EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Webster County

Table of Contents Youth Level 3 Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) a 3 School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) 4 Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b 4 Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) ° 5 Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d 5 Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d 6 7 Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f 7 Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) g Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)¹ 8 9 Family Level Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a 9 Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) d 10 Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) h 10 Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) i 10 **Community Level** 11 11 Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j 11 Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019)^m 12 13 Policy, Legal and System Level Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) n 13 Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019)^m 13 Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019)^m 14 County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) ° 14 **Community Team Level** 16 Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p 16 Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p 16 Community Planning Team Diversity ^p 17 **References and Resources** 19 Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year 21





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Youth Level

While we could not get race/ethnicity data for chronic absenteeism in this community because • the frequency was too low to report, Hispanic, Native American, and Black youth are overrepresented statewide in chronic absenteeism.

NEBRASKA

- More youth have IDEA plans than the state comparison across all years.
- While lower than the state average, almost half the students in Webster County qualify for • free/reduced lunch.
- Webster County is ranked #4 in the state with graduation rates.
- This community has not participated in the NRPFSS and should consider participating in the next survey year (2020) to get youth-level data on mental health, gangs, supportive adults and community perceptions of substance use.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment • evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- The number of arrests for all ages has decreased from 2018-2019, almost in half. •
- Once referred, youth are enrolling and successfully completing diversion at the rate to which • they were referred.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture of RED. White youth are overrepresented at most system points compared to the census and school demographic data; Hispanic youth are overrepresented at successful probation completion.
- Over half of the cases filed with multiple charges are missing race/ethnicity data.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) a

Males

	Total						
Geographic Area Name	Count	Non-Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Blac k	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Webster	156	86.5%	9.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	2.6%

Females

	Total						
	Count						
Geographic Area		Non-Hispanic	Hispanic or		American	Asian or Pacific	2+
Name		White	Latino	Black	Indian	Islander	Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%

EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Webster 253 73.1% 14.6% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 12.3%
--

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Webster	591	5.41%	1.35%	0.00%	0.00%	0.17%	91.54%	1.52%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Webster	555	6.31%	0.90%	0.00%	0.18%	0.00%	90.45%	2.16%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Webster	549	5.83%	0.91%	0.00%	0.36%	0.00%	90.71%	2.19%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Webster	556	7.19%	0.90%	0.00%	0.36%	0.18%	89.03%	2.34%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Webster	590	7.29%	0.85%	0.00%	0.17%	0.17%	89.15%	2.37%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Webster	40	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Webster	32	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Webster	35	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Webster	25	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	Webster	50	*	*	*	*	*	100.00%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4.

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Webster	591	<mark>16.41%</mark>	*	*	<mark>40.78%</mark>
2015	Nebraska	312,281	<mark>13.66%</mark>	0.76%	5.97%	<mark>44.53%</mark>
2015-	Webster	555	<mark>16.94%</mark>	*	*	<mark>41.80%</mark>
2016	Nebraska	315,542	<mark>13.64%</mark>	0.90%	5.90%	<mark>44.23%</mark>
2016-	Webster	549	<mark>15.85%</mark>	*	*	<mark>44.81%</mark>
2017	Nebraska	318,853	<mark>13.80%</mark>	0.93%	6.99%	<mark>44.76%</mark>
2017-	Webster	556	<mark>21.22%</mark>	*	*	<mark>40.65%</mark>
2018	Nebraska	323,391	<mark>15.87%</mark>	0.88%	6.59%	<mark>46.24%</mark>
2018-	Webster	590	<mark>23.39%</mark>	*	*	<mark>43.90%</mark>
2019	Nebraska	325,984	<mark>16.13%</mark>	0.85%	6.78%	<mark>45.42%</mark>

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5.

Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °

County	Total in Las	st 5 Years	Yearly Averages		Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students	Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	n/a
Webster	199	201	19.9	20.1	<mark>99.0%</mark>	<mark>4</mark>

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

	Youth Report of MH Symptoms	8 th	10 th	12 th
Webster	Loss of sleep from worry			
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Webster	Depressed			





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Webster	Considered/Attempted suicide			
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	16.2%
Webster	Current alcohol			
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Webster	Current binge drinking			
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Webster	Current marijuana			
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Webster	Current tobacco			
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Webster	Current vaping			
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Webster	Hopeful for future (past week)			
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

*Webster County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2014)

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis^e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11.

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Webster	Youth Reported Gang Involvement			
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

*Webster County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2014)

Table 12. Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

	All Arres	stee Ages		Und	Under 18		
2018	2019	2018 - 2019	2018	2019	2018 - 2019		
		Growth %			Growth %		
		WEBSTE	R COUN	TY			
<mark>15</mark>	<mark>8</mark>	-46.67	0	2	-		
1	-	-100.00	0	-	-		
2	1	-50.00	0	1	-		
3	1	-66.67	0	0	-		
-	2	-	-	1	-		
1	-	-100.00	0	-	-		
2	-	-100.00	0	-	-		
4	3	-25.00	0	0	-		
2	-	-100.00	0	-	-		
-	1	-	-	0	-		
	15 1 2 3 - 1 2 4	2018 2019 15 8 1 - 2 1 3 1 - 2 1 - 2 1 3 1 - 2 1 - 2 - 4 3	Growth % WEBSTEI 15 8 -46.67 1 - -100.00 2 1 -50.00 3 1 -66.67 - 2 - 1 - -100.00 2 1 -50.00 3 1 -66.67 - 2 - 1 - -100.00 2 - -100.00 4 3 -25.00	2018 2019 2018 - 2019 Growth % 2018 15 8 -46.67 0 1 - -100.00 0 2 1 -50.00 0 3 1 -66.67 0 - 2 - - 1 - -100.00 0 2 1 -50.00 0 3 1 -66.67 0 - 2 - - 1 - -100.00 0 2 - - 0	2018 2019 2018 - 2019 Growth % 2018 2019 WEBSTER COUNTY 15 8 -46.67 0 2 1 - -100.00 0 - 2 1 -50.00 0 1 3 1 -66.67 0 0 - 2 - - 1 1 - -100.00 0 - 2 1 -55.00 0 0 - 2 - - 1 1 - -100.00 0 - 2 - - 10 0 - 2 - -100.00 0 - - 2 - -100.00 0 - -		

Table 13.

Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017)^g

		Webster	•	All	All NYS Counties				
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2			
Family Circumstance/Parenting				60.1%	26.7%	13.1%			
Education/Employment				43.0%	44.0%	13.1%			
Peer Relationships				44.7%	46.6%	8.6%			
Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%			
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%			
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%			
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%			
Mean Score	M	=, SD =	,	<i>M</i> = 5.64, <i>SD</i> = 3.65, 0-17					

Could not compute because Adams county only had 1 NYS assessment completed



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 14.

Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)¹

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

*Data were not separated by year because there were too few cases

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	<mark>11</mark>							
Youth referred to diversion	<mark>11</mark>	0%	0%	0%	9.10%	0%	0%	<mark>90.90%</mark>
Youth enrolled in diversion	<mark>11</mark>	0%	0%	0%	9.10%	0%	0%	<mark>90.90%</mark>
Successful completion diversion	<mark>11</mark>	0%	0%	0%	9.10%	0%	0%	<mark>90.90%</mark>
Youth with multiple charges	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	<mark>60%</mark>	40%
Filed on in adult court	6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	<mark>100%</mark>
RAI Override: More Severe	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Probation intake	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	<mark>100%</mark>
Successful probation	14	0%	0%	<mark>14.30%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	85.70%
Revocation of probation	8	0%	0%	0%	12.50%	0%	0%	87.50%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention	-							
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

Family Level

- Webster County has a higher rate of youth in extreme poverty compared to the state
- Number of people with bachelor's degrees is lower compared to the state; this could be a result of residents going to college in a different community and not returning to Webster County.
- Webster County youth have less access to internet at home compared to the state.
- 87% of the abuse/neglect calls are unfounded

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Webster	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	13.4%	14.8%
	Number of children 12-	99	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	<mark>35.5%</mark>	28.9%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>22.6%</mark>	31.3%
	County Rank	33	-
	Age 25+ with some	22.3%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County rank	70	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	92.2%	91.1%
	County Rank	51	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	99.3%	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County rank	37	-
	% under 18 with an	<mark>87.5%</mark>	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County rank	67	-
	% under 18 with	<mark>87.5%</mark>	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	65	-





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Housing	Owner-occupied households	1,178	498,567
	Total households	1,519	754,063
	Owner %	77.6%	66.1%
	Renters	341	255,496
	Renter %	22.4%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	77	40,465
	Total households	1,519	754,063
	No vehicle %	5.1%	5.4%

Table 16.

Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Webster	Adult at home who listens			
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Webster	Adult at school who listens			
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

*Webster County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2014)

Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) ^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Webster	0	0	4	1
Nebraska	562	402	3512	2019

Table 18.

Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018)ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Webster	53	28%	0%	<mark>87%</mark>
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%

EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA **COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021**

Community Level

- Webster County has a low level of violent crime.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or other treatment). Yearly data is available in the Appendix to see if the rate has improved because of legislation, but newer cases should naturally have lower rates of sealing than older cases.
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate • Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Table 19.

Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) j

Type of Violence	Webster	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	0	264
Robbery	0	367
Aggravated Assault	0	1,639
Other Assaults	1	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Webster	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana			
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Webster	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol			
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Webster	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes			
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%

*Webster County did not participate in the Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey (last was 2014)

EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 21. Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	32	51	<mark>62.7%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	26	54	48.1%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	58	136	42.6%

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; notably, access to counsel is low in this community.
- There are few curfew and 3A, 3B, and 3C filings in court so the community is diverting appropriately.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - o Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
 - o Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - Comparing diversion fees to court costs so they are comparable. With a higher proportion of children <18 in poverty, perhaps offering scholarships.
 - Having a process for sealing records for youth on diversion with law enforcement and JCMS, as required by statute.
 - o It is not clear why all first time offenders are not referred to diversion but perhaps this is

Table 22.

Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Webster	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	<mark>40.0 59.9%</mark>	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Webster	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352

Table 24.

Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases $(2015 - 2019)^{m}$

	Webster					
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	0	1	0	0	0	1
3B - Uncontrollable	0	0	1	0	0	1
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	1	0	1

		Nebraska				
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306

Table 25.

County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °

	Webster	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	Not Sure	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	Not Sure	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Always	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	No	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Yes: Sexual Assault, Possession	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion	of drugs other than	No: 9.1%
	marijuana/tobacco	Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	No	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Currently drug test	Yes	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$25 per month (for 4 months,	Yes: 86.4%
	unless extended)	No: 13.6%
		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	No	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge		No: 25.0%
		Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	No	Yes: 59.1%
		No: 22.7%
		Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 57.1%.
 - This is an increase from 2019's response rate.
- Mean score on Collective Impact is lower than in 2019 and lower than the state average in all areas
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It maybe that the low response rate affected the results of the community team diversity, but if not, it is beneficial to add diverse members to your team.
 - Good diversity on system points
- Almost half of the responders indicated they did not feel heard on the team.

Table 26.

Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	South Central Team		Nebr	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
Number of surveys sent	56	28	1407	780
Number of completed surveys	10	16	221	345
Response rate	17.9%	<mark>57.1%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%

Table 27. Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p

	South Central Team		Nebra	aska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020		
	Mean Score		Mean Score		Mean	Score
Common agenda	5.64	<mark>5.63</mark>	5.29	5.69		
Mutually reinforcing	5.61	<mark>5.13</mark>	5.37	5.50		
Shared measurement	5.53	<mark>5.31</mark>	5.21	5.45		
Continuous communication	5.89	<mark>5.25</mark>	5.49	5.55		
Backbone agency	6.00	<mark>5.88</mark>	5.52	5.78		

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support**: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28. Community Planning Team Diversity ^p

	South Cen	South Central Team		raska
	<i>N</i> = 16	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	2	12.5%	101	29.3%
Female	14	87.5%	229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30	4	25.0%	19	5.6%
30-39	1	6.3%	68	19.6%
40-49	5	31.3%	88	25.4%
50-59	3	18.8%	90	25.8%
60 and over	2	12.5%	44	13%
Missing	1	6.3%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White	13	81.3%	230	66.7%
Black	1	6.3%	10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name	2	12.5%	63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Previous System Involvement				
Yes	3	18.8%	98	28.4%
No	12	75.0%	242	70.1%
Missing	1	6.3%	5	1.4%
System Point *				
Law enforcement	1	5.0%	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	2	10.0%	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	2	10.0%	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	1	5.0%	10	2.3%
Diversion	2	10.0%	55	12.6%
Probation	1	5.0%	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare	1	5.0%	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	4	20.0%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program	5	25.0%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices	1	5.0%	6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	9	56.3%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	7	43.8%	75	21.7%

Adams n = 13, Clay n = 4, Fillmore n = 3, Franklin n = 2, Harlan n = 2, Kearney n = 1, Nuckolls n = 3, Phelps n = 2, Webster n = 3 (five people named more than one county they represent).

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

References and Resources

^a Population data: Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a Youth employment: Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a Poverty/SES: Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^a Technology in household: Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a Home owner/transportation: Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a Education attainment: Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education

[°] Graduation rates: Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020

^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data

^e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services

^f Adult and iuvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission. Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx ^g Diversion programs

^h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2 0by%20County 0.pdf ¹Child abuse and neglect

^jCommunity violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx ^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps

Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ Access to Counsel: Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: <u>www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount</u>. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective Impact Elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	17	20	85.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	11	11	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	28	62	45.2%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	5	5	100.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	4	4	100.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	9	9	100.0%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	6	15	40.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	7	14	50.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	13	29	44.8%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	1	4	25.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	3	21	14.3%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	4	25	16.0%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	3	7	42.9%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	1	4	25.0%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	4	11	36.4%