



Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska

Table of Contents

Youth Level	2
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	2
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	3
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) b	3
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b	4
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °	4
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	4
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d	5
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	6
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ¹	7
Family Level	9
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) d	10
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) h	10
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) ⁱ	10
Community Level	11
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) j	11
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	11
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	12
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) n	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	17
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	18
References and Resources	20
Appendix	22



Youth Level

- The number of youth within the Winnebago Tribe schools under IDEA plans is higher than the state average.
- The years that data is available for the Winnebago Tribe schools indicate a large number of youth who qualify for free and reduced lunch.
- When comparing mental health and substance abuse data for the Winnebago Tribe and Nebraska (table 6), the tools used are different, so a direct comparison may not be an accurate measure. However, the percent of youth from the Winnebago Tribe reporting considering/attempting suicide is high (around 25% of youth surveyed at both the middle school and high school level). Current alcohol use by middle schoolers is also more than a quarter of the youth surveyed, and current vaping was reported by almost 1/3 of the middle schoolers and more than half of the high school students surveyed.
- If looking at the state rates compared to the Winnebago Tribe rates, current marijuana and tobacco use is higher for Winnebago Tribe youth, but only slightly.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- Youth are being referred to and enrolling in diversion at the same rate, but only about ½ of the youth enrolled successfully complete.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Winnebago Tribe	272	31%	5.5%	.3%	64.5%	1.3%	2.8%

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Winnebago Tribe	278	31%	5.5%	.3%	64.5%	1.3%	2.8%





Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014- 2015	Winnebago Tribe								
-0.0	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015- 2016	Winnebago Tribe	598	6.35%	0%	88.29%	0%	0.17%	1.17%	4.01%
	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016- 2017	Winnebago Tribe	593	6.58%	0%	86.51%	0%	0.17%	1.35%	5.40%
	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017- 2018	Winnebago Tribe	609	5.91%	0.16%	88.18%	0.16%	0.16%	0.82%	4.60%
2018-	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Winnebago Tribe	586	6.48%	0.17%	89.76%	0.17%	0%	0.51%	2.90%
	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Winnebago								
2015	Tribe								
	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015- 2016	Winnebago Tribe								
	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016- 2017	Winnebago Tribe								
	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017- 2018	Winnebago Tribe								
	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389





2018- 2019	Winnebago Tribe								
	Nebraska	46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Table 4.

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Winnebago					
2015	Tribe					
	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Winnebago					
2016	Tribe	598	<mark>26.85%</mark>	-	-	<mark>83.11%</mark>
	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	<mark>44.23%</mark>
2016-	Winnebago					
2017	Tribe	593	<mark>27.14%</mark>	-	-	<mark>76.05%</mark>
	Nebraska	318,853	<mark>13.80%</mark>	0.93%	6.99%	<mark>44.76%</mark>
2017-	Winnebago					
2018	Tribe	609	<mark>24.64%</mark>	-	-	-
	Nebraska	323,391	<mark>15.87%</mark>	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Winnebago					
2019	Tribe	586	<mark>29.63%</mark>			
	Nebraska	325,984	<mark>16.13%</mark>	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Table 5. Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °

County	Total in Las	st 5 Years	Yearly Av	erages	Graduation	
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students	Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Winnebago Tribe					73%	

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student.

Table 6.
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Winnebago Tribe	Loss of sleep from worry	MS 13.4%		HS 10.8%
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	21.6%
Winnebago Tribe	Depressed			
Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Winnebago Tribe	Considered/Attempted suicide	MS 23.2%		HS 26.5%
Nebraska		<mark>22.9%</mark>	18.2%	<mark>16.2%</mark>





Winnebago Tribe	Current alcohol	MS Last 30 days 27.4%		HS Last 30 Days 24.3%
Nebraska		<mark>9.8%</mark>	20.1%	34.2%
Winnebago Tribe	Current binge drinking			HS Last 30 Days 12.7%
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
Winnebago Tribe	Current marijuana	MS Last 30 days 5.2%		HS Last 30 Days 16.3%
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
Winnebago Tribe	Current tobacco	MS Last 30 days 5.2%		HS Last 30 Days 16.3%
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Winnebago Tribe	Current vaping	MS Last 30 days 29.9%		HS Last 30 Days 53.7%
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	<mark>37.3%</mark>
Winnebago Tribe	Hopeful for future (past week)			HS Hopeless 40.4%
Nebraska		72.1%	74.7%	78.4%

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11.

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Winnebago Tribe	Youth Reported Gang Involvement			
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%





Table 12.
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age		All Arres	stee Ages		Un	der 18
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019	2018	2019	2018 - 2019
			Growth %	LOO TO		Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography			WINNEBA	AGO IRI	BE	
Arrest Offense						
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rape Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
Aggravated Assault Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
Burglary Total	-	ı	-	-	-	-
Larceny-Theft Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other Assaults	-	-	-	-	-	-
Forgery and Counterfeiting	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fraud	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stolen Property; Buying,	-	-	-	-	-	-
Receiving, Possessing						
Vandalism	-	-	-	-	-	-
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing,	-	-	-	-	-	-
etc.						
Sex Offenses (Except Rape and Prostitution)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Drug Violations -	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sale/Manufacturing						
Drug Violations - Possession	-	-	-	-	-	-
Offenses Against Family and Children	-	1	-	-	-	-
Driving Under the Influence	-	-	-	-	-	-
Liquor Laws	-	-	-	-	-	-
Disorderly Conduct	-	-	-	-	-	-
All Other Offenses (Except	-	-	-	-	-	-
Traffic)						
Curfew and Loitering Law	-	-	-	-	-	-
Violations						
Runaways	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 13a. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	All NYS Co				NYS Cour	nties
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting				60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment				43.0%	44.0%	13.1%





Peer Relationships				44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	M =, SD =		M = 5.64, $SD = 3.65$, 0-17			

Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ¹

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact	1	1		1				
Youth taken to temporary custody	I							
Youth issued citation/referral								
Youth referred to diversion	23	100%						
Youth enrolled in diversion	23	100%						
Successful completion diversion	12	100%						
Youth with multiple charges	-							
Filed on in adult court								
RAI Override: More Severe	1							
RAI Override: Less Severe	1							





Probation intake		 	 	 	
Successful probation		 	 	 	
Revocation of probation		 	 	 	
Youth in OJS custody		 	 	 	
OJS custody: placed in detention		 	 	 	
Youth booked into detention		 	 	 	
Youth booked into detention more than once	ı	 	 	 	





Family Level

- Winnebago Tribe has a higher rate of children in poverty compared to the state
- Number of people with bachelor's degrees is lower compared to the state; this could be a result of residents going to college in a different community and not returning.
- The number of youth with access to computers and internet at home is lower than the state average.

Measurement		Winnebago Tribe	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	<mark>32.5%</mark>	<mark>14.8%</mark>
	Number of children 12-17 below 185% poverty	N	43,814
	Percent of children 12-17 above 185% poverty	N	28.9%
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>4%</mark>	<mark>31.3%</mark>
	Age 25+ with some college, no degree	<mark>10%</mark>	23.0%
	Age 25+ with HS degree	<mark>15%</mark>	91.1%
	County Rank	N	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a computer at home	<mark>85%</mark>	<mark>96.9%</mark>
	% under 18 with an internet subscription at home	<mark>2%</mark>	91.0%
	% under 18 with broadband internet access at home	66%	90.8%
	County Rank	N	-
Housing	Owner-occupied households	473	498,567
	Total households	886	754,063
	Owner %	53%	66.1%
	Renters	413	255,496
	Renter %	<mark>47%</mark>	<mark>33.9%</mark>
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	20	40,465
	Total households	886	754,063
	No vehicle %	2%	5.4%





Table 16.

Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Winnebago Tribe	Adult at home who listens			
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Winnebago Tribe	Adult at school who listens			
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
Winnebago Tribe				
Nebraska	562	402	3512	2019

Table 18.

Child Abuse and Neglect Reports (2018) i

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Winnebago Tribe				
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%





Community Level

- Other assaults is the type of violent offense with the highest rate in 2019
- Youth who report having used marijuana in their lifetime doubled between middle school and high school, with over half of the high school students surveyed having used marijuana.
- 25% of both middle and school students surveyed report having had alcohol in the last 30 days prior to the survey.
- Methamphetamine use was reported by .04% of high school students.

Table 19.
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Winnebago Tribe	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter		34
Rape	Sexual assault 2nd	264
	degree- 1	
Robbery		367
Aggravated Assault		1,639
Other Assaults	15	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Winnebago	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana			
Tribe	Percentage of students who			
	ever used marijuana	37.2%		<mark>64.5%</mark>
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Winnebago Tribe	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol Percentage of students who ever drank alcohol (other than a few sips)	059/		Percentage of students who currently drank
		<mark>25%</mark>		alcohol (at





				least one drink of alcohol, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) 25.4%
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Winnebago Tribe	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes frequently (on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey)	0.01%		0.02%
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%
Winnebago Tribe	During your life, how many times have you used methamphetamines (also called speed, crystal meth, crank, ice, or meth)?	-		0.04%

Table 21. Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			





Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to		
Juv. Court		
Total		

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

Policy, Legal and System Level

- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
- It is not clear why all first time offenders are not referred to diversion but perhaps this is due to the ineligible offenses.

Table 22.
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Winnebago Tribe	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	80.0 - 100.0%	73.5%

Neb. Rev. 43-272. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Winnebago Tribe	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing		352





Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 - 2019) $^{\rm m}$

	Winnebago Tribe							
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total		
3A- Homeless/Neglect								
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy								
3B - Uncontrollable								
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous								

		Nebraska							
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total			
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7			
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997			
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491			
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306			

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) $^\circ$

	Winnebago Tribe	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	No	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	No	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Always	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Yes	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Yes; felonies, major crime	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion	•	No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	Not sure	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%





Fees beyond restitution	No	Yes: 86.4% No: 13.6% Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior to discharge	Yes; step 1: verbal warning to client and parent/guardian step 2: verbal warning to client and parent/guardian step 3: warning letter to client and parent/guardian step 4: send back to juvenile court	Yes: 47.7% No: 25.0% Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Yes; All youth records shall not be open to inspection, except by consent of the court.	Yes: 59.1% No: 22.7% Not sure: 18.2%

^{*}responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)





Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response, to ensure active participation on planning issues, your response rate was 44.4%. This is up from the 2019 survey.
- The collective impact scores increased from 2019 to 2020.
- All respondents felt heard by the group, but that may not be representative due to low response rate
- Although the low response rate may affect the results of community team diversity, there could be more representation from other system points not currently represented.

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates P

	Winneba	ago Tribe	Nebraska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020	
Number of surveys sent	r of surveys sent 17 9		1407	780	
Number of completed surveys	3	4	221	345	
Response rate	17.6%	<mark>44.4%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%	

Table 27.
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p

	Winnebag	jo Tribe	Nebraska					
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020				
	Mean Score		Mean Score		Mean Score		Mean	Score
Common agenda	4.06	<mark>5.00</mark>	5.29	5.69				
Mutually reinforcing	4.44	<mark>5.25</mark>	5.37	5.50				
Shared measurement	4.67	<mark>4.75</mark>	5.21	5.45				
Continuous communication	2.85	<mark>5.75</mark>	5.49	5.55				
Backbone agency	3.73	<mark>5.50</mark>	5.52	5.78				

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

• **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.





- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- **Backbone support**: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity P

	Winneba	Winnebago Tribe		raska
	N = 4	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male	1	25.0%	101	29.3%
Female	2	50.0%	229	66.4%
Missing	1	25.0%	15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39	2	50.0%	68	19.6%
40-49			88	25.4%
50-59			90	25.8%
60 and over			44	13%
Missing	2	50.0%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White			230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American	2	50.0%	6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name	1	25.0%	63	18.3%
Missing	1	25.0%	19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes	3	75.0%	98	28.4%
No	1	25.0%	242	70.1%





Missing			5	1.4%
System Point *				
Law enforcement			34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court			32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education			65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based			10	2.3%
Diversion			55	12.6%
Probation			31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare	<mark></mark>		13	3.0%
Treatment provider	2	40.0%	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program	3	60.0%	109	25.0%
Elected official or government	<mark></mark>		6	1.4%
Restorative practices	<mark></mark>		6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	<mark></mark>		3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	4	100%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard			75	21.7%

^{*}note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

- ^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 g Diversion programs
- h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County 0.pdf

¹Child abuse and neglect

- ^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
- ^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps
- Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:





Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics:
https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System
Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- ^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- ⁿ Access to Counsel: Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- ^o **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^p **Collective impact:** Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^q Collective Impact Elements: Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*.





Appendix

Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) by Year

2015

System Point	Tot.	Native Amer.	Asian	Black	Hisp.	Multp. races	Hawaiian/ Pacific IsIr	Othr	Unspec.	White
Law enforcement										
contact										
Youth taken to										
temporary custody										
Youth issued										
citation/referral										
Youth referred to										
diversion										
Youth enrolled in										
diversion										
Successful										
completion										
diversion										
Youth with multiple										
charges										
Filed on in adult										
court (not										
dismissed/dropped										
)										
ATD										
Probation intake										
Successful										
probation										
Revocation of										
probation										

2016

System Point	Tot.	Native Amer.	Asian	Black	Hisp.	Multp. races	Hawaiian/ Pacific IsIr	Othr	Unspec.	White
Law enforcement contact										
Youth taken to temporary custody										
Youth issued citation/referral										





	1				1	
Youth referred to						
diversion						
Youth enrolled in						
diversion						
Successful						
completion						
diversion						
Youth with multiple						
charges						
Filed on in adult						
court (not						
dismissed/dropped						
)						
ATD						
Probation intake						
Successful						
probation						
Revocation of						
probation						

System Point	Tot.	Native Amer.	Asian	Black	Hisp.	Multp. races	Hawaiian/ Pacific IsIr	Othr	Unspec.	White
Law enforcement contact										
Youth taken to temporary custody										
Youth issued citation/referral										
Youth referred to diversion										
Youth enrolled in diversion										
Successful completion diversion										
Youth with multiple charges										
Filed on in adult court (not dismissed/dropped)										
ATD Probation intake										
Successful probation										





Revocation of					
probation					

System Point	Tot.	Native Amer.	Asian	Black	Hisp.	Multp. races	Hawaiian/ Pacific Islr	Othr	Unspec.	White
Law enforcement contact										
Youth taken to temporary custody										
Youth issued citation/referral										
Youth referred to diversion										
Youth enrolled in diversion										
Successful completion diversion										
Youth with multiple charges										
Filed on in adult court (not dismissed/dropped)										
ATD										
Probation intake										
Successful probation										
Revocation of probation										

System Point	Tot.	Native Amer.	Asian	Black	Hisp.	Multp. races	Hawaiian/ Pacific IsIr	Othr	Unspec.	White
Law enforcement contact										
Youth taken to										
Youth issued citation/referral										





					1	
Youth referred to						
diversion						
Youth enrolled in						
diversion						
Successful						
completion						
diversion						
Youth with multiple						
charges						
Filed on in adult						
court (not						
dismissed/dropped						
)						
ATD						
Probation intake						
Successful						
probation						
Revocation of		·	·			
probation						

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total			

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total			

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total			





2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total			

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped			
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court			
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total			