



Washington County

Table of Contents

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018)	a 3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) b	5
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	5
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) d	6
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	8
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)	8
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportat year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	•
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) d	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means h	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) j	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) d	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) n	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	15
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) ^m	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores p	17
Community Planning Team Diversity P	18
References and Resources	20





Appendix: RED Descriptives	22
Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year	27



Youth Level

- Hispanic youth are over-represented across most years in chronic absenteeism as compared to
 enrollment rates in the schools. Black youth were over-represented in 2014-2015, but
 frequencies were too low to assess whether this pattern persists.
- More youth had 504 plans in 2018-2019 than the state average.
- 12th graders reported more loss from worry, greater suicidal ideation, binge drinking, and current marijuana use as compared to the state. 8th graders reported feeling less hopeful for the future than the state average.
- Crime for all ages has decreased from 2018 to 2019, and somewhat decreased for juveniles only. Arrests for vandalism increased from 2018 to 2019. Arrests for drug violations and liquor laws decreased from 2018 to 2019.
- Risk and needs assessments measured with the Arizona indicated that the domains where
 youth have the highest needs is Drug Use, Mental Health, Peers, and Status Offenses. There
 should be programs in the community to address these.
- Approximately 56% of youth citations are being referred to diversion and 66% of citations on probation; the community to further examine whether cases are properly funneling through the juvenile justice system.
- Black and Hispanic youth are over-represented in diversion referrals as compared to the
 population of the community. Once referring Black youth are not enrolling and are not
 successful on diversion, at the rate to which they are referred. Unfortunately, we did not receive
 law enforcement data by race/ethnicity so we cannot determine whether youth of each
 race/ethnicity are being referred to diversion and probation at a similar rate as they are
 receiving a citation from law enforcement.
- Black youth are over-represented in being filed on in adult court. Black and Hispanic youth are
 over-represented in probation intakes, RAI overrides to a more severe placement, and
 revocation of probation with the disproportionality even higher for Black youth. Of note, no
 youth of color had an RAI override to a less severe placement but there is a higher rate of
 "other/multiple races" that may account for that.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%
Washington	1,293	93.0%	3.8%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%



Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%
Washington	1,143	93.4%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Washington	3,531	3.68%	0.42%	0.28%	1.05%	0.11%	92.98%	1.47%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	Washington	3,638	4.18%	0.33%	0.22%	1.04%	0.14%	92.25%	1.84%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	Washington	3,683	4.75%	0.49%	0.27%	0.90%	0.14%	91.01%	2.44%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	Washington	3,744	5.18%	0.53%	0.45%	1.04%	0.13%	90.22%	2.43%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	Washington	3,713	5.33%	0.57%	0.43%	0.75%	0.16%	90.20%	2.56%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3. Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	Washington	198	<mark>8.08%</mark>	*	*	<mark>5.56%</mark>	*	86.36%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	24.54%	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	Washington	161	*	*	*	*	*	100.0%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	25.73%	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%
2016-	Washington	234	5.56%	*	*	*	*	94.44%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	26.90%	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	Washington	194	<mark>7.22%</mark>	*	*	*	*	92.78%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	26.81%	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
	Washington	199	<mark>8.04%</mark>	*	*	*	*	91.96%	*





2018-	Nebraska								
2019		46,356	27.64%	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4. Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	Washington	3,531	12.40%	*	0.85%	22.32%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	13.66%	0.76%	5.97%	44.53%
2015-	Washington	3,638	12.20%	*	0.91%	22.98%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	13.64%	0.90%	5.90%	44.23%
2016-	Washington	3,683	13.55%	0.30%	1.11%	23.46%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	13.80%	0.93%	6.99%	44.76%
2017-	Washington	3,744	15.20%	0.64%	0.77%	23.16%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	15.87%	0.88%	6.59%	46.24%
2018-	Washington	3,713	15.89%	<mark>1.51%</mark>	0.73%	23.57%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	16.13%	0.85%	6.78%	45.42%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 5.

Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °

County	Total in Las	Total in Last 5 Years		Yearly Averages		
	Graduates	Students	Graduates Students		Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
Washington	1,338	1,390	89.2	92.7	96.3%	27

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.

Table 6. Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Washington	Loss of sleep from worry	16.1%	17.0%	<mark>24.6%</mark>
Nebraska		18.0%	20.6%	<mark>21.6%</mark>
Washington	Depressed	30.8%	25.9%	36.7%





Nebraska		31.1%	34.8%	35.3%
Washington	Considered/Attempted suicide	16.1%	15.0%	<mark>20.6%</mark>
Nebraska		22.9%	18.2%	<mark>16.2%</mark>
Washington	Current alcohol	8.6%	21.6%	34.5%
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
Washington	Current binge drinking	0.5%	5.5%	<mark>20.5%</mark>
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	<mark>15.0%</mark>
Washington	Current marijuana	1.4%	9.5%	<mark>21.2%</mark>
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	<mark>13.9%</mark>
Washington	Current tobacco	2.8%	8.8%	14.0%
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
Washington	Current vaping	6.6%	25.0%	38.4%
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
Washington	Hopeful for future (past week)	<mark>75.8%</mark>	77.6%	77.8%
Nebraska		<mark>78.0%</mark>	76.1%	77.6%

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11. Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Washington	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	1.0%	2.0%	2.9%
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%





Table 12. Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age	All Arrestee Ages			Under 18		
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019	2018	2019	2018 - 2019
			Growth %			Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography			WASHINGT	ON COL	JNTY	
Arrest Offense						
Total	479	358	-25.26	<mark>33</mark>	<mark>31</mark>	<mark>-6.06</mark>
Rape Total	1	1	0.00	-	-	-
Robbery Total	5	2	-60.00	-	-	-
Aggravated Assault Total	3	3	0.00	-	-	-
Burglary Total	2	4	100.00	-	4	-
Larceny-Theft Total	22	15	-31.82	-	2	-
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	4	1	-75.00	1	-	-100.00
Other Assaults	43	38	-11.63	1	4	300.00
Arson		1	-	-	-	-
Fraud	1	1	0.00	-	-	-
Stolen Property; Buying,	4		-100.00	-	-	-
Receiving, Possessing		-				
Vandalism	6	19	216.67	4	<mark>10</mark>	<mark>150.00</mark>
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	8	3	-62.50	-	-	-
Prostitution	2	-	-100.00	-	-	-
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	-	1	-	-	-	-
Drug Violations - Possession	90	56	-37.78	<mark>13</mark>	<mark>6</mark>	-53.85
Offenses Against Family and Children	5	6	20.00	-	-	-
Driving Under the Influence	98	69	-29.59	4	-	-100.00
Liquor Laws	29	7	-75.86	<mark>5</mark>	2	<mark>-60.00</mark>
Disorderly Conduct	18	17	-5.56	-	2	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	138	113	-18.12	5	0	-100.00
Curfew and Loitering Law Violations	-	1	-	-	1	-



Table 13. Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	Washington		All Arizo	na Counties
Score	0	1	0	1
Family Conflict	96.0%	4.0%	89.1%	10.9%
Assaultive	84.0%	16.0%	87.2%	12.8%
Drug Use	42.0%	<mark>58.0%</mark>	72.0%	28.0%
Truant	81.3%	18.8%	84.1%	15.9%
Enrolled in School	98.0%	2.0%	88.6%	11.4%
Mental Health	57.1%	<mark>42.9%</mark>	77.1%	22.9%
Peers	40.0%	<mark>60.0%</mark>	58.8%	41.2%
Runaway	83.7%	16.3%	94.3%	5.7%
Status Offense	0.0%	100.0%	61.1%	38.9%
Prior Complaint	98.0%	2.0%	94.8%	5.2%
Mean Score	M = 3.20, $SD = 1.49$, 1-7 $M = 1.90$, $SD = 1.4$			SD = 1.44, 0-7

Washington County n = 46; Statewide n = 206

Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

See Appendix for yearly data

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	210							
Youth referred to diversion	117	0%	0%	<mark>3.40%</mark>	<mark>8.50%</mark>	1.70%	0.90%	85.50%
Youth enrolled in diversion	98	0%	0%	1%	10.20%	1%	0%	87.80%
Successful completion diversion	80	0%	0%	0%	12.50%	1.20%	0%	86.20%
Youth with multiple charges	31	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9.70%	90.30%
Filed on in adult court	28	0%	0%	<mark>3.60%</mark>	3.60%	0%	39.30%	53.60%





RAI Override: More	13	0%	0%	<mark>15.40%</mark>	<mark>7.70%</mark>	0%	0%	76.90%
Severe								
RAI Override: Less	4	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	75%
Severe								
Probation intake	58	0%	1.70%	<mark>8.60%</mark>	<mark>5.20%</mark>	1.70%	0%	82.80%
Successful	116	0%	1.70%	<mark>4.30%</mark>	2.60%	0.90%	0%	90.50%
probation								
Revocation of	24	0%	0%	16.70%	<mark>8.30%</mark>	0%	0%	75%
probation								
Youth in OJS								
custody								
OJS custody:								
placed in detention								
Youth booked into								
detention								
Youth booked into								
detention more								
than once								



Family Level

- Compared to the state indicators, this community does not appear to have an issue with poverty or its related measures.
- A large percentage of students have access to internet at home.
- Youth report having supportive adults at home and at school

Table 15.
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		Washington	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	8.6%	14.8%
	Number of children 12-	393	43,814
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	21.2%	28.9%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	30.3%	31.3%
	County Rank	7	-
	Age 25+ with some	22.3%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County Rank	67	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	95.7%	91.1%
	County Rank	8	-
	-		
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	98.4%	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County Rank	46	-
	% under 18 with an	91.3%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County Rank	46	-
	% under 18 with	90.6%	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		
	County Rank	49	=
Housing	Owner-occupied	6,397	498,567
	households		
	Total households	8,125	754,063





	Owner %	78.7%	66.1%
	Renters	1,728	255,496
	Renter %	21.3%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no vehicle available	368	40,465
	Total households	8,125	754,063
	No vehicle %	4.5%	5.4%

Table 16. Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Washington	Adult at home who listens	94.3%	84.5%	83.4%
Nebraska		87.3%	85.0%	85.6%
Washington	Adult at school who listens	90.1%	80.5%	93.1%
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

Table 17. Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means ^h

	Aggravated	Aggravated	Simple Domestic	Simple Domestics
	Domestic Assaults	Domestic Assaults	Assaults Reported	Assaults Cleared
	Reported	Cleared by Arrest		by Arrest or
		or Exceptional		Exceptional Means
		Means		
Washington	0	0	35	20
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
Washington	222	34%	17%	59%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%

Community Level

- This community does not appear to have a high number of violent incidents.
- Youth report that their community finds marijuana, alcohol, and cigarettes wrong or very wrong.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record
 requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be
 sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully
 complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or
 other treatment).
- There are high rates of missing race/ethnicity data at the trial court (JUSTICE) and older diversion data. Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis.

Table 19.
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	Washington	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	1	264
Robbery	2	367
Aggravated Assault	3	1,639
Other Assaults	38	8,782

Table 20. Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
Washington	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	96.7%	92.3%	87.6%
Nebraska		94.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Washington	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	90.9%	81.7%	72.2%
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	68.7%
Washington	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	95.2%	90.8%	87.5%
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	78.7%





Table 21.

Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	173	205	<mark>84.4%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	510	735	69.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	47	65	72.3%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to	0	4	0.0%
Juv. Court			
Total	730	1022	71.4%

^{*}Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. Some cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not required under statute to provide an attorney when a youth is filed on in court; the rate is much lower than the state average.
- There are some 3B truancy and uncontrollable, so the community is diverting appropriately; however, 3B filings increased in 2019.
- The diversion program may consider the following:
 - o consider not filing charges in court prior to offering diversion
 - o Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
 - o consider allowing youth to complete diversion more than once
 - o exploring the use of warning letters for low risk youth
 - o ensure fees are similar to court costs (even with the sliding scale)
 - o use graduated sanctions, where youth are given incremental consequences or rewards, as opposed to discharging them unsuccessfully as a first response.

Table 22. Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	Washington	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	<mark>40.0% 59.9%</mark>	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.





Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m

	Washington	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352

Table 24. Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 - 2019) m

		Washington							
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total			
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0			
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	1	3	2	0	5	11			
3B - Uncontrollable	0	1	0	0	4	5			
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0			

	Nebraska						
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total	
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7	
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997	
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491	
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306	

Table 25. County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °

	Washington	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	No	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	Not sure	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	<mark>Always</mark>	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	<mark>No</mark>	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Yes; felonies, domestic	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion	assaults/violence	No: 9.1%





		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	No No	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%
Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	Yes; \$100	Yes: 86.4%
		No: 13.6%
		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	Not sure	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge		No: 25.0%
		Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Yes; We contact NCJIS to seal on	Yes: 59.1%
	files.	No: 22.7%
		Not sure: 18.2%

^{*}responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



Community Team Level

- A community lead should be able to get roughly a 75% response rate from their community team, to ensure active participation on planning issues. The survey was only sent and completed by one person so the results are not representative of the team.
- Shared measurement had the lowest mean for the collective impact domains in both 2019 and 2020.
- The community team should be representative of the population of that community but should also include diversity. It might be beneficial to add more people who are Black and Hispanics/Latino to your team (especially because of the patterns of over and under representation).
- Although the low response rate may affect the results of community team diversity, there could be more representation from other system points not currently represented.

Table 26.
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates P

	Wash	ington	Nebraska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020	
Number of surveys sent	9	1	1407	780	
Number of completed surveys	0	1	221	345	
Response rate	0.0%	100%	28.3%	24.5%	

Table 27. Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p

	Washing	ton	Nebraska		
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020	
	Mean Sc	ore	Mean	Score	
Common agenda		5.00	5.29	5.69	
Mutually reinforcing		4.00	5.37	5.50	
Shared measurement		5.00	5.21	5.45	
Continuous communication		4.00	5.49	5.55	
Backbone agency		5.00	5.52	5.78	

The five elements of Collective Impact are:





- **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.
- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- Backbone support: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations q

Table 28.
Community Planning Team Diversity P

	Washir	ngton	Nebi	raska
	N = 1	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender				
Male			101	29.3%
Female	1	100%	229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39			68	19.6%
40-49			88	25.4%
50-59			90	25.8%
60 and over			44	13%
Missing	1	100%	36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White			230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name	1	100%	63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%





Previous System Involvement				
Yes			98	28.4%
No	1	100%	242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%
System Point*				
Law enforcement			34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court			32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education			65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based			10	2.3%
Diversion	1	100%	55	12.6%
Probation			31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/			8	1.8%
guardian ad litem				
DHHS or Child Welfare			13	3.0%
Treatment provider			40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention			8	1.8%
Community based program			109	25.0%
Elected official or government			6	1.4%
Restorative practices			6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement			3	0.7%
Other			16	3.7%
Voice on Team				
Feel heard	1	100%	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard			75	21.7%

^{*}note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

References and Resources

- ^a **Population data:** Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Youth employment:** Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020
- ^a **Poverty/SES:** Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^a **Technology in household:** Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Home owner/transportation:** Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20
- ^a **Education attainment:** Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020
- ^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education
- ^c **Graduation rates:** Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020
- ^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data
- e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services
- f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
 g Diversion programs
- h Domestic violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%20by%20County 0.pdf
- ¹Child abuse and neglect
- ^j **Community violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx
- ^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps
- ¹Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:





Nebraska Crime Commission. Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

- ^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20
- ⁿ **Access to Counsel:** Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE
- ^o Diversion procedures and protocols: Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- P Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute
- ^q Collective Impact Elements: Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. Stanford Social Innovation Review.





Appendix: RED Descriptives

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	62							
Youth referred to diversion	13	0%	0%	0%	7.70%	0%	0%	92.30%
Youth enrolled in diversion	12	0%	0%	0%	8.30%	0%	0%	91.70%
Successful completion diversion	10	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	90%
Youth with multiple charges	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.30%	66.70%
Filed on in adult court	7	0%	0%	0%	14.30%	0%	57.10%	28.60%
RAI Override: More Severe	3	0%	0%	0%	33.30%	0%	0%	66.70%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	11	0%	0%	9.10%	9.10%	0%	0%	81.80%
Successful probation	17	0%	0%	11.80%	5.90%	0%	0%	82.40%
Revocation of probation	4	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%
Youth in OJS custody		-1						
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								





2016

System Point Hispanic/ Unspec/ White N Amer. Asian/ Black Multiple/ Indian/ Pacific Latino Other Missing Alaskan Islander **Native** Law enforcement -contact Youth taken to -temporary custody Youth issued ---------citation/referral 49 Youth referred to 31 0% 0% 77.40% 6.50% 6.50% 6.50% 3.20% diversion Youth enrolled in 23 0% 0% 0% 8.70% 4.30% 0% 87% diversion Successful 20 0% 0% 0% 10% 5% 0% 85% completion diversion Youth with multiple 7 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% charges Filed on in adult 0% 0% 0% 4 0% 0% 0% 100% court 0% RAI Override: More 2 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% Severe RAI Override: Less 0 NA% NA% NA% NA% NA% 0% NA% Severe Probation intake 0% 5.90% 88.20% 17 0% 5.90% 0% 0% 86.70% Successful 13.30% 15 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% probation 5 80% Revocation of 0% 0% 20% 0% 0% 0% probation Youth in OJS custody OJS custody: placed in detention Youth booked into -----detention Youth booked into detention more than once





System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	35							
Youth referred to diversion	33	0%	0%	3%	12.10%	0%	0%	84.80%
Youth enrolled in diversion	29	0%	0%	3.40%	13.80%	0%	0%	82.80%
Successful completion diversion	21	0%	0%	0%	19%	0%	0%	81%
Youth with multiple charges	6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	16.70%	83.30%
Filed on in adult court	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.30%	66.70%
RAI Override: More Severe	5	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%	80%
RAI Override: Less Severe	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.30%	0%	66.70%
Probation intake	16	0%	6.20%	6.20%	0%	6.20%	0%	81.20%
Successful probation	31	0%	0%	3.20%	0%	0%	0%	96.80%
Revocation of probation	9	0%	0%	33.30%	0%	0%	0%	66.70%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								





System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody		1			1			
Youth issued citation/referral	33							
Youth referred to diversion	22	0%	0%	0%	4.50%	0%	0%	95.50%
Youth enrolled in diversion	19	0%	0%	0%	5.30%	0%	0%	94.70%
Successful completion diversion	16	0%	0%	0%	6.20%	0%	0%	93.80%
Youth with multiple charges	8	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12.50%	87.50%
Filed on in adult court	12	0%	0%	8.30%	0%	0%	33.30%	58.30%
RAI Override: More Severe	2	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	8	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	75%
Successful probation	30	0%	0%	6.70%	0%	0%	0%	93.30%
Revocation of probation	4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody		1		-	1			
OJS custody: placed in detention		1			1			
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								





System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	31							
Youth referred to diversion	18	0%	0%	5.60%	11.10%	0%	0%	83.30%
Youth enrolled in diversion	15	0%	0%	0%	13.30%	0%	0%	86.70%
Successful completion diversion	13	0%	0%	0%	15.40%	0%	0%	84.60%
Youth with multiple charges	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
RAI Override: More Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RAI Override: Less Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
Probation intake	6	0%	0%	0%	16.70%	0%	0%	83.30%
Successful probation	23	0%	8.70%	0%	0%	4.30%	0%	87%
Revocation of probation	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody					1			
OJS custody: placed in detention					1			
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. Some cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	35	40	87.5%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	181	227	79.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	13	13	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to	0	4	0.0%
Juv. Court			
Total	229	288	79.5%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	74	79	93.7%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	127	149	85.2%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	6	6	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	207	234	88.5%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	16	18	88.9%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	108	151	71.5%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	3	3	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	127	181	70.2%





2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	13	20	65.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	43	71	60.6%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	16	33	48.5%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	72	124	58.1%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	35	48	72.9%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	51	137	37.2%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	9	10	90.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	95	195	48.7%