. We see only 3 youth were issued citations (per NCC website). However, probation data indicate 15-20 youth on probation and minority youth do not appear to be as successful as White youth.
 - A disproportionate number of Black and Hispanic youth are on probation, and both had high rates of revocation (small number of cases).

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) *

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Thayer	282	<mark>90.8%</mark>	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%

Females





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%
Thayer	205	<mark>96.1%</mark>	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2.

School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Thayer	854	2.58%	0.70%	0.23%	1.64%	0.00%	93.91%	0.94%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Thayer	876	3.31%	0.68%	0.00%	1.48%	0.00%	93.95%	0.57%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Thayer	886	2.93%	0.56%	0.23%	1.02%	0.00%	94.47%	0.79%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Thayer	910	3.52%	0.44%	0.22%	0.77%	0.00%	94.40%	0.66%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Thayer	917	4.58%	0.11%	0.33%	1.09%	0.00%	93.57%	0.33%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Thayer	<mark>43</mark>	*	*	*	*	*	<mark>100.00%</mark>	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	<mark>51.61%</mark>	4.68%
2015-	Thayer	<mark>61</mark>	*	*	*	*	*	<mark>100.00%</mark>	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	<mark>49.68%</mark>	4.83%
2016-	Thayer	<mark>58</mark>	*	*	*	*	*	<mark>100.00%</mark>	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	<mark>47.66%</mark>	4.92%
2017-	Thayer	<mark>50</mark>	*	*	*	*	*	<mark>100.00%</mark>	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	<mark>47.37%</mark>	2389





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018-	Thayer	<mark>41</mark>	*	*	*	*	*	<mark>100.00%</mark>	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	<mark>46.27%</mark>	5.23%
Dorth	Der the Nebreake Depertment of Education, the * represents marked date, which they define as 10 or forum								

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4.

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Thayer	854	<mark>17.68%</mark>	*	*	<mark>30.21%</mark>
2015	Nebraska	312,281	<mark>13.66%</mark>	0.76%	5.97%	<mark>44.53%</mark>
2015-	Thayer	876	<mark>20.55%</mark>	*	*	<mark>35.05%</mark>
2016	Nebraska	315,542	<mark>13.64%</mark>	0.90%	5.90%	<mark>44.23%</mark>
2016-	Thayer	886	<mark>21.33%</mark>	*	*	<mark>34.88%</mark>
2017	Nebraska	318,853	<mark>13.80%</mark>	0.93%	6.99%	<mark>44.76%</mark>
2017-	Thayer	910	<mark>23.85%</mark>	*	*	<mark>35.27%</mark>
2018	Nebraska	323,391	<mark>15.87%</mark>	0.88%	6.59%	<mark>46.24%</mark>
2018-	Thayer	917	<mark>21.16%</mark>	*	*	<mark>35.44%</mark>
2019	Nebraska	325,984	<mark>16.13%</mark>	0.85%	6.78%	<mark>45.42%</mark>

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5.

Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °

County	Total in Las	st 5 Years	Yearly Av	verages	Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students	Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Thayer	316	326	21.1	21.7	<mark>96.9%</mark>	18

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6.

Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Thayer	Loss of sleep from worry	<mark>21.0%</mark>	18.2%	23.2%
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Thayer	Depressed	<mark>32.3%</mark>	27.8%	32.1%
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Thayer	Considered/Attempted suicide	16.1%	10.9%	14.3%
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Thayer	Current alcohol	11.5%	25.5%	33.9%
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Thayer	Current binge drinking	<mark>3.2%</mark>	<mark>7.1%</mark>	<mark>16.1%</mark>
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Thayer	Current marijuana	1.7%	3.6%	8.9%
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Thayer	Current tobacco	<mark>6.5%</mark>	<mark>12.5%</mark>	<mark>21.4%</mark>
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Thayer	Current vaping	6.5%	21.8%	26.8%
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Thayer	Hopeful for future (past week)	68.3%	71.4%	<mark>80.4%</mark>
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis^e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Thayer	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 12.Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages		Und	der 18
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography			THAYER		Ϋ́	
Arrest Offense						
Total	52	35	<mark>-32.69</mark>	0	3	-
Rape Total	-	1	-	-	1	-
Aggravated Assault Total	2	2	<mark>0.00</mark>	0	-	-
Burglary Total	1	-	<mark>-100.00</mark>	0	-	-
Larceny-Theft Total	1	2	<mark>100.00</mark>	0	-	-
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	-	1	-		-	-
Other Assaults	7	13	<mark>85.71</mark>	0	1	-
Fraud	4	1	<mark>-75.00</mark>	0	1	-
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	-	1	-	-	-	-
Drug Violations - Possession	7	5	<mark>-28.57</mark>	0	0	-
Offenses Against Family and Children	2	-	<mark>-100.00</mark>	0	-	-
Driving Under the Influence	8	1	<mark>-87.50</mark>	0	0	-
Liquor Laws	18	6	<mark>-66.67</mark>	0	0	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	2	2	<mark>0.00</mark>	0	0	-

Table 13.Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) g

	Thayer			All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting				60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment				43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships				44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	М	=, SD =	,	<i>M</i> = 5.6	4, SD = 3.	65, 0-17

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 14.

Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)¹

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	3							
Youth referred to diversion								
Youth enrolled in diversion								
Successful completion diversion								
Youth with multiple charges	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
Filed on in adult court	2	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	50%	0%
RAI Override: More Severe	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	13	<mark>7.70%</mark>	0%	<mark>7.70%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	<mark>84.60%</mark>
Successful probation	21	<mark>0%</mark>	0%	<mark>4.80%</mark>	<mark>4.80%</mark>	<mark>4.80%</mark>	0%	<mark>85.70%</mark>
Revocation of probation	18	<mark>5.60%</mark>	0%	<mark>22.20%</mark>	<mark>11.10%</mark>	0%	0%	<mark>61.10%</mark>
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Family Level

- Table 15: Thayer County has slightly lower poverty rates as compared to the rest of the state (10.8% compared to 14.8%), and comparable levels (26% vs 29%) of extreme poverty (185% below poverty level.)
 - Lower number of people have college education, but 92.7% graduate HS.
 - We looked at broadband internet access for purposes of COVID. Many youth have access to a computer (95.4%), but fewer have internet access at home 90.0% (this may have gone up in 2020).
 - Higher percent of home owner rates compared to the entire state.
- Table 16: Both 8th and 12th graders report a higher rate of having an adult who listens at home, 8th graders also report having an adult at school.
- Table 17: Relatively few acts of violence in your families (over a year).
- Table 18: Of the 88 CPS complaints assessed, only 11% were found to be "substantiated," which is slightly lower than the rest of the state.

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Thayer	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	<mark>10.8%</mark>	14.8%
	Number of children 12-	103	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	<mark>26.9%</mark>	28.9%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>19.8%</mark>	31.3%
	County Rank	59	-
	Age 25+ with some	23.5%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County Rank	56	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	<mark>92.7%</mark>	91.1%
	County Rank	44	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	<mark>95.4%</mark>	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County Rank	78	-
	% under 18 with an	90.1%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County Rank	55	-





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

	% under 18 with broadband internet access at home	<mark>89.9%</mark>	90.8%
	County Rank	53	-
Housing	Owner-occupied households	1,797	498,567
	Total households	2,283	754,063
	Owner %	<mark>78.7%</mark>	66.1%
	Renters	486	255,496
	Renter %	21.3%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	101	40,465
	Total households	2,283	754,063
	No vehicle %	4.4%	5.4%

Table 16.

Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Thayer	Adult at home who listens	<mark>88.7%</mark>	83.9%	<mark>87.5%</mark>
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Thayer	Adult at school who listens	<mark>87.3%</mark>	80.4%	87.5%
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Thayer	2	2	1	1
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reportsⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Thayer	88	31%	11%	81%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Community Level

- Table 19: Measures of community violence were not bad compared to other counties, only 13 assaults.
- Table 20: Youth in your community think adults are opposed to Marijuana use, but 12th graders report that adults are more accepting of alcohol use.
- Table 21: Sealing juvenile records is problematic. Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment).

Table 19.

Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Thayer	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	1	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	2	1,639
Other Assaults	13	8,782

Table 20.

Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Thayer	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	<mark>96.8%</mark>	<mark>88.9%</mark>	<mark>91.1%</mark>
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Thayer	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	87.1%	80.0%	<mark>57.1%</mark>
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Thayer	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	88.7%	86.8%	78.6%
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 21.

Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	30	43	<mark>69.8%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	111	234	<mark>47.4%</mark>
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	6	13	<mark>46.2%</mark>
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	147	290	<mark>50.7%</mark>

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis.



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; notably, access to counsel is very low in this community.
- There are few curfew and 3A, 3B, and 3C filings in court so the community is diverting appropriately.

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Thayer	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	0.0% 19.9%	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019)^m

	Thayer	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352

Table 24.

Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases $(2015 - 2019)^{m}$

	Thayer					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	0	1	2	0	<mark>3</mark>
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	0	1	0	1
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25.

County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °

	Thayer	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	Did not complete survey	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	Did not complete survey	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Did not complete survey	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Did not complete survey	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Did not complete survey	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion		No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	Did not complete survey	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	Did not complete survey	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Did not complete survey	Yes: 86.4%
		No: 13.6%
		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	Did not complete survey	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge		No: 25.0%
		Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Did not complete survey	Yes: 59.1%
	-	No: 22.7%
		Not sure: 18.2%



*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation
 on planning issues, your response rate was 12.5% (2 respondents). Rates of collective impact
 were fairly low (compared to the state and the prior year), but are likely not reflective of your
 entire team.
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community, but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add diverse member to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation.)
- On the survey –no probation, law enforcement, defense counsel in your team but perhaps they did not respond to the survey.
- Both people felt heard by the group, but that may not be representative due to low response rate.

Table 26. Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	Thayer		Nebr	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	18	16	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	4	<mark>2</mark>	221	345
Response rate	22.2%	<mark>12.5%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.

Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p

	Thaye	r	Nebraska	
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean	Score
Common agenda	4.18	<mark>4.00</mark>	5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	4.81	5.00	5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	4.73	<mark>4.00</mark>	5.21	5.45
Continuous communication	4.89	<mark>4.00</mark>	5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	5.08	<mark>4.00</mark>	5.52	5.78

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- Backbone support: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28. Community Planning Team Diversity ^p

	Tha	yer	Neb	raska
	N =	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	1	50.0%	101	29.3%
Female	1	50.0%	229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39			68	19.6%
40-49			88	25.4%
50-59			90	25.8%
60 and over	1	50.0%	44	13%
Missing	1	50.0%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	1	50.0%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name			63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes	1	50.0%	98	28.4%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

No	1	50.0%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point*				
Law enforcement			34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court			32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education			65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	1	50.0%	10	2.3%
Diversion			55	12.6%
Probation			31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare			13	3.0%
Treatment provider			40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program	1	50.0%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	2	100%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard			75	21.7%

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education

[°] **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020

^d **Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use:** Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: <u>https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data</u>

^e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services

^f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> ^g Diversion programs

 ^h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: <u>https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2</u>
 <u>0by%20County 0.pdf</u>
 ¹ Child abuse and neglect

^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> ^k **Distance to detention facility:** Google Maps

¹**Racial and ethnic disparities:** Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ Access to Counsel: Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: <u>www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount</u>. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

° **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	9	11	81.8%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	31	62	50.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	40	73	54.8%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	10	18	55.6%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	41	89	46.1%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	3	3	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	54	110	49.1%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	5	7	71.4%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	18	31	58.1%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	25	40	62.5%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	5	5	100%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	11	19	57.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	17	25	68.0%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	1	2	50.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	10	33	30.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	0	7	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	11	42	26.2%