

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

York County

Table of Contents

IL

Youth Level	3
Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) a	¹ 3
School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019)	4
Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019) ^b	4
Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b	5
Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) $^\circ$	5
Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	6
Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d	7
Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f	7
Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g	8
Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019) ^I	8
Family Level	10
Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportati year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a	•
Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d	11
Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019) ^h	11
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports ⁱ	11
Community Level	12
Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j	12
Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d	12
Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m	13
Policy, Legal and System Level	14
Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ	14
Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019) ^m	14
Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases (2015 – 2019) $^{\rm m}$	15
County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °	15
Community Team Level	17
Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p	17
Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p	17
Community Planning Team Diversity ^p	18
References and Resources	20

I

EVIDENCE-BASED NEBRASKA

COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: RED Descriptives	22
Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year	27



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Youth Level

- Hispanic youth are over-represented in chronic absenteeism compared to York County demographics and school enrollment.
- More youth have IDEA and 504 plans than the state comparison across all years.
- York County's rate of youth who qualify for free/reduced lunch is lower than the state average but is still in the low 40%.
- 96.4% of students graduated in the last 5 years.
- 10th and 12th graders report higher rates of loss of sleep from worry compared to the state.
- 10th graders also report higher rates of depression and considering/attempting suicide compared to the state rates.
- 10th graders report a lower rate of feeling hopeful for the future than the state average.
- Across all grades, York County students report lower alcohol, binge drinking, marijuana, tobacco, and vaping than the state.
- Arrests rats in York County have decreased from 2018 to 2019, with a larger decrease in the Under 18 age group
 - Should be noted that across all ages, DUIs have almost doubled, and amongst the under 18 age group liquor laws doubled.
- JJI did not have risk assessment scores for diversion from the 2015-2017 assessment evaluation to include. If the county is not using a validated assessment tool, then it should begin doing so (there will be a statewide tool coming in July 2021). If the county does have assessment data in digital format for JJI to analyze, we would be happy to update this table.
- Law enforcement data by race and ethnicity would be very beneficial to have a clearer picture of RED. Compared to the overall population of York County, American Indian youth are overrepresented in youth with multiple charges, Black youth are overrepresented in youth with multiple charges, probation overrides, probation intakes, and probation successful discharges and revocations, and Hispanic youth are overrepresented at youth with multiple charges, probation intake and successful probation completion.
 - o 23.1% of the juvenile cases filed in court with multiple charges are missing race/ethnicity
- Once referred, youth of all race/ethnicity are enrolling and successfully completing diversion at the rate to which they were referred.

Table 1.

Distribution of the Population Age 10-17 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) *

Males

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Alea	Count	VVIILE	Launo	Diack	inulan	ISIAIIUCI	Naces
Nebraska	108,494	70.4%	16.2%	5.7%	1.4%	2.0%	4.4%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

York 744 82.3% 12.5% 4.4% 0.0% 0.0%	0.8%

Females

Geographic Area	Total Count	Non- Hispanic White	Hispanic or Latino	Black	American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	2+ Races
Nebraska	102,658	69.9%	15.8%	5.2%	1.2%	2.5%	5.4%
York	660	88.8%	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	2.1%

Click here to go back to RED analysis

Table 2. School Membership by Race/ Ethnicity and School Year (2014-2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	York	1,992	10.84%	0.50%	0.35%	1.36%	0.10%	83.68%	3.16%
2015	Nebraska	312,281	17.74%	2.43%	1.42%	6.70%	0.13%	68.20%	3.38%
2015-	York	1,981	10.45%	0.66%	0.20%	1.36%	0.10%	84.40%	2.83%
2016	Nebraska	315,542	18.08%	2.53%	1.38%	6.67%	0.14%	67.72%	3.47%
2016-	York	1,958	10.47%	0.56%	0.15%	1.28%	0.05%	84.68%	2.81%
2017	Nebraska	318,853	18.61%	2.66%	1.38%	6.69%	0.15%	66.92%	3.59%
2017-	York	1,975	10.43%	0.71%	0.15%	1.57%	0.05%	84.20%	2.89%
2018	Nebraska	323,391	18.80%	2.76%	1.35%	6.67%	0.14%	66.50%	3.78%
2018-	York	1,997	9.91%	0.50%	0.20%	1.40%	0.05%	85.08%	2.85%
2019	Nebraska	325,984	19.13%	2.83%	1.33%	6.63%	0.15%	66.02%	3.91%

Table 3.

Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity and School Year (2014 - 2019)^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Youth with Chronic Absenteeism	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific islander	White	Two or More Races
2014-	York	146	<mark>19.18%</mark>	*	*	*	*	80.82%	*
2015	Nebraska	35,638	<mark>24.54%</mark>	1.64%	4.42%	12.93%	0.19%	51.61%	4.68%
2015-	York	110	<mark>17.27%</mark>	*	*	*	*	82.73%	*
2016	Nebraska	38,812	<mark>25.73%</mark>	1.55%	4.27%	13.68%	0.27%	49.68%	4.83%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

2016-	York	134	<mark>17.16%</mark>	*	*	*	*	82.84%	*
2017	Nebraska	42,290	<mark>26.90%</mark>	1.66%	4.40%	14.22%	0.24%	47.66%	4.92%
2017-	York	136	<mark>19.12%</mark>	*	*	*	*	80.88%	*
2018	Nebraska	46,365	<mark>26.81%</mark>	1.77%	4.18%	14.49%	0.22%	47.37%	2389
2018-	York	99	<mark>21.21%</mark>	*	*	*	*	78.79%	*
2019	Nebraska	46,356	<mark>27.64%</mark>	1.76%	4.16%	14.71%	0.23%	46.27%	5.23%

Per the Nebraska Department of Education, the * represents masked data, which they define as 10 or fewer students, for the confidentiality of the students

Table 4.

Disabilities, English Proficiency, Eligibility for Free/Reduced Lunch and School Year (2014 – 2019) ^b

Year	Geographic Area	Total Count	IDEA	504 Plan	Limited English Proficiency	Free/Reduced Lunch
2014-	York	1,992	<mark>16.32%</mark>	<mark>1.41%</mark>	1.51%	<mark>41.82%</mark>
2015	Nebraska	312,281	<mark>13.66%</mark>	<mark>0.76%</mark>	5.97%	<mark>44.53%</mark>
2015-	York	1,981	<mark>16.10%</mark>	<mark>1.46%</mark>	1.46%	<mark>40.99%</mark>
2016	Nebraska	315,542	<mark>13.64%</mark>	<mark>0.90%</mark>	5.90%	<mark>44.23%</mark>
2016-	York	1,958	<mark>15.58%</mark>	<mark>1.48%</mark>	2.25%	<mark>41.16%</mark>
2017	Nebraska	318,853	<mark>13.80%</mark>	<mark>0.93%</mark>	6.99%	<mark>44.76%</mark>
2017-	York	1,975	<mark>16.81%</mark>	<mark>1.97%</mark>	1.77%	<mark>40.66%</mark>
2018	Nebraska	323,391	<mark>15.87%</mark>	<mark>0.88%</mark>	6.59%	<mark>46.24%</mark>
2018-	York	1,997	<mark>16.37%</mark>	<mark>0.65%</mark>	2.30%	<mark>41.16%</mark>
2019	Nebraska	325,984	<mark>16.13%</mark>	<mark>0.85%</mark>	6.78%	<mark>45.42%</mark>

Table 5.

Nebraska Public High School 4-Year Graduation Rates by County (5-year estimates, 2015-2019) °

County	Total in Las	st 5 Years	Yearly Av	Graduation		
	Graduates	Students	Graduates	Students	Rate	Rank
Nebraska	100,111	112,857	20,022.2	22,571.4	88.7%	-
York	740	768	49.3	51.2	<mark>96.4%</mark>	26

Data are only for public school districts and their associated high schools. The figures are aggregated based on the location of the school, not the residential location of the student. The figures for Dawes County are impacted by a vocational school where graduation rates are less than 25%; in the rest of the county graduation rates equal 93%.



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 6.

Youth Who Report Mental Health Symptoms and Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
York	Loss of sleep from worry	16.4%	<mark>27.2%</mark>	<mark>27.7%</mark>
Nebraska		18.0%	<mark>20.6%</mark>	<mark>21.6%</mark>
York	Depressed	24.4%	<mark>35.1%</mark>	32.1%
Nebraska		31.1%	<mark>34.8%</mark>	35.3%
York	Considered/Attempted suicide	8.1%	<mark>23.3%</mark>	12.5%
Nebraska		22.9%	<mark>18.2%</mark>	16.2%
York	Current alcohol	5.6%	19.2%	25.7%
Nebraska		9.8%	20.1%	34.2%
York	Current binge drinking	0.7%	2.7%	11.9%
Nebraska		1.3%	6.2%	15.0%
York	Current marijuana	1.4%	4.1%	9.6%
Nebraska		3.0%	7.3%	13.9%
York	Current tobacco	2.1%	3.4%	14.5%
Nebraska		3.7%	8.0%	15.3%
York	Current vaping	3.5%	19.0%	25.4%
Nebraska		10.4%	24.7%	37.3%
York	Hopeful for future (past week)	86.3%	<mark>69.6%</mark>	80.6%
Nebraska		78.0%	76.1%	77.6%

**JJI is currently waiting for the legal team at DHHS to approve providing this data

Table 7.

Juveniles Referred to Services ^e

Table 8.

Juveniles Referred to Services by Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and Mental Health Diagnosis e

Table 9.

Juveniles Who Utilized Services ^e

Table 10.

Types of Services Utilized ^e

Table 11.





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Youth Who Report Gang Involvement by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
York	Youth Reported Gang Involvement	1.4%	2.7%	2.9%
Nebraska		3.8%	4.4%	3.8%

Table 12.

Arrest Rates for Adults and Juveniles for 2018 and 2019 with Percent Change ^f

Arrestee Age	All Arrestee Ages			Under 18		
Summary Arrest Date	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %	2018	2019	2018 - 2019 Growth %
Jurisdiction by Geography			YORK	COUNTY	/	
Arrest Offense						
Total	531	491	-7.53	<mark>32</mark>	<mark>17</mark>	-46.88
Rape Total	8	3	-62.50	1	0	-100.00
Robbery Total	-	1	-	-	0	-
Aggravated Assault Total	3	-	-100.00	1	-	-100.00
Burglary Total	2	2	0.00	0	0	-
Larceny-Theft Total	98	62	-36.73	8	2	-75.00
Motor Vehicle Theft Total	3	3	0.00	1	0	-100.00
Other Assaults	26	30	15.38	9	0	-100.00
Arson	2	-	-100.00	0	-	-
Forgery and Counterfeiting	8	4	-50.00	0	0	-
Fraud	7	5	-28.57	0	1	-
Stolen Property; Buying, Receiving, Possessing	2	7	250.00	0	0	-
Vandalism	8	6	-25.00	1	2	100.00
Weapons; Carrying, Possessing, etc.	11	4	-63.64	0	0	-
Drug Violations - Sale/Manufacturing	31	17	-45.16	0	0	-
Drug Violations - Possession	172	149	-13.37	6	2	-66.67
Offenses Against Family and Children	15	9	-40.00	0	0	-
Driving Under the Influence	<mark>41</mark>	<mark>80</mark>	95.12	0	1	-
Liquor Laws	32	37	15.63	<mark>4</mark>	<mark>8</mark>	100.00
Disorderly Conduct	7	7	0.00	0	0	-
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	54	65	20.37	1	1	0.00
Suspicion	1	-	-100.00	0	-	-

Table 13.



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Risk Assessment Domains for Youth Assessed on Diversion (2015 - 2017) ^g

	York			All NYS Counties		
Score	0	1	2	0	1	2
Family Circumstance/Parenting				60.1%	26.7%	13.1%
Education/Employment				43.0%	44.0%	13.1%
Peer Relationships				44.7%	46.6%	8.6%
Substance Use				61.4%	30.3%	8.3%
Leisure/Recreation				50.6%	33.0%	16.5%
Personality/Behavior				50.1%	39.4%	10.4%
Attitudes/Orientation				61.3%	33.7%	5.0%
Mean Score	<i>M</i> =, <i>SD</i> =, <i>M</i> = 5.64, <i>SD</i> = 3.65, 0				65, 0-17	

Could not compute because county did not have any risk assessments completed

Table 14. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Descriptives (2015-2019)⁺

Click here to see Census and School Population Data

See Appendix for yearly data

System Point	Ν	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	195							
Youth referred to diversion	<mark>12</mark>	0%	0%	0%	8.30%	0%	0%	91.70%
Youth enrolled in diversion	<mark>11</mark>	0%	0%	0%	9.10%	0%	0%	90.90%
Successful completion diversion	<mark>11</mark>	0%	0%	0%	9.10%	0%	0%	90.90%
Youth with multiple charges	13	<mark>7.70%</mark>	0%	<mark>7.70%</mark>	<mark>23.10%</mark>	0%	<mark>23.10%</mark>	38.50%
Filed on in adult court	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
RAI Override: More Severe	1	0%	0%	<mark>100%</mark>	0%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	6	0%	0%	0%	0%	16.70%	0%	83.30%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Probation intake	43	0%	0%	<mark>18.60%</mark>	<mark>14%</mark>	4.70%	0%	62.80%
Successful probation	138	0%	0%	<mark>10.10%</mark>	<mark>16.70%</mark>	1.40%	0%	71.70%
Revocation of probation	19	0%	0%	<mark>26.30%</mark>	5.30%	5.30%	0%	63.20%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Family Level

- The number of people with bachelor's degrees in York County is lower than the state; this could be a result of residents going to college in a different community and not returning to York County.
- York County has slightly less access to computers at home for youth under 18 compared to the state.
 - The rates of youth under 18 with access to internet at home are comparable to the state.
- 10th and 12th graders report feeling less supported by adults at home compared to the state.
 - All three grades report feeling supported by adults at school.

Table 15.

Poverty/SES, Educational Attainment, Technology and Computers in Home, Housing, and Transportation (5-year estimates, 2014-2018) ^a

Measurement		York	Nebraska
Poverty/SES	Children <18 in Poverty	13.0%	14.8%
	Number of children 12-	407	68,495
	17 below 185% poverty		
	Percent of children 12-	13.0%	14.8%
	17 below 185% poverty		
Educational attainment	Age 25+ with B.D.	<mark>28.1%</mark>	31.3%
	County Rank	9	-
	Age 25+ with some	23.5%	23.0%
	college, no degree		
	County Rank	57	-
	Age 25+ with HS degree	93.7%	91.1%
	County Rank	24	-
Technology and computers in the home	% under 18 with a	<mark>94.6%</mark>	96.9%
	computer at home		
	County Rank	82	-
	% under 18 with an	91.7%	91.0%
	internet subscription at		
	home		
	County Rank	43	-
	% under 18 with	90.1%	90.8%
	broadband internet		
	access at home		





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

	County Rank	52	-
Housing	Owner-occupied	4,022	498,567
	households		
	Total households	5,691	754,063
	Owner %	70.7%	66.1%
	Renters	1,669	255,496
	Renter %	29.3%	33.9%
Transportation	Households with no	274	40,465
	vehicle available		
	Total households	5,691	754,063
	No vehicle %	4.8%	5.4%

Table 16.

Youth Who Report Supportive Adults by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
York	Adult at home who listens	90.1%	<mark>79.9%</mark>	<mark>84.2%</mark>
Nebraska		87.3%	<mark>85.0%</mark>	<mark>85.6%</mark>
York	Adult at school who listens	95.1%	87.2%	90.6%
Nebraska		85.2%	85.0%	87.4%

Table 17.

Domestic Violence Reports and Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means (2019)^h

	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Reported	Aggravated Domestic Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means	Simple Domestic Assaults Reported	Simple Domestics Assaults Cleared by Arrest or Exceptional Means
York	0	0	21	17
Nebraska	562	402	2512	2019

Table 18. Child Abuse and Neglect Reportsⁱ

	Abuse/Neglect Calls	Reports Assessed	Substantiated	Unfounded
York	264	36%	18%	66%
Nebraska	36,480	33.4%	16.0%	68.3%



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Community Level

- 12th graders have lower perception of community attitudes on substance use for marijuana, alcohol, and cigarettes, 10th graders have lower perception of community attitudes on marijuana use.
- Juvenile record sealing is not "automatic" even if statute requires it to seal. Sealing a record
 requires administrative staff to initiate the process. Dismissed or dropped cases should be
 sealed at a rate of 100%. All others should be sealed at the rate to which youth successfully
 complete their court requirements (completion of diversion, probation, restorative practice, or
 other treatment).
- Data for race and ethnicity at each juvenile justice system point is imperative for an accurate Racial and Ethnic Disparities (RED) analysis. The court trial database (JUSTICE) has a high rate of missing data by race/ethnicity in this county.

Table 19.

Community Violence Measured by Arrests for Violent Crime (2019) ^j

Type of Violence	York	Nebraska
Murder and Nonnegligent manslaughter	0	34
Rape	3	264
Robbery	1	367
Aggravated Assault	0	1,639
Other Assaults	30	8,782

Table 20.

Youth Perceptions of Community Attitudes on Substance Use by Grade (2018) ^d

		8 th	10 th	12 th
York	Wrong/very wrong – Marijuana	97.9%	<mark>88.2%</mark>	<mark>82.3%</mark>
Nebraska		94.4%	<mark>89.8%</mark>	<mark>85.2%</mark>
York	Wrong/very wrong – alcohol	97.9%	83.3%	<mark>67.7%</mark>
Nebraska		89.1%	80.4%	<mark>68.7%</mark>
York	Wrong/very wrong – cigarettes	98.6%	91.6%	<mark>73.6%</mark>
Nebraska		92.9%	89.0%	<mark>78.7%</mark>



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Table 21. Juvenile Court Record Sealing Analysis (2015 – 2019) ^m

see Appendix for yearly data

	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	57	79	<mark>72.2%</mark>
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	407	553	73.6%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	2	8	25.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	466	657	70.9%

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Policy, Legal and System Level

- This county is not a county required to provide counsel under statute; however, access to counsel is lower in this community than in the state.
- 3b absenteeism and uncontrollable filings have gone down over the last 5 years.
- With respect to diversion practices, the community may want to consider a few things:
 - Not filing all unsuccessful cases, if the youth completed most of the diversion plan
 - Allowing warning letters for the lowest risk youth
 - Having a process for sealing records for youth on diversion with law enforcement and JCMS, as required by statute.
 - It is not clear why all first-time offenders are not referred to diversion but perhaps this is due to the ineligible offenses.

Table 22. Percent of Youth in Juvenile Court Who Had Access to Counsel (2018) ⁿ

	York	Nebraska
Access to Counsel	<mark>40.0% 59.9%</mark>	73.5%

<u>Neb. Rev. 43-272</u>. Right to counsel; appointment; payment; guardian ad litem; appointment; when; duties; standards for guardians ad litem; standards for attorneys who practice in juvenile court.

(1)(a) In counties having a population of less than one hundred fifty thousand inhabitants, when any juvenile shall be brought without counsel before a juvenile court, the court shall advise such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian of their right to retain counsel and shall inquire of such juvenile and his or her parent or guardian as to whether they desire to retain counsel.

(b) In counties having a population of one hundred fifty thousand or more inhabitants, when any juvenile court petition is filed alleging jurisdiction of a juvenile pursuant to subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section $\underline{43-247}$, counsel shall be appointed for such juvenile.

Table 23. Frequency of Youth with a Curfew Violation (2015 – 2019)^m





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

	York	Nebraska
Curfew Court Filing	0	352

Table 24.

Court Filing for 3A, 3B, and 3C cases $(2015 - 2019)^{m}$

		York								
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total				
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0				
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	2	2	1	<mark>5</mark>	<mark>2</mark>	12				
3B - Uncontrollable	0	2	3	2	0	7				
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	0	0	0	0	0	0				

		Nebraska								
Filed Subtype	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total				
3A- Homeless/Neglect	0	2	0	2	3	7				
3B – Absenteeism/Truancy	96	510	493	423	475	1997				
3B - Uncontrollable	47	118	125	119	82	491				
3C – Mentally III and Dangerous	22	48	37	22	23	306				

Table 25.

County Diversion Procedures and Protocols Compared to Statewide Responses (2020) °

	York	Nebraska *
Refer ALL juveniles who are first	No	Yes: 27.3%
time offenders to diversion		No: 63.6%
		Not sure: 9.1%
File a juvenile's charges at the	Yes	Yes: 18.2%
time of the referral to diversion		No: 70.5%
		Not sure: 11.4%
File a juvenile's charges if they are	Always	Always: 47.7%
unsuccessful on diversion		Sometimes: 47.7%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Allow a juvenile to complete	Not sure	Yes: 61.4%
diversion more than once		No: 34.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Charges/offenses that make a	Yes; violent offense on a case-by-	Yes: 86.4%
juvenile ineligible for diversion	case basis	No: 9.1%
		Not sure: 4.5%
Warning letters instead of	No	Yes: 27.3%
intervention		No: 61.4%
		Not sure: 11.4%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Currently drug test	No	Yes: 31.8%
		No: 65.9%
		Not sure: 2.3%
Fees beyond restitution	No	Yes: 86.4%
		No: 13.6%
		Not sure: 0.0%
Use of graduated responses prior	No	Yes: 47.7%
to discharge		No: 25.0%
		Not sure: 27.3%
Sealing diversion records	Not sure	Yes: 59.1%
		No: 22.7%
		Not sure: 18.2%

*responses included 44 juvenile diversion programs; representing 68 counties/tribe (91.9% response rate)



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Community Team Level

- York County did not have any responses to the 2020 Collective Impact Survey.
- In 2019, York County's mean scores on the survey that year are all lower than the state average.
- With no responses, we cannot determine the make-up or diversity of the team, but in general community teams should be reflective of the community they represent.
- Community teams should also have representation from multiple system points.

Table 26.

Collective Impact Survey Response Rates ^p

	Yo	ork	Nebraska		
Year of survey	2019 2020		2019	2020	
Number of surveys sent	17	7	1407	780	
Number of completed surveys	2	0	221	345	
Response rate	11.8%	<mark>0.0%</mark>	28.3%	24.5%	

Table 27. Collective Impact Survey Scores ^p

	Yorl	٢	Nebr	aska
Year of survey	2019	2020	2019	2020
	Mean Score		Mean Score	
Common agenda	<mark>4.82</mark>		5.29	5.69
Mutually reinforcing	<mark>5.28</mark>		5.37	5.50
Shared measurement	<mark>5.15</mark>		5.21	5.45
Continuous communication	<mark>5.06</mark>		5.49	5.55
Backbone agency	<mark>5.15</mark>		5.52	5.78

The five elements of Collective Impact are:

• **Common agenda:** Participants have a shared vision and common understanding of both the problem and potential solutions to that problem.

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

- **Mutually reinforcing activities:** Participant activities must be differentiated while still being coordinated through a mutually reinforcing plan of action.
- **Shared measurement:** Collecting data and measuring results consistently across all participants ensures efforts remain aligned and participants hold each other accountable.
- **Continuous communication:** Consistent and open communication is needed across stakeholders to build trust, assure mutual objectives, and create common motivation.
- Backbone support: Creating and managing Collective Impact often requires a separate organization(s) with staff and a specific set of skills to serve as the backbone for the entire initiative and to coordinate participating organizations ^q

Table 28	З.
----------	----

Community Planning Team Diversity ^p

	Yo	York		raska
	N = 0	(%)	N = 345	(%)
Gender		, <i>, ,</i>		· · · ·
Male			101	29.3%
Female			229	66.4%
Missing			15	4.3%
Age				
Under 30			19	5.6%
30-39			68	19.6%
40-49			88	25.4%
50-59			90	25.8%
60 and over			44	13%
Missing			36	10.4%
Race/Ethnicity				
White			230	66.7%
Black			10	2.9%
Hispanic			13	3.8%
Native American			6	1.7%
Asian			1	0.3%
Other			2	0.6%
Provided town name			63	18.3%
Missing			19	5.5%
Previous System Involvement				
Yes			98	28.4%
No			242	70.1%
Missing			5	1.4%





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

System Point*			
Law enforcement	 	34	7.8%
County attorney/ juvenile court	 	32	7.3%
K-12 or secondary education	 	65	14.9%
Ministry/faith based	 	10	2.3%
Diversion	 	55	12.6%
Probation	 	31	7.1%
Public defender/ defense counsel/	 	8	1.8%
guardian ad litem			
DHHS or Child Welfare	 	13	3.0%
Treatment provider	 	40	9.2%
Post adjudication or detention	 	8	1.8%
Community based program	 	109	25.0%
Elected official or government	 	6	1.4%
Restorative practices	 	6	1.4%
Backbone or system improvement	 	3	0.7%
Other	 	16	3.7%
Voice on Team			
Feel heard	 	270	78.3%
Do not feel heard	 	75	21.7%

*note. Team members could have selected more than one system point; as such, they do not add up to 100%

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA **EVIDENCE-BASED** JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE **COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021**

References and Resources

^a Population data: Table B01001 race series, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

NEBRASKA

^a Youth employment: Table B23001, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on July 10, 2020

^a Poverty/SES: Table B10724, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^a Technology in household: Table B28005, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a Home owner/transportation: Table B25045, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Compiled and Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 8-11-20

^a Education attainment: Table B15002, 2014-2018 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 3-18-2020

^b School membership, chronic absenteeism, student disability, and free/reduced lunch: Prepared by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education

^c Graduation rates: Special Tabulation by Sara Simonsen, Nebraska Department of Education Prepared by: David Drozd, UNO Center for Public Affairs Research on 7-24-2020

^d Mental health, Substance use, gang, and community perceptions of substance use: Bureau of Sociological Research, Nebraska Risk and Protective Factors Survey: https://bosr.unl.edu/current-nrpfss-county-level-data

^e Referral to and utilization of services: Department of Health and Human Services

^f Adult and juvenile arrests: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx ^g Diversion programs

^h **Domestic violence:** Nebraska Crime Commission, Domestic Assault: https://ncc.nebraska.gov/sites/ncc.nebraska.gov/files/doc/2019%20Domestic%20Assault%20and%20Arrest%2 0by%20County 0.pdf ¹Child abuse and neglect

^jCommunity violence: Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx ^k Distance to detention facility: Google Maps

¹Racial and ethnic disparities: Prepared by Mitch Herian, University of Nebraska-Lincoln with data provided by:

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA JUVENILE JUSTICE INSTITUTE COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Nebraska Crime Commission, Crime Statistics: <u>https://crimestats.ne.gov/public/Browse/browsetables.aspx</u> Nebraska Crime Commission, Juvenile Case Management System Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE Nebraska Judicial Branch, Juvenile Services Division

^m Court Filings and Juvenile Record Sealing: Data provided by the Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute on 9-1-20

ⁿ Access to Counsel: Kids County in Nebraska Report, Voices for Children, retrieved from: <u>www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount</u>. Data originally from Nebraska Judicial Branch Trial Court Case Management System, JUSTICE

° **Diversion procedures and protocols:** Diversion survey distributed to Juvenile Diversion programs, 2020. Prepared by: Lindsey Wylie, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^p Collective impact: Collective impact surveys distributed to Community Planning Teams, 2019 and 2020. Prepared by: Anne Hobbs and Erin Wasserburger, UNO Juvenile Justice Institute

^q **Collective impact elements:** Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2011). Collective Impact. *Stanford Social Innovation Review.*





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: RED Descriptives

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	62							
Youth referred to diversion	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth enrolled in diversion	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Successful completion diversion	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth with multiple charges	5	0%	0%	20%	20%	0%	40%	20%
Filed on in adult court	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	12	0%	0%	0%	33.30%	0%	0%	66.70%
Successful probation	31	0%	0%	19.40%	9.70%	0%	0%	71%
Revocation of probation	4	0%	0%	75%	0%	0%	0%	25%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once					-			





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral								
Youth referred to diversion	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%
Youth enrolled in diversion	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%
Successful completion diversion	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%
Youth with multiple charges	2	50%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%
Filed on in adult court	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
RAI Override: Less Severe	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	14	0%	0%	21.40%	7.10%	0%	0%	71.40%
Successful probation	39	0%	0%	7.70%	12.80%	5.10%	0%	74.40%
Revocation of probation	5	0%	0%	20%	20%	0%	0%	60%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	35							
Youth referred to diversion	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%
Youth enrolled in diversion	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%
Successful completion diversion	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%
Youth with multiple charges	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Filed on in adult court	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Probation intake	6	0%	0%	16.70%	16.70%	33.30%	0%	33.30%
Successful probation	24	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	75%
Revocation of probation	3	0%	0%	33.30%	0%	0%	0%	66.70%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	32							
Youth referred to diversion	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%
Youth enrolled in diversion	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%
Successful completion diversion	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%
Youth with multiple charges	2	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%
Filed on in adult court	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
RAI Override: More Severe	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	5	0%	0%	60%	0%	0%	0%	40%
Successful probation	20	0%	0%	5%	25%	0%	0%	70%
Revocation of probation	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	0%	80%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

System Point	N	Amer. Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Black	Hispanic/ Latino	Multiple/ Other	Unspec/ Missing	White
Law enforcement contact								
Youth taken to temporary custody								
Youth issued citation/referral	17							
Youth referred to diversion	9	0%	0%	0%	11.10%	0%	0%	88.90%
Youth enrolled in diversion	8	0%	0%	0%	12.50%	0%	0%	87.50%
Successful completion diversion	8	0%	0%	0%	12.50%	0%	0%	87.50%
Youth with multiple charges	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.30%	66.70%
Filed on in adult court	0	NA%	NA%	NA%	NA%	0%	NA%	NA%
RAI Override: More Severe	1	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
RAI Override: Less Severe	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Probation intake	6	0%	0%	16.70%	0%	0%	0%	83.30%
Successful probation	24	0%	0%	16.70%	16.70%	0%	0%	66.70%
Revocation of probation	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Youth in OJS custody								
OJS custody: placed in detention								
Youth booked into detention								
Youth booked into detention more than once								



COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Appendix: Sealed Court Records by Year

*Cases offered diversion, mediation or RJ are not available data points in in JUSTICE. All cases filed in adult court and transferred to juvenile court overlapped with cases that were filed in adult court as a misdemeanor or infraction; as such, they were omitted from analysis

2015	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	12	16	75.0%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	111	138	80.4%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	124	172	72.1%

2016	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	20	21	95.2%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	112	163	68.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	0	6	0.0%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	132	190	69.5%

2017	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	5	8	62.5%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	50	57	87.7%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to Juv. Court			
Total	55	65	84.6%

2018	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	12	21	57.1%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			





COUNTY NEEDS ASSESSMENT FY 2020-2021

Filed in Juv. Court	71	118	60.2%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)	1	1	100%
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	84	140	60.0%

2019	Number of charges Sealed	Total Number of charges	Sealed (%)
Dismissed or Dropped	8	13	61.5%
Offered Diversion, mediation, or RJ			
Filed in Juv. Court	63	77	81.8%
Filed in Adult Court (M or I)			
Filed in Adult Court and Transferred to			
Juv. Court			
Total	71	90	78.9%