



Valley County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018)	a3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b	5
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	7
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ¹	8
Family Level	9
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportat year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	•
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) d	10
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) h	10
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) i	10
Community Level	11
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	11
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	11
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	12
Policy, Legal and System Level	13
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) n	13
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °	14
Community Team Level	16
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	16
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	16
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	17
References and Resources	19



Youth Level

- While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because
 the frequency was too low to report, Hispanic, Native American, and Black youth are overrepresented statewide in chronic absenteeism.
- This community has not participated in the NRPFSS and should consider participating in the
 next survey year (2020) to get youth-level data on mental health, gangs, supportive adults and
 community perceptions of substance use.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- Although there is not much juvenile crime, crime for all ages increased from 2018 to 2019 and juvenile crime remained consistent.
- A RED analysis could not be conducted because there was little data and most youth were White.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area Name	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Valley	214	98.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%

Females

Geographic Area Name	Total count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%
Valley	207	97.6%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Click here to go back to RED analysis





Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Valley	741	4.05%	0.40%	0.00%	0.40%	0.00%	95.14%	0.00%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Valley	758	3.30%	0.53%	0.00%	0.40%	0.00%	95.78%	0.00%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Valley	751	3.60%	0.40%	0.27%	0.67%	0.00%	95.07%	0.00%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Valley	725	3.03%	0.14%	0.55%	1.10%	0.00%	95.17%	0.00%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Valley	730	1.92%	0.27%	0.55%	0.82%	0.00%	96.16%	0.27%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Valley	59	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Valley	44	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Valley	49	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Valley	53	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Valley	74	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students



Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b

Year	Geographic	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
	Area				-	
2014-	Valley	741	9.85%	*	1.75%	40.62%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Valley	758	10.55%	*	1.85%	43.01%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	Valley	751	9.19%	*	*	41.68%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	Valley	725	11.72%	*	*	45.52%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Valley	730	12.88%	*	*	47.95%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ^c

County	Total in Las	st 5 Years	Yearly Av	Graduation		
	Graduates	Students	Graduates Students		Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2 22,571.4		88.7%	n/a
Valley	265	271	26.5 27.1		97.8%	7

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Valley	Loss of sleep from worry			
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Valley	Depressed			
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Valley	Considered/Attempted suicide			
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Valley	Current alcohol			
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Valley	Current binge drinking			

Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Valley	Current marijuana			
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Valley	Current tobacco			
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Valley	Current vaping			
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Valley	Hopeful for future (past week)			
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

^{*}Valley County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services e

Table 8

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Valley	Youth Reported Gang Involvement			
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

^{*}Valley County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey





Table 12.
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages		Und	der 18
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography			VALLEY	COUNT	Υ	
Arrest Offense						
Total	54	58	7.41	7	7	0.00
Rape Total	-	3	-	-	1	-
Aggravated Assault Total	1	2	100.00	-	-	-
Larceny-Theft Total	1	1	0.00	-	-	-
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	3	-	-100.00	3	-	-100.00
Other Assaults	9	10	11.11	3	2	-33.33
Fraud	-	1	-	-	-	-
Vandalism	1	1	0.00	-	-	-
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	1	2	100.00	1	-	-100.00
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing		1	-		0	-
Drug Violations - Possession	8	6	-25.00	0	0	-
Offenses Against Family and Children	-	1	-	-	0	-
Driving Under the Influence	10	12	20.00	0	0	-
Liquor Laws	9	5	-44.44	0	1	-
Disorderly Conduct	2	-	-100.00	-	-	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	9	13	44.44	-	3	-

Table 13. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	Valley			All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting				60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment				43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships				44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M	=, SD =	,	M = 5.6	34, SD = 3.	65, 0-17

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed





Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	29*							
Youth referred to diversion	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth enrolled in diversion	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful completion diversion	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%
Filed on in adult court	6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.30%	66.70%
RAI Override: More Severe	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	13	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful probation	9	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Revocation of probation	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

^{*}Valley County sheriff did not report to NCC 2015-2016



Family Level

- Rates of poverty do not appear to be an issue as compared to the state average.
- Number of adults with bachelor's degrees is lower than the state average; it may be possible that
 residents who go to college outside of the County find employment where they go to school and
 do not return to the County.

Table 15.
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Valley	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	7.4%	14.8%
•	Number of children 12-	81	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	25.6%	28.9%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>22.8%</mark>	<mark>31.3%</mark>
	County rank	30	-
	Age 25+ with some	24.6%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County rank	39	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	92.5%	91.1%
	County Rank	48	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	97.4%	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County rank	63	-
	% under 18 with an	93.0%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County rank	32	-
	% under 18 with	93.0%	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	28	-





Housing	Owner-occupied households	1,365	498,567
	Total households	1,840	754,063
	Owner %	74.2%	66.1%
	Renters	475	255,496
	Renter %	25.8%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no	84	40,465
	vehicle available		
	Total households	1,840	754,063
	No vehicle %	4.6%	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Valley	Adult at home who listens			
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Valley	Adult at school who listens			
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

^{*}Valley County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey

Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
		Means		·
Valley	3	2	4	4
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) i

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Valley	43	42%	17%	78%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



Community Level

- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older cases.
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity.

Table 19. Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) j

Type of Violence	Valley	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	3	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	2	1,639
Other Assaults	10	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Valley	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana			
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Valley	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol			
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Valley	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes			
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%

^{*}Valley County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey





Table 21.

Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	14	22	<mark>63.6%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	0	1	0.0%
Filed in Juv. Court	25	53	47.2%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	8	10	80.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	47	96	49.0%

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not required to provide counsel at the time of filing and the rates for access to counsel is much lower than the state average.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - Having a process for sealing records for youth on diversion with law enforcement and JCMS, as required by statute.
 - o ensure fees are similar to court costs (even with the sliding scale)
 - o use graduated sanctions, where youth are given incremental consequences or rewards, as opposed to discharging them unsuccessfully as a first response.

Table 22. Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Valley	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	<mark>40.0% 59.9%</mark>	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Valley	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352



Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) $^{\rm m}$

		Custer					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total	
3A - Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	1	1	0	0	2	
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0	

		Nebraska					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total	
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7	
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997	
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491	
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306	

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^{\circ}$

	Valley	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	yes	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Sometimes	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Yes	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	No	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion		No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	No	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$150 with a sliding scale	Yes: 86.4%
		No: 13.6%





		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	No	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge		No: 25.0%
		Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Not sure	Yes: 59.1%
		No: 22.7%
		Not sure: 18.2%

^{*}responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues.
- The response rate for the collective impact survey decreased from 2019 to 2020. With the low response rate, the collective impact domains and diversity of the team are not reliable.
- The community team should be representative of the community; however, there should be representation from groups that are over-represented in the juvenile justice system (i.e., Hispanic/Latino and Black).

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	Custer Team		Nebraska	
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	30	34	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	3	2	221	345
Response rate	10.0%	5.9%	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores P

	Custer Te	eam	Nebraska					
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020				
	Mean Score		Mean Score		Mean Score		Mean	Score
Common agenda	4.55	5.00	5.29	5.69				
Mutually reinforcing	5.04	4.50	5.37	5.50				
Shared measurement	5.00	4.50	5.21	5.45				
Continuous communication	5.30	5.00	5.49	5.55				
Backbone agency	5.33	5.00	5.52	5.78				

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

• **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.





- Mutually reinforcing activities: Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- Backbone support: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity P

	Cus	ter	Neb	raska
	N = 2	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender		,		·
Male	1	50.0%	101	29.3%
Female	1	50.0%	229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Ago				
Age Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39			68	19.6%
40-49	1	50.0%	88	25.4%
50-59	1	50.0%	90	25.4%
60 and over			44	13%
Missing			36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	1	50.0%	230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name	1	50.0%	63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%
Durania va Cristana Imraeli samant				
Previous System Involvement	1	F0.00/	00	00.40/
Yes	1 1	50.0%	98	28.4%
No	1	50.0%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%





System Point *				
Law enforcement			34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court			32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	1	50.0%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based			10	2.3%
Diversion			55	12.6%
Probation			31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare	1	50.0%	13	3.0%
Treatment provider			40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program			109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	2	100%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard			75	21.7%

Custer n = 2

^{*}note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

- ^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- ^f **Adult and juvenile arrests:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 ^g Diversion programs
- h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County 0.pdf
- Child abuse and neglect
- ^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
- ^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps
- ¹Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:



Nebraska Crime Commission. Crime Statistics:



https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx

Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- ^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- ^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- P Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^q Collective Impact Elements: Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. Stanford Social Innovation Review.



Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	2	2	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	2	50.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	3	4	75.0%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	4	75.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	4	6	66.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	2	50.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	8	12	66.7%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	5	5	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	14	16	87.5%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	2	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	21	33	63.6%





2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	4	7	57.1%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	2	22	9.1%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	4	4	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	10	33	30.3%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	2	6	33.3%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ	0	1	0.0%
Filed in Juv. Court	3	7	42.9%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	5	14	35.7%